

कार्यालय अधिषाषी अभियन्ता सा. नि. वि. खण्ड शाहाबाद जिला बारां

ईमेल :- pwd_shahabad@rediffmail.com

क्रमांक 665

दिनांक 01.09.2023

श्रीमान् उपवन संरक्षक,
बारां।

विषय:- EDS Reply

कार्य का नाम:- शाहाबाद से राजपुर सड़क निर्माण के वन भूमि प्रत्यावर्तन के प्रस्ताव।

प्रस्ताव सं.- FP/RJ/Road/30083/2017 (4.00 Ha.)

प्रसंग:- श्रीमान् का पत्रांक एफ ()/एफ.सी.ए./उ.व.स./2022-23/8516

दिनांक 28.08.2023 के क्रम में।

महोदय,


उपरोक्त विषयान्तर्गत निवेदन है कि प्रस्ताव में लगाये गये आक्षेपों की

पूर्ति बिन्दुवार निम्न प्रकार है:-

क्र. सं.	बिन्दु	प्रतिउत्तर
1.	NPV rates are not taken as per crop dencsity.	It is pertain to the DCF Baran.
2.	As per part II, rare and endangered flora and fauna found in the area but not mention in site inspection report. Although mitigate measure for the species have not been taken.	It is pertain to the DCF Baran.
3.	CA scheme is provided for 9252348 for 4 ha which is more than prescribe model while estimate provide for 2313087 only. 32.19 ha non forest land has been provided under different road proposals in different patches. It would be better to make scheme patch wise and charge accordingly.	It is pertain to the DCF Baran.
4.	DCF has not been mention legal status of proposed forest land and justification for recommendation.	It is pertain to the DCF Baran.
5.	As per available KML files, partial area of NFL comes under notified forest area.	KML File Prepare is per Geo reference of revenue map and checked by DCF Office surveyor . available KML files is not correct.
6.	The proposed forest land falling	Santora & Rajpur C forest block

	inside the forest block santora and Rajpur C but copy of notification of shahabad is uploaded. Justified it.	notification has been upload.
7.	Alternative routes are not taken properly. KML file of the alternative routes is to be provided.	proper alternate route has been make & uploaded.
8.	Employment details are fictitious.	Proper employment details has been done.
9.	The proposal is falling inside the conservation reserve. Please attach copy of approval of Chief Wild Life Warden.	It is pertain to the DCF Baran.
10.	DCF has taken 6 feet wall along the road which is not as per wildlife management. CCF must reconsider and comment accordingly.	6 feet wall is not justified and cost of project will be so much high. So please wall cost is remove from it project.
11.	Project cost is 300 lacs but as per imposed levies by DCF is more than 300 lacs. How the project is feasible? Project cost should be revised.	The sanction amount of the project is 300 lacs and DCF proposed in 6 feet hight wall & 3-4 under passed but these are not necessary in the project, so requested please minimize the levies cost.

भवदीय


 (हरि प्रसाद मीना)
 अधिशाषी अभियंता
 सा.नि.वि. खण्ड शाहाबाद