

SITE INSPECTION REPORT

Prescribed vide PCCF (HoEF) No. Desk-17-4/1445, dated 05/11/2015

Sr. No.	Items	Observations & Remarks												
1.	Name of the Project and location (Range, Round, Beat)	: Construction of approach road towards Private Non Forest land passing through Private Forest of village Asangaon and Protected Forest of village Kalambhe, Taluka Shahapur, Dist. Thane. Forest Area required 0.467 ha. <table><tr><th>Range & Round</th><th>Beat</th><th>Village</th><th>Village</th></tr><tr><td>Shahapur</td><td>Kalambhe</td><td>Asangaon</td><td>Restored Private Forest S.No.156/6 & 156/5.</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>Kalambhe</td><td>Kalambhe. Protected Forest S.No.94(a), Gut No. 220 pt.</td></tr></table>	Range & Round	Beat	Village	Village	Shahapur	Kalambhe	Asangaon	Restored Private Forest S.No.156/6 & 156/5.			Kalambhe	Kalambhe. Protected Forest S.No.94(a), Gut No. 220 pt.
Range & Round	Beat	Village	Village											
Shahapur	Kalambhe	Asangaon	Restored Private Forest S.No.156/6 & 156/5.											
		Kalambhe	Kalambhe. Protected Forest S.No.94(a), Gut No. 220 pt.											
2.	Name of the User Agency	: Shri Raju Baban Dhanve of Kalambhe, Taluka Shahapur, Dist. Thane.												
3.	Date of site inspection	:												
4.	Extent (ha) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion	: Protected Forest 0.280 Ha. Private Forest 0.187 Ha. Total Forest Area 0.467 Ha.												
5.	a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land	: As per Area Statement attached on page no.												
	b) Density and Eco-value class	: Density – 0.1 below Average Eco-value Class – I												
6.	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined.	: Yes.												
7.	Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not ? If yes, details thereof	: No.												
8.	a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not	: No.												
	b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof	: No rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land.												
	c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any Protected Area (km)	: 5.00 km & above												

	d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project	:	No sensitive forest area . The proposed approach road is already in existence and use in Private Forest as well as Protected Forest by the villagers of nearby area.
	e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons thereof	:	No.
9.	Details of Vegetation		
	a) Total number of trees to be felled	:	Nil
	b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm.	:	Nil
	c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm.	:	Nil
	d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area	:	Nil.
10.	Background note on the proposal (short summary)	:	It is proposed to construct approach road including erection of electric poles. The approach road passing through Private Forest as well as through Protected Forest. As there is no alternative existing approach road other than the proposed.
11.	Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached.	:	Yes, Work has been started in Forest area and hence violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980 and its guidelines is committed. The detail Violation Report is attached on page no.
12.	Whether the proposal involved rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government?	:	The proposal doesn't involve any rehabilitation, hence no rehabilitation plan required.
13.	Details on catchment and culturable command area under the project (if applicable)	:	Not Applicable.
14.	Utility of the project	:	There is no alternative approach road other than the proposed. The approach road will be utilized by the near by villagers as well as the project authority..
15.	Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/religious values? Whether any scared grove or very old growth trees/ forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion?	:	No. No.
16.	Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required)	:	No.
17.	Details of documents and photographs enclosed.	:	Enclosed on page no.

18.	Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer (DCF)	: The violation is done by the Project authority.. The Offence case is booked against Shri Raju Baban Dhanve and complaint is lodged in the court of JMFC.Shahapur. As the road is in use since long back by the villagers and the project authority. The Project authority has submitted the proposal for regularization hence recommended.
-----	--	--

रा. बा. धानवे

(Raju Baban Dhanve)
Project Authority

Wing

(D. P. Nikam)

Deputy Conservator of Forests
Shahapur Forest Division, Shahapur

Dy Conservator of Forest's
Shahapur Forest Division, Shahapur