

## ***COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS GUIDELINES FOR FOREST LAND DIVERSION***

Nature of Project: - Construction of Dharli to Balag Road Km. 0/00 To 18/900 in the state of Himachal Pradesh.

File No.: - FP/HP/ROAD/49449/2020

Date of Proposal: - 05/09/2020

Purpose: -This cost benefit Analysis is being undertaking for Proposed Diversion of Forest Land Being affected due to Construction of Dharli to Balag Road Km. 0/00 To 18/900 in the state of Himachal Pradesh.in the state of Himachal Pradesh.

### **Guidelines for concluding cost-benefit analysis for projects Involving Forest diversion**

- (i) While considering proposal for diversion of forest land for non-forestry use, it is essential that ecological and environmental losses and eco-economic distress caused to the people who are displaced are weighted against economic and social gains.
- (ii) Whenever the forest land is involved in the development projects, the cost of ecosystem services and fragmentation of habitat of wildlife and economic distress caused to people dependent on forests and the cost of settlement of people dependent on forest should also be added as the cost of forest diversion in addition to the standard project cost which would have been incurred by the user agencies without involvement of forest land while conducting the cost benefit analysis of the project. Similarly, the benefits from the project accruing due to diversion of forest land and used in the project should also be accounted for in the benefits component in addition to the standard benefits of the project which would have been accrued without involvement of forest land while conducting the cost benefit analysis and determining the benefit and cost ratio (BC ratio).
- (iii) the cost of compensatory afforestation and its maintenance in future and soil & moisture conservation at present discounted value and future benefits from such compensatory forestation accruing over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as cost- and benefits respectively of compensatory afforestation while conducting the cost benefit analysis and determining the benefit and cost ratio (BC ratio).
- (iv) Table-A lists the details the types of projects involving forest land for which cost benefit analysis will be required Table-B lists the parameters according to which the cost aspect of forest land diverted for the development projects will be determined, while Table-C lists the parameters for assessing the benefits accruing to the project using of forest land.
- (v) A cost-benefit analysis as above should accompany the proposals sent to the Central Government for forest clearance under the Forest Conservation Act.

Deputy Conservator of Forests  
Suket Forest Division  
Sundernagar Distt. Mandi (H.P.)


Executive Engineer,  
Sunder Nagar Division,  
HP.PWD Sunder Nagar.

## COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS GUIDELINES FOR FOREST LAND DIVERSION

Table-A: Cases under which a cost-benefit analysis for forest diversion are required

No.	Nature of Proposal	Applicable/ Not Applicable	Remarks
1	All categories of proposals involving forest land up to 20 hectares in plains and up to 5 hectare in hills.	Not applicable	These proposals may be considered on a case to case basis and value judgment.
2	Proposal for defense installation purposes and oil prospecting (prospecting only)	Not applicable	
3	Habitation, establishment of industrial units, tourist lodges complex and other building construction.	Not applicable	
4	All other proposals involving forestland more than 20 hectares in plains and more than 5 hectares in hills including roads, transmission lines, minor, medium and major irrigation projects, hydro projects, mining activity, railway lines, location specific installations centers, TV towers etc.	Applicable	These are cases where a cost-benefit analysis is necessary to determine when diverting the forest land to non-forest use in the overall public interest.

Deputy Conservator of Forests  
Suket Forest Division  
Sundernagar Distt. Mandi (H.P.)

  
Executive Engineer,  
Sunder Nagar Division,  
HP.PWD Sunder Nagar.


## COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS GUIDELINES FOR FOREST LAND DIVERSION

Table-B: Estimation of cost of forest diversion

No.	Parameters		Remarks
1	Ecosystem services losses due to proposed forest diversion.	9.9732 ha. of forest land to be diverted. NPV cost of the forest area $9.9732 \times 1005210 = \text{Rs. } 10657136/-$ for 50 years. NPV obtained from HP Forest Department website given as per Supreme Court order dated 06.01.2022 value used for Class V dense forest.	Economic value of loss of ecosystem services due to diversion of forests shall be the net present value (NPV) of the forest land being diverted as prescribed by the Central Government (MoEF& CC).
2	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder.	1065713/- (10 % of NPV is more than loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder.	The loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder has been calculated.
3	Cost of human resettlement	Since there will be no residential village area getting affected, there will be no cost of human resettlement.	Nil
4	Loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Roads, Building, Schools, Dispensaries, Electric lines, Railways etc.) on forest land, which would require forest land if these facilities were diverted due to this project	Since no public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Roads, Building, Schools, Dispensaries, Electric Lines, Railways etc.) on forest land being diverted due to the project, there will be no such loss.	No loss will be sustained during the construction this road.
5	Environment losses erosion effect on hydrological cycle, wildlife, habitation, micro climatic rattooing of ecological balances.	3197141/- (30% of environmental Costs (NPV)= 10657136/-)	30% of environment costs (NPV) due to loss of forests or circle rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as a cost component as possession value of forest land whichever is maximum.

6	Suffering of austeas.	Nil	No, any local public are suffering from the construction of the road.
7	Habitat Fragmentation Cost.	5328568/- (50% of environmental Costs (NPV)= 10657136/-	While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex for the sake of simplicity the cost due to Fragmentation has been pegged at 50% of NPV applicable as a thumb rule.
8	Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost.	4423172 CA Plantation +175000 soil & moisture conservation cost. Total=4598172/-	The actual cost of compensatory afforestation and for its maintenance in future at present discounted value has been calculated as per the norms approved by the Pr. CCF(HoFF) HP Shimla.

Deputy Conservator of Forests  
Suket Forest Division  
Sundernagar Distt. Mandi (H.P.)

  
Executive Engineer,  
Sunder Nagar Division,  
HP.PWD Sunder Nagar.

## COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS GUIDELINES FOR FOREST LAND DIVERSION

Table-C: Existing guidelines for estimating benefits of forest-diversion in CBA

No.	Parameters		Remarks
1	Increase in productivity attribute to the specific project	Directly the project will have the potential for temporary employment generation for local people for 1 year generating 59780 Mandays during construction almost Rs 269.01 lacs.	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms
2	Benefits to economy due to the specific project	Salient benefits of the project are minimum Guaranteed amount of Rs 691.97 lacs. Total 1488 nos. of local people to be benefited from the construction of project and 30 percent local people getting employment.	The project will field significant economic benefit to the state. Construction of road will lead to much better connectivity, which will play significant role in improving the socio-economic condition of the people of the state in any folds.
3	No. of population benefited due to specific project.	The construction of this project will provide fast economical and environment friendly transportation of local fruits, crops and vegetables as such the local habitants will be benefited indirectly (Shopkeepers, hoteliers, taxi, potters etc.). Total pilgrims/tourists visit in a year is app 1.5 lakhs. The total of population concerned Panchayat is 1488. On an average each person earns minimum of Rs 500.00 per day. 30% of total population is 580 x 500 x one year (365 days) =1058 lakh annually.	As per detailed project Report


4	<p>Economic benefits due to of direct and indirect employment due to the Specific project.</p>	<p><b>Economic Benefit due to direct employment:</b></p> <p>During construction period of 24 months, approximate 59,780 mandays of temporary employment will be generated by the construction which in monetary terms calculates at the present wage rate to Rs. 59,780 x = Rs. 269.01 Lacs.</p> <p><b>Economic Benefit due to indirect employment:</b></p> <p>The total quantified indirect benefit to a local population will be Rs 269.01 lacs annually. This benefit will also increase @ 8 to 10% each year for 100 years.</p>	<p>As per detailed project Report.</p>
5	<p>Economic benefits due to compensatory afforestation</p>	<p><b>Economic benefit due to Compensatory Afforestation:</b> As per MoEFCC guidelines these benefits are the benefits are the form such CA accruing over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as benefits of CA. For benefits of CA guidelines of Ministry for NPV Sim estimation have been consulted and these benefits have been calculated on two heads namely benefits to CA and benefits due to carbon storage by the afforestation done on CA land. The results are as under:</p>	<p>Benefit from such compensatory Afforestation accruing over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as benefits of CA.</p>

	<p><i>1. Economic benefit due to CA= 21.2038 x 176009 = 3732059/-</i></p> <p><i>2. Economic benefit due to Carbon storage due to CA in Rs lakhs = 21.2038 x 13947=295729/-</i></p> <p><i>Total-(1+2)= 4027788/-</i></p>	
--	---	--

Total Loss = Rs. 10657136+1065713+3197141 +5328568+4423172 = 24671730/-	Total Gain/Benefit from project Rs. 26901000+4027788 = 30928788/-
--	--

Cost Benefit Ratio:- Environment loss/benefit from the project =  $\frac{24671730}{30928788} = 0.79 < 1$ ,  
so project is found viable based on given/above described criteria.

Deputy Conservator of Forests  
Suket Forest Division  
Sundernagar Distt. Mandi (H.P.)

  
Executive Engineer,  
Sunder Nagar Division,  
HP.PWD Sunder Nagar.


**Note-1: Net Present value (NPV) of environment and ecosystem services loss:**

The concept of Net Present value of the forest land diverted is a scientific method of calculating the environmental cost and other losses caused due to diversion of forest land for non-forestry purposes. The NPV represents the net value of various ecosystem services and other environmental services in monetary terms which the forest would have provided if the forest would not have been diverted.

**Note-2: Possession value of forest land diverted:**

The forest land diverted for the project such as irrigation, hydropower, railways, roads, wind, and transmission lines and mining etc are unlikely to be returned and remains in possession of the user agencies. Therefore 30% of the net present value (NPV) of forest land diverted or market rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as a cost component as "possession value of forest land" in addition to the environmental costs due to loss of forests.

  
Deputy Conservator of Forests  
Suket Forest Division  
Sundernagar Distt. Mandi (H.P.)

  
Executive Engineer,  
Sunder Nagar Division,  
HP.PWD Sunder Nagar.