

कार्यालय अधिशासी अभियन्ता
प्रान्तीय खण्ड लोक निर्माण विभाग,
रुद्रप्रयाग

Website-http://pwd.uk.gov.in

Fax: 01364-233204, E-mail ID: eepwd_rpg@rediffmail.com

पत्र संख्या
सेवामें,

दिनांक /20.....

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी
रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग,
रुद्रप्रयाग।

विषय— वनभूमि पत्रावली संख्या FP/UK/ROAD/10625/2015 गैठाणा सिरवाडी मोटर मार्ग का नव निर्माण कार्य हेतु वनभूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यों हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग, को हस्तान्तरण करने के सम्बन्ध में।

सन्दर्भ:— भारत सरकार के क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय द्वारा ऑन लाइन लगाई गयी आपत्ति दिनांक 04.07.2017।

महोदय,

उक्त वनभूमि पत्रावली में भारत सरकार के क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय द्वारा ऑन लाइन लगायी गयी आपत्तियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण निम्न प्रकार किया गया है—

| Sr. | EDS Details | EDS Removed as follows |
|-----|--|--|
| 1 | It is seen from the KML file that this proposed road does not appear to benefit the Gaithana & Sirwari villages because these villages already appear to be located near the existing roads. However, one human habitation located towards southern half of the proposed road appears to be benefited by this road. But, this habitation is close to one existing road near Sirwari & the construction of road from Sirwari end will involve less forest land. State Govt. may explore this feasibility. | There is a need to connect Bhelunta from both ends i.e. from Gaithana and Sirwari end. Justification for this double connectivity has been uploaded at other documents as Justification. |
| 2 | It is also seen from KML file of the road that approx. 126 m length of proposed road is passing through the area selected for CA involving approx. 0.09 ha land. This situation is bound to reduce the net area available for CA. State Govt. may either increase the CA area proportionately or change the CA site and submit necessary revised documents. | CA site has been changed. GEO reference map, Topographic map and KML file of new CA location has been uploaded Form A Part I at L (iv) ©, (v) and (vi) |
| 3 | Density is mentioned as 0.3 in para-4 of online Part-II and 0.001 in the old format of Part-II attached in hard copy of the proposal but NPV rate is charged for dense forest category in Eco-class-V. This mismatch in density in different documents and NPV rate is required to be reviewed | This EDS is related to DFO |
| 4 | It is mentioned in the FRA certificate that the forest land proposed for diversion falls within the jurisdiction of Gaithana, Sirwari and Bhelunta villages but the proceedings of VLC meeting has been provided for Gaithana village only. Hence, the proceedings of VLC meeting of Sirwari and Bhelunta is also required to be submitted. | VLC proceeding for Gaithana, Sirwadi and Bhelunta have been uploaded at other document and FRA certificate at K (i) (a) Note- Bhelunta is not a revenue village. It comes under revenue village Badhani. Hence for Bhelunta, "VLC proceeding of Badhani" has been uploaded in FRA certificate. |

अधिशासी अभियन्ता
प्रान्तीय खण्ड लो0नि0वि0
रुद्रप्रयाग।