संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण रिपोर्ट

<u>दमेाह जिले में प्रस्तावित अभाना से धनसरा मार्ग पर दमोह वन मंडल के अंतर्गत (सड़क के</u> <u>किनारे–किनारे) ओ.एफ.सी. केविल लाईन डालने में प्रभावित वनभूमि के व्यपवर्तन हेतु 0.534 हे. आवेदित वनभूमि का परिक्षेत्र अधिकारी सगोनी द्वारा दिनांक 19.08.2018 को संयुक्त स्थल निरीक्षण अनुसार प्रतिवेदन निम्नानुसार है:–</u>

S. No	Pariticular	Inspection Report by DFO (T.)					
1	Extent is hectares.	S. No.	Range	Comp. No.	Length m.	Width m.	Area (h.)
		1	Sagoni	RF 417	1900	0.60	0.114
		2	Sagoni	RF 418	2300	0.60	0.138
		3	Sagoni	RF 419	1900	0.60	0.114
		4	Sagoni	RF 423	1000	0.60	0.060
		5	Sagoni	RF 424	1300	0.60	0.078
		6	Sagoni	RF 415	500	0.60	0.030
	1				8900	0.60	0.534
2	Location (lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	According to Attached Panchnama.					
3	Legal status of the forest land (Protected forest, reserved forests, revenue forest lands or any other forest land)	Reserved forests					
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	Yes					
5	Any signs of encroachment.	No					
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the user agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No					
7	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc.	Site Quality -VB, Density Blanck Composition .					
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife) Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	Area has no importance from wildlife point of view.					
9	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	Not applicable.					
10	Current land use. Is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not, why	No.					
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	Area has no importance from historical or religious point of view.					
12	Any dependent persons/families on the land.	No persons/Families are dependent on the proposed area.					
13	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No displacement proposed.					
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected ? Is there any	As no persons/families are dependent on the proposed area, therefore no resettlement and rehabilitation plan is applicable					
	dissenting voice among the persons to be affected	and there is no dissenting voice.					

1	Is there any dissenting voice among the persons					
10	oposed to be displaced ?					
15	Compensatory a forestation proposed is on forest	Not applicable.				
	land or non- forest land. Location of the area,					
	suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded					
	forest land then what is the current Working Plan					
	prescription for the area ? Distance of the non-					
	forest land for CA from the nearest forest area.					
	Number of patches in case the area should be					
	more than kms.					
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected	Proposed area is not a part of protected area. Proposed area is				
	area. Also distance from the boundary of the	at 15.00 kms from Verangna Durgawati Singorghar Sanctuary.				
	nearest protected area should be more than 10					
	kms.					
17	Dependence of tribals in the area. Whether the	No.				
	rights of the tribals have been recognized in the					
	area.					
18	Utility of the project, including the people living	Project is of great importance from national point of view and				
	in close vicinity of the project.	also for Provided the Better Communication Facilities				
		including generation of employment to those living in close				
		vicinity of the project.				
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions	It is an application for allocation of fresh forest land.				
	stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been					
	complied with.					
20	Alternatives examined by the user agency in case	Not applicable.				
	of non-site specific projects.					
21	A certificate by the user agency that the forest					
	land requested for diversion for non-forestry					
	purpose is bare minimum.					
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring	No				
	that the purpose for which the forest land is being					
	diverted is also not adversely affected.					
23	Any other issue of significance.	No				
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with	To Provide better Communication Facilities in Distt. Damoh. It				
	reasons for approval of the project.	is recommended to divert 0.534 ha. minimum forest land				
		affected in Laying of OFC line project.				
9.12						

r.,

20.9.12 **Divisional Forest Officer** Damoh(T)