

Site Inspection Report

(Prescribed vide PCCF (HoFF) No Desk-17-4. Dated.05/11/2015)

Sr. No.	Items	Observation and remarks	
1	Name of the project and location	Diversion of 216.33 ha. Zudpi Jungle land of 70 Villages in Saoner Taluka, Dist. Nagpur under Category - I Diversion of 32,229 ha fragmented Zudpi Jungle for public purpose/public infrastructure in consolidated from using simplified procedure under Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980	
	Range :	Kalmeshwar	Khapa
	Round :	Aadasa, Kalmeshwar	Khubala, Kelwad, Nagalwadi, Khapa
	Beat :	Aadasa, Kalmeshwar, Mohpa	Khapa, Badegaon, Kelwad, Sonpur, Kothurna, Badegaon, Tembhurdoh, Kormeta
2	Name of the User Agency.	Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Saoner, Dist. Nagpur	
3	Date of site inspection.	02/9/2017	
4	Extent (ha.) and legal status of forest land proposed for diversion.	216.33 ha. Zudpi Jungle land.	
5	a) Details of forest land proposed for diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land.	Category-I Diversion of 32,229 ha fragmented Zudpi Jungle for public purpose/ public infrastructure in consolidated from using simplified procedure as per Govt. of India, guidelines no.8-33/2-1-FC dt. 4/3/2014.	
	b) Density and Eco-value class.	Density – 0.1, Eco- Value class – III	
6	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the User Agency in col. 2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined.	The required land proposed by user agency is unavoidable and barest minimum demand. Necessary certificate from User Agency and DCF is enclosed at Page no..... of the proposal.	
7	Whether the proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, details thereof.	As per Coln. No. 5 a) above.	
8	a) Whether forest area proposed for diversion is important from wildlife point of view or not.	The Forest area proposed is comes under Category – I Diversion of 32,229 ha fragmented Zudpi Jungle for public purpose/public infrastructure in consolidated from using simplified procedure and not suitable from wildlife point of view.	
	b) Details of any rare or endangered or unique species of flora and fauna found in proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof.	As per working plan no endangered flora and fauna is recorded in the proposed areas.	
	c) Aerial distance from the nearest boundary of any protected Area (km).	1) Approx 55 kms. Umred-Karhandla WLS. 2) Approx 1.5 kms. from Mansingdeo WLS. 3) Approx 27.19 kms. from Bore WLS.	
	d) Remarks about sensitivity of the forest area likely to be affected due to project.	The Forest area proposed is comes under Category – I Diversion of 32,229 ha fragmented Zudpi Jungle for public purpose/public infrastructure in consolidated from using simplified procedure and due to this no nearby forest area will be adversely affected.	
	e) Whether wildlife mitigation plan is required? If yes, reasons therefore:	The Forest area proposed is comes under Category – I Diversion of 32,229 ha fragmented Zudpi Jungle for public purpose/public infrastructure in consolidated from using simplified procedure and no wildlife mitigation plan is required.	

9	Details of vegetation:	0.1
	a) Total number of trees to be felled:	There is cutting & felling involved in the proposal.
	b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm:	
	c) Number of trees to be felled of girth above 60 cm:	
	d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area.	Not Applicable.
10	Background note on the proposal (short summary).	Short Note already given in the project proposal.
11	Whether the proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached.	The proposed area falls under Category - I Diversion of 32,229 ha fragmented Zudpi Jungle for public purpose/public infrastructure in consolidated form using simplified procedure under Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980. As per this category there is no Violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980 found.
12	Whether the proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced person. If yes, whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government?	No.
13	Details on catchment and culturable command area under the project (if applicable).	Not Applicable.
	Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable)	Not Applicable.
14	Utility of the project.	This proposal is aimed at fulfilling the government directions as per the simplified procedure under FC Act, 1980.
15	Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/religious values? Whether any sacred grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas proposed for diversion?	No.
16	Any other important information related to the project (Separate note may be attached, if required).	Details have already given in the project proposal.
17	Details of documents and photographs enclosed:	Necessary certificates and maps are attached with the proposal.
18	Recommendations of the Inspecting Officer (CCF/CF/DCF).	<i>Recommended for approval.</i>

Place: Nagpur
Date: 04/9/2017

(Signature) 
Name of Inspecting Officer: G. Mallikarjuna
Designation: CCF, Conservator of Forest
Nagpur Forest Division
NAGPUR