No 2145 HPPWD Dated: 24.09.18

To,

The DFO,
DFO Forest Office
Nachan.

Subject: - Diversion of 1.21 ha of forest land in favor of HPPWD for the construction of kharsi Dughal road km 0/0 to 2/003 within the jurisdiction of Nachan Forest Division Distt. Mandi, H.P.

OBSERVATION:	REPLY:
1. Against column C (iii) part-I, although a copy of toposheet has been uploaded but as per latest instruction of GoI, against this column map of the proposed project on toposheet duly signed by the XEN and DFO concerned is required to be uploaded.	:-Signed copy of Toposheet and digital map has been uploaded and attached.
2. Against column C (IV) in online part-I, although the digital map of proposed road has been uploaded, but as per latest instruction of GoI, against this column map of the proposed project duly signed by the XEN and DFO concerned is required to be uploaded.	:- Signed copy digital map has been uploaded and attached
3. Against column No. D (I), although justification has been uploaded but has not been signed by DFO concerned. Further the map showing the alternate alignment duly signed by user agency and DFO concerned has not been found uploaded against column no. D (ii) whereas in the justification three alignments have been examined. As per latest instruction of GoI, all the maps documents uploaded in part-I duly signed by the UA and DFO concerned are required to be uploaded.	: - All the document is uploaded with the counter sign of DFO concern.
4. There is difference in the employment likely to be generated mentioned in online part-1 and mentioned in hard copy of part-I. In online part-I against column No. E (ii). 'I' and against column No. E (iii), '15000', has been mentioned whereas in hard copy of part-I, against column NO. I (6), 'about 40000 mandays will be generated', have been mentioned. The employment likely to be generated mentioned in online part-I and mentioned in hard copy of part-I should tally. Necessary correction is required to be made in online part-I, and hard copy of the proposal.	: - The corrected employment let me to be generated has been corrected online as well as in hard copy. i.e. 15000.
5. There is difference in the approximate distance mentioned in online part-II and mentioned in hard copy of part-II. In online part II, against column No. 7. Approximate distance 0.700Kms has been mentioned whereas in hard copy against column No. 7(ix). 'Through Forest Land', has been mentioned. The distance mentioned in online as well as in hardcopy should tally.	: - Objection related to DFO office.
6. The abstract of trees and enumeration lists of trees placed at P.NO. 33-36 of the proposal folder are not tallying with the abstract of trees placed at P.No.37 of the proposal folder.	: - Objection related to DFO office
7. Against column 8(iii), 'No', has been mentioned. As per latest instructions of GoI,, the distance of the proposed projects from the WL sanctuary/Eco- sensitive zones is required to be uploaded as an additional document against additional information details in online part-II and if falling within the 10Kms of protected areas, the comments of Chief wild life warden of the State are required to be obtained for uploading against additional information details.	-:-Objection related to DFO office
8. CA and PCA has been proposed over 2.42 Ha 4.20 ha, but in the details of patch, the detail of only one patch of 4.20 ha has been given whereas in uploaded KML file on Google earth, the two patched have been given shown. Thus in the details to two patches are required to be mentioned. Further, in the CA scheme of 4.20 ha of forest land, the forest to be afforested 0.56 ha has been mentioned. Necessary correction is required to be made in forest area in scheme and revised CA scheme is required to be uploaded.	-:-Objection related to DFO office

Executive Engineer
HP PWD -Division
Seraj at Janjehli