

# Cost Benefit Analysis Guidelines for forest land diversion-2017

## Table B:- Case under which a cost benefit analysis for forest diversion are required

SI. No.	Nature of Proposal	Applicable/Not Applicable	Remarks
1	All categories of proposals involving forest land upto 20 hectares in plains and upto 5 hectare in hills	Not applicable	These proposals may be considered on a case to case basis and value judgement
2	Proposal for defence installation purposes and oil prospecting (prospecting only)	Not applicable	
3	Habitation, establishment of industrial units, tourist lodges complex and other building construction.	Not applicable	
4	All other proposals involving forestland more than 20 hectares in plains and more than 5 hectares in hills including roads, transmission lines, minor, medium and major irrigation projects, hydro projects, mining activity, railway lines, location specific installations centers, TV towers etc.	Applicable	These are cases where a cost- benefit analysis is necessary to determine when diverting the forest land to non-forest use in the overall public interest.

Og.E

प्रान्तीय खण्ड लोठनिठविठ कर्णप्रयाग

अधिशासी अभियन्ता प्रान्तीय खण्ड लो. नि. वि. कर्णप्रयाग



#### COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

As per MoE'F & CC guideline no 7-69/2011-FC (Pt. Dated 1st Aug 2017 )

#### Table B:- Estimation of Loss of Forest Diversion

51. 10.	Parameters	Estimated Cost
1	Ecosystem service losses due to proposed forest diversion	The Estimated MPV (Economic value of Loss of ecosystem services) of the 7-950 hectare forest Land is 73:-52.231a
2	Loss of animal husbandary productivity incliding loss of fodder	10% of NPV = 5.22 Lack
3	Cost of human settlement	There is no displacement due to the Project. Thefore the cost of human settlement is Rs! - 0.00
4	loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Roads, Buildings, schools, Dispensaries electric lines, railway etc.) on forest land, or which world require forest land if this facilities where diverted due to the	No loss of Public facilities and administrative infrastructure due to the project. Therefor loss is 0.00
5	Possession value of forests land diverted	30% of NPV = 15. 669 Lacs
6.	cost of suffering to oustees.	No suffering of oustees. Hence = 0.00
7	Habitat fragmentation cost	50% of NPV = 26.112 Lack
8	Compensatory afforestation and soil and	in 15.90 Hec is 45.33 Lack-forten years
	TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL LOSSES	Cosidering discounting rate 12%, for fur 50% of the present value is \$5 = 244.7

Hence total Environmental
Losses is =

= 344.00 Lacs

Or.

सहायक अभियन्ता प्रानीय खण्ड लो०नि०वि० कर्णप्रयाग अधिशासी अभियन्ता प्रान्तीय खण्ड लो. नि. वि. कर्णप्रवाग

### 35(5)

### COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

### Table C:- Estimation of Loss of Forest Diversion

Estimated Cost		Estimated Cost
S. No.	Parameters	By the Construction of this motor road Cash crop  By the Construction of this motor road Cash crop
1		
	the specific project.	such ax 0,000 = 300,000 = 100
		by the Construction of this motor road cut to such as orange pulse potato, green vegetables such as orange pulse potato, green vegetables for 1 years 200 DEL (6) 1500 = 300,000.00 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00 = 150,000 .00
	the second fine	Torso per un lock due to milk production,
2	Benefits to economy due to the specific	animal husbandly and dourism ele: 40.00 Lars
	project.	for 50 fear 300,000.00x30 = 150,000 duction, About 83 40 Lack due to milk production, animal husbandly and dourism ele: 40.00 Lack
3	No. of population due to the specific	About 2500 101
	project, benefited	./ . *
		- lor annual maintenation
4	Economic benefited due to direct and	1 1day 16 - 0 200 92 - 1954.60 F. date
	indirect imployment due to the project	Direct Employerum : 70 = 1954.60 P. day 5 beldar (5.00 @ 390.92 = 7121129.00
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		for syears 713429.00 x so = 35671450.00 for so years 713429.00 x so = 35671450.00
		for so feet on of road people will.
		After the Construction of road people will After the Construction of road people will
	A SECTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF	olahlish the sil
		Jo x 200x30 = 30 0000
		for 1 year 3,00,000.00 x 12 = 3600000.00
		for 1 year 3,00,000 00 x 10 = 1800000000000000000000000000000000
	图 主要語 裝置的 結束 地數學院	160 To years 36 00,000 x 50 - 1000 m Lace
Ba		((190.20×11.625)×401)+,390.92 = 170,000 PE
		(130.20×11.625)×40/)+340.92 = 170,000 December ment in road Construction = 300.00 Lace
		Employment due to transportation = too to take Employment due to transportation = 2190000 Da
		(50×12×365×10) = 2190000 DA
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
5	Ecomomic Benefit due to	Anual value of timber and fuel, wood, Carbo
	comoensatory afforestation	LITEP, Eco-townsm, todder and waterse
3 3 16		Bervices from CA is to 47292 annual the
		Services from CA is to 47292/annual the Cas per Kaneta Chopra Commilier Weighted aut
	PART OF THE PERT AND PARTY.	Conscend to luc & = 320. 85
		To years the present value is = 330. 85
	to the board from the	651
	Total Benefits of derived from the	2370.31
133	project	20,00

Benefit cost ratio 2720.85/344.50 = 7.94 7 i.e. 1

It is clear from above analysisthat construction of Nandprayag-Ghat Motor road is more Benefit that environmental losses.

Ow J.E सहायक अभियन्ता प्रानीय खण्ड लोठनिठवि० कर्णप्रयाग

अधिशासी ऑसयन्ता प्रान्तीय राण्ड लो. नि. वि. कर्णप्रयोग