$\left| \; \right|$ 

1 <sup>1</sup> ' I • I. i··

> $\frac{1}{1}$ I I  $\mathbf{I}$  $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}$ I I

> > $\left| \cdot \right|$

**JUSTIFICATION FOR LOCATING PROJECT IN FOREST AREA**<br>The Project road starts from km Stone 67 of 310A near just after Mangan town  $T_{\text{max}}$   $T_{\text{max}}$  starts from km Stone 67 of 310A near just after  $\frac{1}{2}$  atope 67+000. The rige case of  $\epsilon$  marked km 0+000 at Nights store  $\epsilon$  (Km 0.0 Gangtok is the start of the NH-310A. The road Gangtok to Mangan (Km 0.0) to Km 67 .0) is part of the NH 310A. The project road is from Mangan to **Chungthung. This section is around 29.40 km in length.** 

The entire stretch passes through winding hilly terrain of Himalayas and<br>sight distance is restricted due to sharp horizontal curves and vertical cuts  $\frac{1}{100}$  city of  $\frac{1}{100}$  is restricted due to sharp horizontal curves and Task force  $\frac{1}{2}$  sign,  $\frac{1}{2}$  at present the project road is maintained by Border Road Tandslides stopes. A present  $\alpha$  organization (BRO). There have been number  $\alpha$  and has been of Border Roads Organization (BRO). There have been number of landslides<br>noted along the road which has been cleared by BRO. The road has been moted along the cuttom rocky strata at some locations and the locations. mage catting the community wall/retaining wall at various 20m. From slopes are retained by masonry wall/retaining wall at various locations.<br>The height of these retaining structures varies from 10m to 20m. From Mangan at RL 3940 ft. the present alignment traverses on the right side hill **of the alignment up to Tung village. After crossing Teesta river via existing new**  2 lane RCC Bridge (RL 4316 ft.), alignment switches to left side of the Teesta river and moves up in hill near Chhateng where 1200 (MWt) Teesta III Hydro Power Project Dam work is in progress. To reach Chungthung alignment again **crosses Teesta river on new RCC Bridge (RL 5190 ft.) where two main tributaries of Teesta river i.e. Lachung Chhu merges with Lachen Chhu in to the main stream to form Teesta River. The road network has been shown in Figure 1 as below and detailed features of the existing road have been detailed in TABLE 1.** 



**Table 1.1** 

**Page 1 of9** 

*I* 

valondy Stivasiava, **Major Officer Commanding** · **(GREF)** 

\



### **2. Project Benefits:**

*( -·· .* . ,,

' <sup>I</sup>

l I  $\mathbf{1}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ I ' ·.  $\| \cdot \| \cdot \| + \| \cdot \|$  $\cdots$ 

> $\begin{aligned} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \end{aligned} \begin{aligned} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \end{aligned}$ I ' <sup>i</sup>i I I

> > !I i. I ' i i ·1 I I  $\mathbf{H}$ , I

 $\mathbf{I}$ I ' '  $\begin{array}{ccc} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{array}$ 

 $\parallel$  :

 $\frac{1}{2}$ 

 $\cdot$  if  $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ 

## **2.1. Savings in Distance**

There is a significant change or reduction in the length of new corridor of Mangan to Chungthang. The initial length of the corridor is presently 29.0 km and after introduction of the corridor it will be 27.47 km. Another major advantage of this project is savings in road user<br>cost after introduction of the new corridor.

> (Vaibnaw Srivasiava, Page 2 of 9 **Officer Commanding 88 RCC** (GREF)

## **2.2. Vehicle Operating Cost Savings**

The model comprehensively predicts the performance and operating costs of motorized vehicles in the selected fleet. Motorized vehicle<br>performance predictions include speeds (free flow, and, congested performance predictions include speeds (free flow and conditions) and consumptions. Predictions for vehicle operating costs include fuel, oil, tyre and parts costs, crew and maintenance labour costs, capital depreciation, borrowing costs, and overhead costs. HDM-4 was used to estimate the Vehicle Operating Costs (VOC) for traffic in each vehicle category on each selected road with and without new road. The model estimates VOC in both the with- and without-project situations taking into account the speed and travel time including surface quality and road congestion. The resulting VOC values for each road and section can be found in the HDM results.

The Table 2.1 shows the vehicle operating cost of heavy commercial vehicles before and after up gradation of the project road. The sectionwise VOC/km (Rs./km) analysis has been analysed to assert the benefit rigorously.





(Vaidna) Juvas. **Page3of9 Officer Commanding 86 RCC** (GREF)

*,J* 

#### **2.3. The Residual Value**

i,.:

1·

I ' I I

Considering the remaining life of the construction items the residual value (salvage value) has been assessed at the end of the analysis period. For structures, the life is assumed to be 50 years. Values of the selected construction items such as land acquisition, structures, sub-base, social displacement cost etc. are included in the economic analysis as residual values at the end of the analysis periods. These residual values are considered, as benefits to the project in the analysis. The value has been taken as 10% of capital cost of construction and maintenance.

### **2.4 Roughness In Existing and Improved Road**

Roughness in IRI of the under improved conditions are given below. In case of AC a roughness below 2.0 is difficult to achieve. So in the case of AC it has been considered as 3.0 IRI.



#### **3. Speed Reduction Factor**

The speed reduction factor for the existing road has been taken as 1.1. For the new road, vehicles will maintain a mean speed more than design speed so the speed reduction factor has been taken as 1.1.

#### **4. Roadside Friction**

Roadside friction is a function of carriageway width, percentage of slow moving vehicles, roadside parking and other activities along th corridor. The friction factor has been taken as 1.0, that is free from Roadside friction is a function of carriageway width, percentage of<br>slow moving vehicles, roadside parking and other activities along the<br>corridor. The friction factor has been taken as 1.0, that is, free from<br>roadside fr

(Vaibna**)** Suvastava, Officer Commanding Page 4 of 9 86 RCC (GREF)

 $\mathbf{I}$ I  $\mathcal{L}$ 

 $\blacksquare$ 

#### **s. Travel Time Saving**

The model estimates the Value of Travel Time (VTT) for passengers and goods in transit in both the with- and without-project scenarios taking into account speed and travel time including surface quality, road congestion etc.

### **6. Accidental Cost Savings**

There can be some anticipated reduction of accidents due to improved signing and engineering intervention, the benefits deriving from this rehabilitation project are deemed to be moderate and consequently the accident-related benefits have not been discounted in the HDM-4 analysis. As a result the actual economic return in respect of increased of Road Safety would be expected to be somewhat higher than the rates of return presented in this report.

#### **7. Roads Condition Trends**

 $\begin{array}{ccc} & \rightarrow & \end{array}$ 

The predicted average road condition is measured in terms of roughness (IRI), for the project option. These condition trends represent, on average, the engineering performance of the project options over the entire life cycle. The Base Case Do Minimum option would lead to worsening of the road condition to 16 IRI by the end of the analysis period. The investment options show low rates of pavement deterioration and would require periodic maintenance interventions over the analysis period. When the results of all the sections within the project option is combined, the weighted average road condition trends one option as shown in Figure below. The deterioration trends show that up gradation investment option is technically better than base option since they exhibit following average roughness in 2024, 2030, 2040 & 2050.



# **Table 7 .1: Average IRI over the Project Period**

 $\setminus$ (Vaibhav Srivasiava) Maior **Officer Commanding** 86 RCC (GREF) Page 5 of 9

 $\overline{\triangleright}$ 

*I* 

*)* 



## Figure 7: Roughness of Mangan to Chungthang (2024-2050)

#### 8. Economic Viability

The Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) is calculated by the model applying a project discount rate of 12% to the annual undiscounted net differences of the economic elements considered in the analysis. The sum of these discounted values gives the economic Net Present Value (NPV) of the project which is generated and presented, together with the associated EIRR in the HDM-4 output sheets for sectional and project basis attached in last, respectively.

The results of the HDM-4 analysis are summarized below in TABLE 8.1 for original model generated sheets.

(Vaidridy Olivasiava, Major **Officer Commanding** 86 RCC (GREF)

Page 6 of 9



## **Table 8.1: Results of the Economic Analysis**

#### *Source: HDM-4 Output sheets*

**8.1. Sensitivity Analysis**<br>A sensitivity analysis has been performed under the following scenarios and found that the project is economically viable in all scenarios of sensitivities. The sensitivity analysis is presented

in **TABLE 8.2.** 



# <sup>T</sup>**a bl e 8** .. **2 Sensitivity Analysis of EIRR** (%)

#### *Source: HDM-4 Output sheets*

#### **Conclusion**

The study was based on an appropriate methodology that made use of field data and design options provided by the design consultant and then economic analysis using the Highway Development and Management (HDM-4) tool. HDM-4 simulates the interaction between pavement construction standards, maintenance standards and the effects of the environment and traffic loading in order to predict the annual trend in road condition. This, together with the geometric standards of the road, has a direct effect on vehicle speeds and on the costs of vehicle operation and accident rates on the road. The interacting sets of costs, related to those incurred by the road authority and those incurred by the road users, are added together over time in discounted present values. Economic benefits are then determined by comparing the total cost streams for various maintenance and construction alternative with a base case or "without investment" scenario. For this study, economic discrimate the calculated as the difference between the "without investment" option and the up gradation investment option. The HDM-4 model was used to simulate  $\epsilon$  priori and the

Major <sub>"</sub> Officer Commanding **86 Rec (GREF)** 

Page7 of 9

uture changes

to the road sections from current conditions. Estimates of benefits included dis-benefits during the construction period and the direct impact on all users of the proposed facility.

In terms of HDM-4 modelling, the predicted pavement deterioration trends over the pavement design life have been illustrated graphically for the project options. Up gradation indicates very good engineering performance through lower rate of pavement deterioration. The up gradation is strongly recommended for Implementation, since, the project is found economically viable, having EIRR well above 12%, under all possible scenarios including the worst one.

The project is a widening project of existing road and therefore the required forest land for diversion for implementation of the project is bare minimum including minimum felling of trees considering the other optional alignments where there is no existing road under consideration and requirement of forest land diversion is more.

(Valbhav Srivastava) Major **Officer Commanding 86-ftCC (GREF)** 



Officer Commanding<br>86 RCC (GREF)