

**Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change**  
**Regional Office, Bhopal.**

**Check List for Site inspection by DFO / CF/ CCF**  
**Approval by REC in its meeting held on 22-05-2015**

<b>Subject:</b>	Diversion of 9.7450 ha Protected Forest Land for the Project of Widening & Strengthening of Bavla - Dholka Road. SH No-74 km.0/00 to 17/600 (Wid. Existing 10.0 mtr. To four lane road in km 3/3 to 12/0 & 14/3 to 17/6) Range:-Bavla & Dholka, Taluka:- Bavla & Dholka, District:- Ahmedabad in State: Gujarat.
-----------------	--

At Present the inspection report submitted by the DCF level is very sketchy and does not convey much to higher officers going for verification of the project on a later date as mandated in the guidelines. This is possible only when exhaustive check is carried at the DCF/DFO level. This would help the higher authorities to verify the facts to arrive at appropriate decisions.

1. Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.  
⇒ Yes.
2. Any signs of encroachment.  
⇒ No.
3. Any Activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non Forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against User agency in case of violation of the FC Act and Guidelines there under.  
⇒ Nil.
4. In case of revenue forest land, nature of vegetation and density may be given.  
⇒ N.A
5. Any Displacement of persons proposed.  
⇒ - Nil -
6. Criteria adopted by the DFO for ascertaining suitability of non- forest land for CA.  
⇒ N.A
7. Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area is not compact. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current working plan prescription for area?  
⇒ Land for CA is degraded forest land & current working plan prescription for area is F.S No VII & IX PT. COUPE NO. 16 & 1PT. ( As per annexure-18 CA map )
8. In case of renewal of mining whether all the condition stipulated in the FC/EC have been complied with.  
⇒ N.A
9. Whether the DFO agrees with the declaration of the User Agency that the forest land proposed for diversion is barest minimum?  
⇒ Yes.
10. Any Other issue of significance.  
⇒ No.
11. Specific recommendation of the DFO.  
⇒ - Nil -

**Place:** Ahmedabad

**Date:** 17/11/16

  
**(Dr.H.r.prabuddha)**

Deputy Conservator of Forests  
Social Forestry Division  
Ahmedabad

