

# MUNICIPAL CORPORATION SHIMLA

No: MCS/PD/Ropeway/2018- 1318-19

Dated: 25.09.2018

From

Commissioner office,  
Municipal Corporation, Shimla.

To

✓  
Divisional Forest Officer,  
Shimla Urban Forest Divisional,  
Shimla-2

**Subject:** Diversion of 3.6593 ha of forest land in favour of Municipal Corporation Shimla for the construction of Shimla Passenger Ropeway Project, within the jurisdiction of Shimla (U) Forest Division, Distt.Shimla, H.P (online no. FP/HP others/32176/2018).

**Reference:-** Your officer endorsement letter no. (FCA).1789 dated 12-09-2018

Sir

In reference to your officer letter as referred in reference, the observation raised which pertains to this office has been attended and point wise reply is as under:-

Sr.No	Observation	Reply
1	The category of the project is mentioned as 'Others' while ropeway projects fall under linear category	The shape of the forest land proposed to be diverted has already been uploaded as <b>linear project</b> in part-I A-(vi) and C(ii). Whereas the category of the project has been mentioned <b>others</b> because in the category list of projects, there is no option for <b>Ropeway Project</b> so it has to be mentioned in category as <b>others</b> .
2	Administrative approval is not submitted by the State Govt.	Now, Administrative Approval has been uploaded on online and hard copy has also been attached.
3	Scientific names of trees for tree enumeration are not uploaded at para-II.	This observation pertains to your good office please.
4	A large number of deodar and oak trees are affected by the project that may be taken care of by the Hon'ble NGT order dated 31.08-2017 whereby the State Govt. has identified 18 ha of plantation site nearby the project area. However as per DSS analysis 2 ha is found under VDF and 12 ha under MDF.	This observation pertains to your good office please.



5	NPV calculation is for 'dense forest' which needs to be reviewed (enumeration list of trees i.e. 372 trees/ha).	This observation pertains to your good office please.
6	Working Plan prescription seems to be incorrect.	This observation pertains to your good office please.
7	Reclamation Plan for muck dumping site is not submitted by the State Govt.	The muck generated has to be utilized within the same tower/ Station, by constructing the same, partly in cutting and partly in filling. So, no surplus muck will be available for dumping at other dumping site. The same has been shown in the plan already attached.
8	VLC Proceedings submitted do not match with the name of village affected in B 2.3 Para 1.	The name of the villages as per VLC Proceedings has been corrected on online portal in part-I B 2.3 as per FRA certificate.
9	As per record, it is not clear how many trees would be retained/ cut.	The details of cutting and non-Cutting trees has been enclosed as check list No-14 i.e. enumeration list of trees.

Yours Faithfully,

  
 EE-Cum Project Director  
 Municipal Corporation Shimla.

Copy to:

Nodal officer-Cum-Addl.Pr.CCF(FCA) O/o Pr. CCF.HP Shimla w.r.f. to his endorsement letter No. FT.48-3468/2016(FCA) dated 05-09-2018 for information & further necessary action please.

  
 EE-Cum Project Director,  
 Municipal Corporation Shimla.