

No/- FCA Mandi 3715

HP Forest Department

Dated Mandi the/ 01-09-2021

From:- D.C.F. Mandi

To:- C.C.F. Mandi

Subject:-

Diversion of 27.5709 hac of forest land for setting up of National Response Force (Battalion) in favour of Sub Divisional Officer, (Civil), Tehsil Balh, District Mandi H.P with in the jurisdiction of Mandi Forest Division, District Mandi H.P.

Kindly refer to your office letter No. FCA/ (Mandi)/4877 dated 13/08/2021 on this subjected above

In this proposal the observations raised by the Nodal Officer cum APCCF (FCA) Office of Pr.CCF (HoFF) HP Shimla vide letter No.Ft 48-5295/2020 (FCA) dated 11/08/2021 have been attended by user agency as well as this office and same furnished in annotated manners is as under:-

Sr. No.	Observations	Reply
1.	There is difference in estimated cost of the project mentioned against column No. A-1(vii) of online part-I and mentioned against column No. I(iii) in hard copy of part-I. In online part-I, 9800 (in lac) has been mentioned and hard copy Rs. 105 Lac has been mentioned. The estimated cost mentioned in online part-I and in hard copy of part-I should match.	Needful has been done. The actual figure of estimated cost is Rs. 105 lacs. The figure has been corrected in online MOEF portal as well as in part I against column No (iii).
2.	There is difference in employment likely to be generated, mentioned against column No. E in online Part-I and given in hard copy of the proposal. Against column No. E(ii), '2150' and against E(iii), '478242', has been mentioned whereas in hard copy of part-I against column No. I(vi), only '480392', man days has been mentioned. The employment details given in online part-I and placed in hard copy should match. Necessary corrections are required to be made in the employment details in hard copy of part-I.	Needful has been done. The actually Employment generated 480392 the same has been uploaded in MOEF portal and corrected in column no. (vi) in part -I in each proposal folder at page 13.
3.	There is difference in density of vegetation mentioned against column No. 4(i) in online part-II and mentioned against column No. 7(VI) of hard copy of Part-II. In online part-I, 0.0542 and hard copy of part-II 0.0542% has been mentioned. The density of vegetation is required to be mentioned in decimal unit and not in %. Necessary correction is required to be made in hard copy of part-II.	The density of the vegetation has been written down 0.0542 % against column No. IV has been filled up and correct figure has been filled up in column No.IV in online part II.
4.	Patch No. 1 of CA site is not downloaded. Hence the revised KML of patch No. 1 is required to be uploaded.	The patch no. 1 of C.A. Scheme has been uploaded in the MOEF portal of along with kml file.

5.	CA has been proposed in 9 patches but the CA scheme of total 55.41 has been uploaded in online part-II and also uploaded against column No. 13(ii). As per GoI instructions in earlier submitted proposal, the CA scheme of each patch is required to be prepared separately and should be uploaded against column No. 13(ii) of part-II. Further the cost norms of 2021-22 have been uploaded on the website of HP Forest department. CA scheme is required to be submitted /uploaded on current norms.	The CA scheme 55.41 hac. has been prepared as per the rates upload by the competent authority and placed in each proposal folders at page 75. <i>The CA Scheme will be finalized the stage I approval bundle.</i>
6.	There is difference in district profile mentioned in online part-II and in hard copy of part-III.	The needful has been done.
7.	Check List Sr. No. 7, at P. No. 28 of the proposal folder has to be signed by the DFO concerned and not to be countersigned. Further the Forest area in this check list has been mentioned in bigha instead of hectare. Necessary corrections are required to be made in check list Dr. No. 7.	The check list No. 7 earlier written down land diverted has been shown in bighas the same has been converted in to hectare. The check list No.7 duly authenticated by the Sub Divisional Officer (Civil) Balh, Distt. Mandi HP and placed in each proposal folder at page No. 31, 32,33, 34 &35
8.	Enumeration lists of trees placed in the proposal folder are not visible. Visible copies of the enumeration lists are required to be placed in proposal folder. Further the lists/general abstracts of trees have been countersigned by DFO concerned instead of signing the enumerations lists/abstract.	The enumeration list of trees placed in each proposal folder at page No. 37 to 51 is visible and duly authenticated by the authority concerned.
9.	All the photocopies of the documents placed in the proposal folder should either be original or attested by the competent authority	Needful has been done and the entire certificate has been signed by the Sub Divisional Officer (Civil) Balh, Distt. Mandi HP.

In view of the above facts it is, therefore, requested that necessary approval of the above mentioned proposal may kindly be obtained from the competent authority and conveyed to this office please. The three Nos. of proposal folders received vide letter under reference are returned herewith in original for taking for further necessary action end please.

Deputy conservator of Forests,
Mandi Forest Division H.P.

Endst No./ _____

Dated Mandi the/ _____

Copy is forwarded to Sub Divisional Officer (Civil) Balh, District Mandi H.P. with reference to his office letter No. 6382 on dated 31-08-2021 for information and necessary action.

Deputy conservator of Forests,
Mandi Forest Division H.P.