

**COST BENEFITS ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOI FC GUIDLINES NO 7- 69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017**

Name of Project: Four laning of Muzaffarnagar (Start from existing Km 5+140 of SH 12A)) -to Miranpur (End on existing Km of 60+000 of NH-34) of NH-709AD in the State of Uttar Pradesh Package-III (km 82.840 to km 110.001)

Nature of Proposal: Diversion of 31.5323 ha of forest land in Muzaffarnagar Division for Four laning of Muzaffarnagar (Start from existing Km 5+140 of SH 12A)) -to Miranpur (End on existing Km of 60+000 of NH-34) of NH-709AD in the State of Uttar Pradesh Package-III (km 82.840 to km 110.001) in favor of the Project Director, NHAI. PIU, Baghpat.

Total Design Length of the Project Road: Total Design Length 27.161 kms.


Project Highway comprises to section of Muzaffarnagar to Miranpur Pkg-III of km 82.840 to km 110.001 divided into two sections and the Section -I Starts from Muzaffarnagar from Design Chainage 82+840 (Existing Km 5+080 of SH 12A) near Muzaffarnagar to End at Design Chainage 109.00 (Existing km 30.330 of SH12A) and Section II Starts from Design Chainage 109.000 (Existing km 56.850 of NH-34) to Design Chainage 110+001 (Existing Km 60+000 of NH-34) of New NH-709AD in the State of Uttar Pradesh Total Design Length 27.161 kms.

Number of District through which project road traverses- 1 No i.e Muzaffarnagar

Total forest area proposed for diversion: 31.5323 ha

Purpose: The cost Benefit Analysis is being undertaken as the required forest land is > 20 hectre for proposed diversion of forest land being affected due to widening of existing road for above said project.


प्रभागीय निदेशक
सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग
मुजफ्फरनगर


परियोजना निदेशक
Project Director
भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
National Highway Authority of India
पी० आई० यू० - बागपत
P.I.U. - Baghpat


संजय कुमार मिश्रा
परियोजना निदेशक
पी० आई० यू०-बागपत
Sanjay Kumar Mishra
Project Director

**COST BENEFITS ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOI FC GUIDLINES NO 7- 69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017**

Guidelines for conducting cost-benefit analysis for projects involving forest diversion

- (i) While considering proposal for diversion of forest land for non forestry use, it is essential that ecological and environmental losses and eco economic distress caused to the people who are displaced are weighted against economic and social gains.
- (ii) Whenever the forest land is involved in the development projects, the cost of ecosystem services and fragmentation of habitat of wildlife and economic distress caused to the people dependent on forests and the cost of settlement of people dependent on forest should also be added as the cost of forest diversion in addition to the standard project cost which would have been incurred by the user agencies without involvement of forest land while conducting the cost benefit analysis of the project. Similarly the benefits from the project accruing due to diversion of forest land and used in the project should also be accounted for in the benefits component in addition to the standard benefits of the project which would have been accrued without involvement of forest land while conducting the cost benefit analysis and determining the benefit and cost ratio (BC ratio).
- (iii) The cost of Compensatory afforestation and its maintenance in future and soil & moisture conservation at present discounted value and future benefits from such compensatory forestation accruing over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as cost and benefits respectively of compensatory afforestation while conducting the cost benefit analysis and determining the benefit and cost ratio (BC ratio).
- (iv) Table A list the details the types of projects involving forest land for which cost benefit analysis will be required, Table-B Lists the parameters according to which the cost aspect of forest land diverted for the development projects will be determined, while Table C lists the parameters for assessing the benefits accruing to the project using forest land.

प्रभागीय विदेशक
सामाजिक बानिज्य, अरावली
मुम्बई नगर

संजय कुमार 4/4/19
परियोजना निदेशक
पी० आई० यू०-बागपत
Sanjay Kumar Mishra
Project Director
P.I.U. - Baghpat

परियोजना निदेशक
Project Director
भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
National Highway Authority of India
पी० आई० यू० - बागपत

Scanned by CamScanner


**COST BENEFITS ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOI FC GUIDLINES NO 7- 69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017**


(v) A cost benefits analysis as above should be accompany the proposals sent to central Government for forest clearance under the Forest Conservation Act.


Table A: Cases under which a Cost-benefit analysis for forest diversion are required

SI	Nature of Proposal	Applicable/Not Applicable	Remarks
1	All Categories of proposal involving forest land upto 20 hectares in plains and upto 5 hectares in hills	Not Applicable	These proposals may be considered a case to case basis and value judgments.
2	Proposed for defense installation purpose and oil prospecting only	Not Applicable	In view of national priority accorded to these sectors, the proposal would be critically assessed to help ascertain that the utmost minimum forest land is diverted for non forest use
3	Habitation, establishment of industrial units, tourist lodge complex and other building construction	Not Applicable	These activities being detrimental in protection and conservation of proposals would be rarely entertained.
4	All other proposal involving forest land more than 20 hectares in plain and more than 5 hectares in hills including roads, transmission line, minor, medium and major irrigation projects, hydro projects, mining activity, railway line, location specific installations like microwave stations, auto repeater centres, TV tower etc.	Applicable	These are cases where a cost benefit analysis is necessary to determine when diverting the forest land to non forest use in the overall public interest.

Since the proposal is for diversion of forest land measuring more than 20 hectre in plain area for the road project cost benefit analysis report is applicable


 प्रभागीय निदेशक
 सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग
 मुजफ्फरनगर


 परियोजना निदेशक
 Project Director
 भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
 National Highway Authority of India
 पी० आई० यू० - बागपत
 P.I.U. - Baghpat


 संजय कुमार मिश्रा
 परियोजना निदेशक
 पी० आई० यू०-बागपत
 Sanjay Kumar Mishra
 Project Director
 P.I.U. - Baghpat

Scanned by CamScanner

27

**COST BENEFITS ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOI FC GUIDLINES NO 7- 69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017**

Table B: Estimation of Cost of forest diversion

S. No	Parameters	Given Guideline	Evaluation
1	Ecosystem services losses due to proposed forest diversion	Economic value of loss of ecosystem services due to diversion of forest shall be the net present Value (NPV) of the forest land being diverted as prescribed by central Government (MOEF & CC) Note: In case of National parks the NPV shall be ten (10) times the normal NPV and in case wildlife Sanctuary the NPV shall be five (5) times the normal NPV or otherwise prescribed by the ministry or any other competent authority	NPV value has been taken as Rs 8.03 lakhs per hectare Therefore losses = $8.03 \times 31.5323 = 253.2044$ Lakhs
2	Loss of animal husbandry productivity, including loss of fodder	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms or 10% of NPV applicable whichever is maximum	Loss of Animal husbandry due to proposed diversion is very, moderate and calculated below. Gross Loss @5 ton/Ha/Year @ Rs.100/- per tonne. Therefore loss of fodder as estimated for about 31.5323 hact. will be $31.5323 \times 5 \times 100 \times 50 \text{ Years} = \text{Rs. } 788308$ 10% of NPV $= 31.5323 \times 8.03 \times 0.1 = 25.3204$ lakhs. So considered amount is Rs 25.3204 Lakhs.
3	Cost of human resettlement	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms as per approved R & R plan.	Nil human resettlement is required since no family residing in forest land.
4	Loss of public facilities and administrative infrastructure (Roads, buildings School, dispensaries, electric lines, railways etc) on forest land, or	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms on actual basis at the time of diversion.	No Loss of public Infrastructure and administrative infrastructure (roads, buildings, schools,

प्रभाकर सिंह
सामाजिक वानिकी विभाग
मुजफ्फरनगर

संजय कुमार मिश्रा
परियोजना निदेशक
पी० आई० यू०-बागपत
Sanjay Kumar Mishra
Project Director
P.I.U. - Baghpat

Project Director
भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
National Highway Authority of India

Scanned by CamScanner

**COST BENEFITS ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOI FC GUIDLINES NO 7- 69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017**

	which would require forest land if these facilities were diverted due to the project.		dispensaries, electric lines, railways, etc) on the forest land. All public utilities affected will be shifted by NHAI at cost of Rs 1300 Lakhs
5	Possession value of forest land diverted	30% of environment costs (NPV) due to loss of forests or circle rate of adjoining area in the district should be added as a cost component as possession value of forest land whichever is maximum	The circle rate of adjoining area in the district is about 50 Lakhs per hectare where as 30 % of NPV is 2.41 lakhs. Which is more than 50 lakh per hac. Therefore Procession Value of forest land will be $= 50 \times 31.5323 = 1576.62$ lakhs
6	Cost of Suffering to oustees	The social cost of rehabilitation of Oustees (in addition to the cost likely to be incurred in providing residence, occupation and social services as per R & R plan) be worked out as 1.5 times of what oustees should have earned in two years had he not been shifted	Nil as no Resettlement and Rehabilitation is required in forest land. Which is proposed to be diverted.
7	Habitat fragmentation Cost	While the relationship between fragmentation and forest goods and services is complex, for the sake of simplicity the cost due to fragmentation has been pegged at 50% of NPV applicable as a thumb rule.	Habitat fragmentation Cost is 50% of NPV i.e $8.03 \times 0.5 \times 31.5323 = \text{Rs } 126.602$ Lakhs.
8	Compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation cost	The actual cost of compensatory afforestation and soil & moisture conservation and its maintenance in future at present discounted value	The rate are approximately same as those adopted in other stage I approved projects of NHAI in the area i.e approx 3 Lakhs per hactre However the exact amount will be known only after the Stage I approval. Considering 3 Lakhs per hactre for estimate the Cost of CA $= 3 \times 31.5323 \times 2 = 189.194$ Lakhs

प्रभागीय निदेशक
सामाजिक वर्गिकों प्रभाग
मुजफ्फरनगर

परियोजना निदेशक
Project Director
भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
National Highway Authority of India
पी० आई० यू० - बागपत

संजय कुमार मिश्रा
परियोजना निदेशक
पी० आई० यू० - बागपत
Sanjay Kumar Mishra
Project Director
P.I.U. - Baghpat

Scanned by CamScanner

**COST BENEFITS ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOI FC GUIDLINES NO 7- 69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017**

Table C: Existing Guidelines for estimating benefits of forest land diversion in CBA

S. No	Parameters	Given Guideline	Evaluation
1	Increase in productivity attributable to the specific project	To be quantified and expressed in monetary terms avoiding double counting	<p>The proposal project for which diversion of forest land is sought is for widening of existing road .The project road will improve accessibility to the region .This will help in both economic & social development in the region.</p> <p>The project will enable smooth accessibility in the region by which people of the region will be directly benefited. This will accelerate industrialization /commercialization in region and the same will directly generate maximum employment opportunities in these areas and boosting up the economy of the region and state. Again directly the project will have the potential for temporary employment generation for local people 250 for years generating $250 \times 365 \times 2 = 182500$ man days during the construction period.</p>
2	Benefits of economy due to the specific project	The incremental economic benefit in monetary terms due to the activities attributed to the specific project.	<p>Economic benefit in terms of increase in trade, saving in vehicular operation and maintenance cost better connectivity, safer journey to commuter and saving of travel time.</p> <p>Improved road connectivity helps in better implementation and management of government schemes .it will provide last and economical transport of goods, After completion of project, the local people and industries situated in the area will be greatly benefited . The widening of project road will provide safe and fast, economical and environment friendly transportation to the State, which in term will accelerate the rate of growth in this area.</p> <p>In addition to that there are several other benefits that may accrue due to saving in fuel, reduction in time to commute, vehicle maintain ace, reduction in cabon erosion etc. "However they have not been quantified as</p>

परियोजना निदेशक
Project Director
भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
National Highway Authority of India
पी० आई० यू० - बागपत
P.O. Baghpat
प्रभागीय निदेशक
सामाजिक वानिकी प्रभाग
मुजफ्फरनगर

संजय कुमार मिश्रा
परियोजना निदेशक
पी० आई० यू० - बागपत
Sanjay Kumar Mishra
Project Director
P.O. - Baghpat

Scanned by CamScanner

COST BENEFITS ANALYSIS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOI FC GUIDLINES NO 7- 69/2011-FC DATED 01-08-2017

			it will be a function of various govt.policy variables". Exact quantification of the value is not possible as it is time and policy dependent.
3	No. of population benefited due to specific project	As per the detailed project report	The project road passes through Muzaffarnagar District, which has 4,143,512 lakhs Population . The entire population of the district and adjoining districts would be benefitted by the project.
4	Economic benefits due to of direct and indirect employment due to the project.	As per the detailed project report	Directly employment generation for local people 250 for 2 years generating $250 \times 365 \times 2 = 182500$ man days during the construction period and indirect employment as a result of development of infrastructure and will also provide direct benefits to small scale industrial units
5	Economic benefits due to Compensatory Afforestation	Benefits from such compensatory forestation accruing over next 50 years monetized and discounted to the present value should be included as benefits of compensatory afforestation. *For benefits of CA the guideline of the ministry for NPV estimation may be considered.	In lieu of total trees to be remove from proposed Row in forest land along the project road it is proposed to undertake at least twice of affected area as Compensatory afforestation and forest conservation act 1980 So the net productivity will increase . The Compensatory Afforestation will be done in $31.5323 \times 2 = 63.0646$ hactare of degraded forest land. Which is down the line would be having a density of minimum 0.4 The ecological value for a 50 years period for the density of 10 is Rs. 126.74 Lakhs per hectre .By considering minimum 0.4 density the ecological gain for the project would be $126.74 \times 0.4 \times 63.0646 =$ Rs. 3197.12 lakhs

Summary of Cost -Benefit Analysis for the Project

S.No	Loss (in Lakh)	Benefit (Lakh)
1	Ecosystem services losses Rs = 253.2044 Lakhs	Ecology gain for Compensatory Rs. 3197.12 lakhs
2	Loss of Animal Husbandry Productivity including loss of Fodder = Rs 788308 Lakhs.	182500 Man days will be generated assuming 500 Rs per Day as wages total benefit = $500 \times 182500 =$ 9125 Lakhs
3	Loss of Public facility Rs 1300 Lakhs	
4	Possession Value of Forest Land diverted Rs 1576.62 lakhs	
5	Habitat Fragmentation Cost Rs 126.602 Lakhs.	
6	Compensatory Afforestation and Soil and Moisture Conservation Rs. 189.194Lakhs,	
	Total Loss = Rs 253.2044 Lakhs + Rs 788308	Total Benefit Rs 12322.12 Lakhs

परियोजना निदेशक
Project Director
भारतीय राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग प्राधिकरण
National Highway Authority of India
पी० आई० यू० - बागपत
P.I.U. - Baghat

प्रभाषा निदेशक
सामाजिक वार्ताका प्रभाग
मुंबई

संजय कुमार मिश्रा
परियोजना निदेशक
पी० आई० यू०-बागपत
Sanjay Kumar Mishra
Project Director
P.I.U. - Baghat

Scanned by CamScanner