ANNEXURE –'A' COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS EVALUATION OF LOSSES FROM THE PROJECT

C.	Deremeter			Analyzia			Amount
Sr.	Parameter	Anaiysis				Amount	
NO.						KS.	
1	x 0 1 0					In lakhs	
1.	Loss of value of	a) Forest Produce					
	timber, fuel wood	Tir	nber	Qnty.	Rate Rs.	Amount	
	& MFP on annual			Cum.	Per	In Rs. In	
	basis including loss		1		Cum	Lakhs	
	of man hours p.a.	i	Teak	Nil	N.A.	00.00	
	of people derive	ii	Non Teak	Nil	N.A.	00.00	
	livelihood by	Fue	el wood				
	wages from harvest	i	Teak	Nil	N.A.	00.00	
	of these	ii	Non teak	10	N.A.	0.10	
	commodities (to be	iii	MFP	Nil	N.A.	00.00	
	quantified and		Total as per annual				
	expressed in	b)	Exploitation c	ost of	N.A.	Nil	
	monitory terms)	c)	Loss of plant	ation cost on	@15000/-	0.88	
		- /	5.903 hects	x 15000 =	per		
	N.B.: No extracti-		88.545/- R.O.	0.88 lakhs	hectares		
	on of Forest prod-	(b	Loss of MDs	livelihood – 2	25 H Forest	21.545	
	uce is done in this	<i>u)</i>	supports 1 person's livelihood per day.				
	Forest area as it has	H = 5.903 hectares forest area require				Luitiis	
	been devoid of tree		for the project	for the project.			
	growth. Part of it		Loss of man d	avs = 5.903/2	25 = 0.236		
	was planted up	lanted up $ $ Loss for 50 yrs = 0.236 x 365 x 50					
	with miscellaneous		ie = 4.309 M	an Davs	05 X 50		
	species in 1984-85		Considering the rate of Rs				
	i.e. 30 years before and has a stunted tree-growth of non- timber miscellane- ous species.		day on or		onsidering		
			uay on an	average	considering		
			Inflation	- 4200 N	r 1		
			Nonitory los $D = 500/(-1-1)$	s = 4,309 M	an days x		
			Rs.500/- daily	/ wages = Ks.	2,154,500/-		
			Rounded off t	o Ks. 21.545 I	akns	00 4 X 1 1	
					lotal	22.4 Lakhs	22.4
2	Loss of animal	Los	s of fodder				
2.	husbandry	dry Vield of grass per bestare of forest is presumed to be 1					
	productivity	M T but these areas drought affected and have plantation					
	including loss of	hence yield per hectare is taken as 500 kg					
folder $Grass yield = 5.003$ best v					hect $x = 0.5 = 2.952$ M T		
	Iouuci	Monitory value for 50 years assuming the rate of grass to					
		be $R_s = 1500/_{-}$ per per M T =					
		2.952×1500 , per per per per $1.1.$				2 21	
		2.752 A 1500 A 50 - KS. 2.21,3025/- Say KS. 2.21 Lakiis			۲.21		
1		1					

		Loss Say Ks. 33.065 Lakhs Total	33.065
	social services be worked out as 1.5 times of what he should have earned in 2 years if had he not been shifted (to be quantified and expressed in monitory terms)	Loss Say Rs 33.065 Labbe	33 065
6.	Suffering outsets' social or rehabilitation cost (In addition to cost likely to be incurred in providing residence occupation and	At the average daily wages rate of Rs. 300/- per day, the Suffering outsets' monitory cost can be calculated ad follows – 1.5 times for 2 years(730days) @ Rs.300/- per day = i.e. 1.5 x 730 x 300 = 3.29 Lakhs	3.29
5.	Environment losses, effects of hydrological eagle, wildlife losses, mocro climate upsetting of ecological balance	As a thumb rule, environmental loss value of one hectare of Forest density1.0 taken as 8.75 lakhs for period of 50 years. The Project area is with an average of density of .1 so the monitory environmental loss for next 50 years in Lakhs = Forest Land in Hectares x 0.1 x 8.75 i.e. 5.903 x 0.1 x 8.75 = 5.165 Lakhs (R.O.)	5.165
4.	Loss of public facilities and other infrastructures (Ro- ads, Buildings, Electric lines, railways etc.) on forest land which would requ- ire forest land if these facilities are diverted due to project.	For 50 years- As there are no Roads, Electric lines, Cart tracks, Water pipe lines, Forest nursery, Bridges or any other such public facilities and infrastructures on the forest land required for the project. No forest land is required for diversion of any such facilities or infrastructures due to project. Hence no loss is expected.	Nil
3.	Cost of human settlement (to be quantified and expressed in monitory terms)	No families from forest land are affected due to project. The compensation would be as follows: Average cost of acquisition of land, houses and other infrastructures etc. is Rs. 2.00 lakhs per family – Cost of compensation = $00 \ge 2.00 = 00$ lakhs	00.00
3.	Cost of human settlement (to be	No families from forest land are affected due to project. The compensation would be as follows:	

ANNEXURE –'A' COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

EVALUATION OF BENEFITS FROM THE PROJECT

Sr.	Parameters	Benefits from the Project	Amount
No.			Ks. In Jakha
1	In analogo in mno		
1.	ductility attributable to the specific project	The project does directly cause increase in productivity. It is estimated that 20% increase in productivity will be evident due to better transport facility. But it is possible to quantify it directly. But increase in quick transport of exportable produce of floriculture, grapes etc. would raise the benefits to the agriculturists. This in turn would be attributable to the project indirectly. So even though there is no benefit shown here. It is being depicted in other category (parameter) below in respective items.	Not directly quantified So held nil here. Actual given below,
2.	Benefits of		
	economy	 i) Project will benefit the economy by improvement ii)Plantation and environment benefit due to compensatory Afforestation (Rs.in Lakhs) = Area in ha x 0.3x 8.75 i.e. 5.903 x 2 x 0.3 x 8.75 = Rs. 30.99 Lakhs iii) Other benefits of economy 	30.99
3.	No. of people i.e. population benefitted	Calculation of population benefitted – As per 2011 Census, the population of Alirajpur District was 671,925. There was increase of -1.41 % in the population compared to population as per 2001. Taking this as indication. The increase in population is 1.38 % per year. Therefore the increase in population at present in 2016 from 2011 in 5 years could be taken as 6,71,925 x 1.38% x4 = 37,090. So the present population is 6,71,925 + 37,090 = 7,09,015. Assuming 20% of Total population i.e. 1,34,385 people are directly benefitted by this project. Assuming 5 members per family will be about 1,34,385/5= 26,877 families. Assuming an increase per family of Rs. 1000/-Per year. Over all benefit to the population on this account and for 50 years for families will be – No. of Families x1000x50 – 26,877 x 1000 x 50 = 13,439 Lakhs	13,439

4.	Employment Potential	 i) Employment generated Total cost of the project is considered Rs. 199.72crores at 2015 price level. Assuming an escalation and labour component @ 12% of the project- The cost of the employment generated during the construction of the project would be (around) – (i) Rs.23.97 Lakhs ii) After completion of project – It has been assessed from the statistics available for the Project that the increase in the industries in the 				
		vicinity of the	Project Ro	bad will in	creased by 20%	
		various levels	in such	industries	expected to be	
		generated as s	hown in t	he table u	inder. The man	
		days generated	d yearly o	or Yearly	labour potentia	
		would be thu	$\frac{15}{365} \times \frac{365}{200} \times \frac$	350 =	1,2/,750 The	
		650/- as detaile	ed below-	pay is ca	ilculated @ Ks	
		Post	Nos.	Daily	Daily Cost	
				Salary	lakhs	
		Managers	-			
		Senior Executives				
		Junior	350	365	830.37	
		Executives	550			
		Supervisors	-			
		Labourers	-	Total	<u> </u>	
		10181		Total	830.37	
		Weighted average = Daily Cost / No. of Men i.e. 650/350 = Rs.1.87				
		Benefit for 50	vears –			
		Labour Potential x $534 \times 50 =$				
		i.e. 1,27,750 x 534 x 50 = Rs. 34109/-				176 09 I akhs
		Total (i)+(ii)=	34.109 +	175.75 =	176.09 Lakhs	
		(ro)	, •-	, -		
				1 • •	<u> </u>	
5.	Acquisition of non-	The total Non-	Forest land	l acquired	for the project is	-
	wherever	is the cost of la	and @ Rs	20 Lakhs r	er Hectare –	
	feasible(to Be			- <u></u> P	• • • • • •	
	quantified &					NIL
	expressedin					
	monetary terms)					

6.	Benefits to agriculture/Animal husbundary.	The facility of the quick transport would facilitate the export of agricultural, animal husbandry and allied produce such as floriculture product, grapes etc. The export of such produce will fetch benefits to the agriculturists in the district. As per census figures of 2011, there are 2,56,393 cultivators (agriculturist) in Alirajpur District. Assuming 0.04% of them, realizing and grabbing the opportunity, would take up to produce the exportable agricultural goods and animal husbandry goods (milk, meat, grapes, flowersetc), out of total 2,56,393 cultivators (agriculturists) in the district, the benefit at an average of Rs. 2.5 lakhs per year for fifty years – 1026 cultivators x 2.5 lakhs x 50 = 1.28 lakhs	
			1.28
7.	Benefits to the people due to land acquisition & rehabilitation.	As a person from each family will be provided with employment on the project, assuming Rs. 1 Lakh per family per year the benefit for 50 years – No families x 1 Lakh x 50 yrs = Rs.	NIL
8.	Benefit due to free fuel wood supply to the labours during the construction of project.	The actual construction work period to be taken as five years. It is assumed from the previous experience of such construction that there will be approximately 350 families of labours on construction work. Assuming the consumption of fuel wood to be 400 kg.(4 quintal) per family per year @ Rs. 200/- per quintal (100kg.), the benefit due to supply of free fuel wood to worker families- 350 families x 4quintal x Rs.200/- x 5 yrs =	
		$350 \times 4 \times 200 \times 5 = 14,00,000/-$ i.e. 14 Lakhs	14.00
		Total benefit Rs. (In Lakhs)	845.39

Contd...

ABSTRACT OF COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS

A) LOSSES

Total Losses likely to occur due to the Project in 50 years as per Annexure -'A'

Parameters	Description	Amount
		(Rs. In Lakhs)
1.	Loss of timber, fuelwood, minor forest produce	22.4
2.	Loss of animal husbandary productivity	2.21
3.	Loss of human settlement	00
4.	Loss of public facilities& infrastructure	Nil
5.	Loss of environment	5.165
6.	Suffering to the ousets	3.29
	Grand total	33.065
	(As per annexure A)	Say Rs. 33 lakhs

B) BENEFITS

Total Benefits from the Project to accrue in 50 years as per Annexure 'B'

Parameters	Description	Amount
		(Rs. In Lakhs)
1.	Increase in Productivity (Please see annexure A)	Depicted
		catagory wise.
2.	Benefits to economy	96.23
3.	No. population benefited * (-)	(-)13,439
4.	Employment potential * (-)	(-)176.09
5.	Acquisition facilities	Nil
6.	Benefit of agriculture and Animal husbandry	1.28
7.	Cost of rehabilitation	Nil
8.	Cost of free fuelwood supplied to labours	14.00
	Grand Total	111.51
	(As per annexure B)	Say