



Government of India
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways

Office of the Chief Engineer-Regional Officer

No. 32, 2nd Floor, KSCFL Building,

D. Devaraj Urs Road, (Race Course Road), BENGALURU-560 001

Email: robengaluru@gmail.com; Telephone: 080-22267457, 080-22265765 (F)

No. **RW/BNG/NH-150A/KA-442-537/37/2019/534**

Date: **01.09.2021**

To

Principal Chief Conservator of Forests and Nodal Officer,
Aranya Bhavan, 18th Cross Malleshwaram,
Bengaluru - 560003.

Dear Sir,

Sub: Diversion of 5.4805 Ha of forest land in Doddabyaladakere village (Sy.No 38 & Sy.No 37) Hosadurga Taluk, Chitradurga District and Kenkere village (Sy.No 278) Chiknayakanahalli Taluk, Tumkur District for Reconstruction of two-lane road with Paved shoulders from Ds.Ch. 442+180 (Ex.Km 448.00) to Ds. Ch.485+240 (Ex.Km 494.000) of Huliya to KB Cross section and from Km Ds. 532+100 (Ex.Km 533.150) to Ds. Ch.539+100 (Ex.Km 540.175) from KB Cross to Nelligere section of NH-150A in favour of Superintending Engineer (Civil), Ministry of Road Transport & Highway, Gol, Regional Office, Race course Road Bengaluru, **Compliance to FC Stage-1 Conditions - Reg.**

- Ref:** 1. Forest Proposal No. FP/KA/ROAD/55323/2020 in the State of Karnataka.
2. Letter No. E-Office File No. KFD/HoFF/A5-4(GFL)/45/2020-FC, dated 15.03.2021.
3. Letter no. E-Office File. KFD/HoFF/A5-2(GFL)/45/2020-FC, dated 19-7-2021.

With reference to the above subject, we are herewith submitting the compliances to the conditions (ref: 3) in the Stage-1 Forest Clearance Proposal in the following table along with the necessary draft undertakings required as per the stipulated conditions.

S.No	Reference Item in PART-I	Condition	Remarks	Compliance
1	B-2.3 Village wise breakup	19 village are mentioned and a survey Number details is not mentioned. Please specify the names of all village and then provide the village-wise as well as individual survey number-wise breakup (without clubbing them) of forest as well as non-forest land required for the entire project in this Item. [Not: In case of non-forest lands, only the names of villages may be provided at present Survey number details will be sought only if required during processing.] Only Six components (Carriageway, Paved shoulder, Earthen Shoulder, Slope Protection, Earthen Drain, Future widening & Tree Plantation) have been shown as the Project components. Please ensure that all Components of the Project as mentioned in Detailed Project Reports are completely and correctly (without abbreviations) specified and corresponding requirement of forest/non-forest land is provided in this Item Please ensure that forest as well as non-forest land total match exactly (all decimal points) with the corresponding figures given in other relevant Items. Components are provided but component names are not properly named in uploaded KML file. 1 In Case the project involves further road (either in forest or non-forest land) that also needs to be shown here. 2. Please ensure that there is uniformity of information (including the figures in decimals) provided in different items including Maps, KML files, GPS reading etc.		The survey number wise details are mentioned in B-2.3 ✓
2	B-2.4 Component wise breakup	Tumkur division: 1. Main KML file name are mentioned as [Kengere Forest KML, kml, 2 KENKERE VILLAGE] 2. Sub-file names are given as (Survey No.278 (RHS), Survey No. 278 (LHS), Survey No. 278 (RHS), (Survey No.278 (LHS) and Survey No. 278 (RHS) Survey numbers are mentioned instead of component names. 2. Provide Division wise Forest & Nonforest land KML with proper Component Names. 3. As per KML proposed area extent is (Survey No.278 (RHS)-0.6610 Ha, Survey No.278(LHS)-1.1412 Ha, Survey NJ 278 (RHS)-0.2664 Ha, Survey No.278 (LHS)-0.4146 Ha, Survey No.278(RHS)-0.0520 Ha) =2.5352 Ha) as against 2.5254 Ha in item C.(ii)(b) Complete project alignment running in forest as well as non-forest land is required to ensure that forest land is mistaken as non-forest land for the purpose of the project. The existing right of way and the proposed right of way have not been uploaded which are required for the further analysis. Provide component wise forest & non-forest land KML with proper component naming. <u>1. Please provide the following KML files/sub-files with inbuilt 'measurement' option and proper identifiable File Names.</u> a. KML Files/sub-files (with 'Line' feature) of Existing RoW and Proposed RoW in two distinct colours for the entire Project alignment (forest as well as non-forest segments):		All components of the project are mentioned in KML.
3	C (ii) (a) KML File of Segments			Revised information is submitted.

S.No	Reference Item in PART-I	Condition		Compliance
			Remarks	
4	C(iv) Scanned copy of the Geo-referenced map of the forest land proposed to be diverted prepared by using GPS or Total Station		b. KML Files/sub-files (with 'Polygon' feature) of forest land proposed for diversion (in single colour) [already uploaded and just need uniformity of colour (preferably Green)]	Full village map are submitted
			c. KML File (with 'Line' feature) of proposed Centre Line for entire Project alignment (forest as well as non-forest) in a different colour	
			Please ensure that the KML Polygon (forest) corner points match exactly with and corresponding GPS reading and also with ground features seen on satellite imagery.	
			Tumkur division:	
			Part Village map is uploaded & complete village map needs to be uploaded in this item.	
			Part village maps are uploaded in Additional information details Sl.no.5 & 14.	
			Provided GPS points are overlapping with uploaded KML, corners but in two corners points are missing	
			Complete village map needs to be uploaded	
			The proposed area should be overlaid on Cadastral / Tiff Village map	
			Please upload the following two types of georeferenced maps (both merged into a single pdf) showing the forest area proposed for diversion (as well as non-forest area) (if any two kinds of segments shown into two different colors) in the given village limits on the following scales	
			Map-1: Ordinary village map scale (1:7920)	
			Map-II: At a much larger scale (with greater zoom) for a detailed view of the project area.	
			2. Please ensure hat each of above maps:	
			a. Upload the original scanned map (not a photography)	
			b. Has a proper map index & map title	
			c. Is duly ink-signed by User agency	
5	D(i) Copy of note containing justification for location the Project in forest land (ii)		d. Shows all survey numbers and boundaries in and around the project area clearly.	Old justification note are uploaded.
			e. Shows the entire alignment (forest and non-forest) of the project in the village limits in two different colors.	
			f. Provide the GPS readings (in Datum: WGS 84; in Degree, decimal) of all corners/turning points of the forest area proposed for diversion (as well as at every 20 metre interval along the curves within forest limits). (Note: After stage-1 approval, RCC pillars will have to be provided at all these GPS points. However, temporary stone pillars are sufficient for field verification at present.	
			g. GPS points (serial number wise) prominently should be marked on corresponding corners points of forest area on the Map.	
			Please upload the Old Justification Note-which has been mysteriously deleted and also has been uploaded with another one with important missing information regarding agency responsible for implementing the above project.	

S.No	Condition		Compliance
	Reference Item in PART-I	Remarks	
	Whether a copy of map indicating location of alternative alignment is required to be provided (a) Copy of map indicating location of alternative alignment examined	1. Please upload a deleted Justification Note on official letterhead under seal and signature (with date) of User Agency duly giving the reasons in Item D(i) what alternative alignments (non-forest) have been examined before zeroing in on the presently proposed alignment through forest land and how it is unavoidable to utilise the presently proposed forest land for the project. 2. Please note that the Government of India specifically seeks the map showing alternative alignment (non-forest) examined by User Agency in Item D (ii)(a) and also the exact reasons for discarding such alternative alignments in favour of presently proposed alignment through forest land. 3. It is thus advised to a. Examine at least 1-2 alternative alignment(s) (non- forest) and <u>upload a map on appropriate scale showing such alternative alignments as well as the presently proposed alignment through forest land in Item D(ii)(a); and also</u> c. <u>Provide the detailed valid reasons as a separate Note (merged with the above mentioned Map into a single PDF file) in Item D(ii)(a) why each of these alternative alignments has been discarded in favour of presently proposed alignment through forest land.</u>	All documents will be uploaded. There are no alternatives examined to this project. Hence not required.
	K(i) Whether the Process for settlement of rights under the Forest Rights Acts 2006 on the forestland proposed to be diverted has been completed	The No, Option is selected in Item K(i). Please upload the documents required in their designated item in Part-1 Have to be uploaded in such items only and no tin Additional Information details for taking proper cognizance. Please note that RoFR compliance certificate Form-1 (for liner projects) issue by Deputy commissioner as per the attest FC Guidelines issued by GOI MOEFCC, new Delhi vide F.No.5-2/2017-FC dated 28-3-2019 (available on FC Portal) will have to be submitted before final approval under FC Act.	Undertaking for FRA is already uploaded.
6	L. Details of Land identified for Compensatory Afforestation	With regard to the requirement of CA land for the project, it is clarified that Para 2.5(i)(f) of FC guidelines provides for exemption from providing non-forest land CA purposes for the projects implemented by the Central Govt/Central PSUs, Project implemented by/through State Government. The justification Note uploaded in Item D(i) mentions the NH Division, PWD, Government of Karnataka as the agency for implementation. In other words, the instant proposal wherein the MORTH is named as User Agency in item A-2 but State PWD NH Division as the implementation Agency in Justification Note in Item D(i) is a project covered under above exclusion clause of FC Guidelines and is thus likely to require non-forest land CA purpose.	As per clarification issued by GOI (MoEF&CC) vide letter File No. FC-11/50/2020-FC dated 18-8-2020, if the User agency is MoR&TH (Central Government) or a Central PSU, the dispensation of Compensatory Afforestation over double

S.No	Condition		Compliance
	Reference Item in PART-I	Remarks	
		The clarification issued by GOI (MoEF &CC) vide letter File No.FC-11/50/2020-FC dated 18-8-2020 suggests that it does not supersede the Para 2.5(i)(f) of the FC Guidelines. b. Please note that, even though the instant proposal is being processed by this office presently without insisting upon the requirement of non-forest CA land for the time being in view of the GOI (MoEF&CC) LETTER DATED 18-08-2020, the CA land matter will need a relook by GOI at the considering Stage-I approval. It is therefore advised to take up the matter at GOI level once again to avoid delays on CA land matter at a later stage.	the degraded forest land, instead of equivalent non-forest land will be available. Further, this CA land issue will be addressed at GOI stage as per suggestions.
	Additional Details	1. Please upload the following Documents a. Clarification (on official letterhead) why 2.3778 hectare of forest land (43% of total 5.4805-hectare forest extent proposed for diversion) is included in the restricted to the present minimum forest land requirement? b. A document issued by Competent Authority specifying the notified/existing width (RoW) of the road (especially within forest limits) as on or prior to 25-10-1980. [Please note that this specific width becomes the reference line for proposed road widening]; c. PDF File (convertible to MS Excel Sheet) containing a Tabular statement (with Longitude and latitude columns) showing Segment-wise GPS reading (Datum: WGS 84; in Degree, decimals) of all corners of forest land proposed for diversion as well as at every 20 meter interval (If there are any changes to the older one)	Necessary documents are uploaded.
	Information	2. In order to avoid delays in processing, please ensure that; a. Each issue mentioned above is carefully addressed before re-submission of application. b. Other crucial information not pointed out above does not get altered/deleted while re-submitting the application online. c. No work in forest land is undertaken without prior approval under FC Act.	Revised as per suggestion same is uploaded


(S. SADANANDA BABU)

Superintending Engineer (Civil)
Ministry of Road Transport & Highways
Bengaluru - 560 001