Full Title of the Project: Diversion of 6.2255 ha forest land under the possession of forest Department as being river bed proposed for mining lease.

Date of Proposal:	rile No- FP/HP/MIN/30477/2017	
	Date of Proposal:	

Check list No. 29

As per handbook of guideline of Forest (conservation) Act, 1980 issued by GoI, MoEF & CC vide letter No. F.No. 5-2/2017-FC dated 28.03.2019 in annexure –III of cost benefit analysis, all categories of proposals involving forest land upto 20 ha in plains are not warranted to provide cost benefit analysis. However keeping in regard the observation raised in letter No. 8-B/HP/05/27/2021/FC/234 dated 26.03.2021 of GoI, MoEF & CC following cost benefit analysis being given hereunder:-

Table -B: COST OF FOREST DIVERSION

Sr. No		Value expressed to Monetary terms and in Details	Remarks
1	Eco-system services losses due to proposed forest diversion	Rs. 40,90,154/-	Nil As this is a riverhad resident
2	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder		As this is a riverbed project -Nil As this is a riverbed project.
3.	Cost of human resettlement	Since no residential village area is getting affected, there will be no cost of human resettlement	Nil No human resettlement is occurring due to the project as this is riverbed project for collection of sand, stone and bajri.
4	Loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Roads, Building, School, dispensaries electric lines, railways, etc.) on forest land, which would require forest land if these facilities were diverted due to the project.	diverted to mining lease,	Nil
5.	Possession value of forest land diverted	Rs. 12,27,046/-	30% of environmental costs (NPV) due to loss of forest or circle rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as a cost component as

			possession value of forestland whichever is maximum. River borne material will be mined from within the corridors of river during dry season causing no damage to the Environment and user agency ready to pay the applicable cost as per the guidelines.
6.	Cost of suffering to outsees	Nil	The entire mining is confined within the river bed with no human habitation, thus causing no oustees.
7	Habitat Fragmentation cost	Rs. 20,45,077/-	While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex, for the sake of simplicity the cost due to fragmentation has been pegged at 50% of NPV applicable as thumb rule. River borne material will be mined from within the corridors of river during dry season shall be causing no damage to the Environment.
8	Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost	I .	The actual cost of compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation and its maintenance in future at present discounted value. Cost of CA as and when applicable shall be borne by the applicant.

Table C: BENEFITS OF FOREST-DIVERSION:

PERIOD OF THE MINING LEASE: 15 YEARS

IAKAN	IETE	RS	REMARKS							
			Not be a second of the Second							
Increase in										
project	·	specific	S.	Particulars	nd 144550 As approved					
			1	Sand						
			2.	Bajri	192750 -do-		- 0			
			3. Boulder 252975 -do-							
Benefits to ec	onom	y due to	Tenta	tive royalty	from	mining	i.e. S	Sand, Sto	ne & Bajri:	
specific project			S.	Particulars		Rate	as (Quantity	Remarks (Amount)	
			1	1 Gross revenue/ 76.65 118055			90,50,000 (Yearly cost)			
			2						25,39,50,578	
			3. Grad Total:				26,30,00,578			
	attribute to project Benefits to ec	Benefits to econom	attribute to the specific project Benefits to economy due to	attribute to the specific project Benefits to economy due to specific project S. No. 1 2. 3. S. No. 1 2. 1 2. 1 2. 1 3. 1	attribute to the specific project Solution Sand	attribute to the specific project S. Particulars Qua In M	attribute to the specific project S. Particulars Quantity In MT 1 Sand 144550 2. Bajri 192750 3. Boulder 252975 Benefits to economy due to specific project Tentative royalty from mining S. Particulars Rate per M 1 Gross revenue/ 76.65 royalty 2 Tentative revenue/royalt years as per 10 % incre 3 rd year.	attribute to the specific project S. Particulars Quantity In MT 1 Sand 144550 As a for 2. Bajri 192750 -do 3. Boulder 252975 -do Benefits to economy due to specific project Tentative royalty from mining i.e. Some per MT 1 Gross revenue/ 76.65 royalty 2 Tentative revenue/royalty of years as per 10 % increase 3rd year.	attribute to the specific project S. Particulars Quantity In MT 1 Sand 144550 As approved for the period 2. Bajri 192750 -do- 3. Boulder 252975 -do- Tentative royalty from mining i.e. Sand, Sto S. Particulars Rate as Quantity per MT In MT 1 Gross revenue/ 76.65 118055 royalty 2 Tentative revenue/royalty of next 14 years as per 10 % increase from the 3 rd year.	attribute to the specific project S. Particulars Quantity Remarks

		S. No.	Particulars	Rate as per MT	Quantity In MT	Amount		
		1	DMFT	10 per MT	118055	118065		
		2.	Panchayat Tax	5 per trolley	118055	59028		
		3.	CGCR	10 per MT	118055	1180550		
		4	GST	5%	118055	2262500		
3	No. C		Total			3620143		
	No. of population benefit due to specific project	Approximately 12598 Nos.						
4	Economic benefits due to of direct and indirect employment due to the project							
5	Economic benefits due to compensatory afforestation.	River borne material will be mined from within the corridors of river during dry season causing no damage to the Environment. There will be no cutting of trees carried out due to project as only river land is acquired under possession of Forest Department is acquired.						

CALCULATION OF COST BEBFIT RATIO: D.

Total benefits (As per Table C: benefits of Forest Diversion) = Rs. 26,66,20,721/-

Total Cost (As per table B: Cost of forest Diversion) = Rs. 86,63,173/-

Hence, Benefit/Cost Ratio =

Thus, the project gives positive benefit/ Cost Ratio.

Signature of user agency

(Tapender Singh Saini)

User agency

Counter signed by:-