

(147)

Rehabilitation and upgrading to 4-lane with paved shoulder configuration of
Chutmalpur-Gamespur Section of -72AKm.4.100 to Km.16.000) and
Roorkee- Chutmalpur –Saharanpur -UP/Haryana Border Section of NH 73
(Km. 22.000 to 70.890) total 70.201 ha. Proposal No.

FP/UP/ROAD/17752/2016

SITE INSPECTION REPORT- NOT BELOW THE RANK OF CF
(for the forest land to be diverted under FCA)

A proposal has been received by this office from **National Highways Authority of India PIU Dehradun** for diversion (under FCA-1980) of **70.201 ha**. Procted forest land for non-forestry purpose. The project envisages the use of forest land for **Rehabilitation and upgrading to 4 lane with paved shoulder configuration of Chutmalpur-Ganeshpur section of NH-72A (km.4.100 to km. 16.000 and Roorkee Chutmalpur Saharanpur UP Haryana Border section of NH-73(km22.000 to km. 70.890)** . The site inspection of the land involved in the proposal has been done by me on dated **28-11-2016**. *It was found during the inspection that plantation carried out in various years along the road is question has not been incorporated to calculate the capital and environmental loss in the proposal forwarded. As per the instructions given by this office letter vide 1696/14-10 dated 29.11.2016 the DFOs concerned have incorporated the capital and environmental losses due to damage of existing plantations and have now been on page no. 170 and 171 of the proposal.*

On inspection of the site, it is found that the land required by the user agency is forest land measuring **70.201 ha**.

The requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and is barest minimum required for the project. **Yes**

Whether any rare /endangered /unique species of flora and fauna found in the area. If, so the details there of :-**No**.


Whether any protected archeological /heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area, if, so the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required.-**No**.

- a) The user agency has not violated the provisions of forest (Conservation), Act 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.-**yes**
- b) It has been found that the user agency has violated (Conservation), Act, and 1980 provisions. A details report as per para 1.9 of chapter 1, Para C of Hand book of forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 attached.- **Nil**

Specific recommendation for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal.- **Recommended in public interest.**

Date **21/12/16**

Place- Saharanpur


(Ramesh Kumar Pandey)
Chief Conservator of Forest
Saharanpur circle
Saharanpur

वन सं-अ/अधीन निदेशक

सहानपुर वृत्त

सहानपुर