

Letter No:54(6)/ICBRPD-III/2021-22/FC/camp

Date:26/08/2021

To,

Nodal Officer cum APCCF(FCA) o/o Pr. CCF, Hp (HoFF)

Subject: proposal for the diversion of 50.091Ha. Of forest land in favour of Executive Engineer,ICBRPD-III, CPWD, Recongpeo,HP, for the construction of Dubling-Rishi-Dogri-Lamche road from 0+00 to 25+00 within the jurisdiction of Kinnaur Forest Division, District Kinnaur, HP. Regarding the compliance to Queries.

Reference:

1. Letter No. Ft.48-5451/2021 (FCA), Dated 21/08/2021.

Respected Sir/Ma'am,

With reference to your letter (Letter No. Ft.48-5451/2021 (FCA), Dated 21/08/2021) under reference about the proposal for the diversion of 50.091Ha. Of forest land in favour of Executive Engineer,ICBRPD-III, CPWD, Recongpeo,HP for the construction of Dubling-Rishi-Dogri-Lamche road from 0+00 to 25+00 within the jurisdiction of Kinnaur Forest Division, District Kinnaur, HP has been scrutinized by your office and We have been informed some queries on the shortcomings

We are sending herewith the Reply/ Compliance for each of the Query raised by your office regarding the forest proposal of Dubling-Rishi-Dogri-Lamche road. Please look into the table depicted.

S.No.	Objections	
1.	In the uploaded KML file of the proposed project, the proposed road is also passing through a stream, If the bridge is to be constructed; the same is also required to be included in the component-wise breakup mentioned against column No.B-2.4. Further as per GOI Requirements, the detail of alternate alignments if examined and the muck dumping sites are also required to shown on KML file.	Compliance The objection has been complied and uploaded in online portal.
2.	Against column C(iii) &(iv) the toposheet and digital map of the proposed area has not been found uploaded, but the same have not been signed by the user agency under office seal.	The objection has been complied and upload in online portal.
3.	Against column No.D(ii), 'No' has been mentioned and against column No.D(ii)a. Reason for not providing such map: CENTRAL GOVT. PROJECT, has	The undertaking is issued from ICBRPD-III,Executive Engineer mentioning that no



	been mentioned. If the alternate alignment has not been examined, the reason for not examine the alternate alignment is required to be mentioned against this column	alternate alignment is suitable under Geologist's examination. Also it is to consider that case pertains to defense roads and approved alignment from IG(Ops),ITBP, is being attached with additional information detail out of which initial 25 Km needs to be constructed only in ICBR phase-II.
4.	Employment details against column No.E(ii) 20 and against column No.E(iii) 80 has been mentioned. Employment details whether it is temporary or regular are requirement to be mentioned. It is required to be clarified by the user agency whether only 80 temporary employments will be generated from the construction of said road.	The objection has been complied and upload in online portal.
5.	Against column No.1(i), 'No' has been mentioned. As per latest instructions of GOI, In case of 'NO', the distance from the protected area or their Eco Sensitive Zone is required to be obtained from DFO concerned for uploading against additional information detail.	The objection has been complied and upload in online portal.
6.	Undertakings to pay the cost of CA, NPV and Addl. NPV have although been uploaded but have not been signed by the DFO concerned whereas the stamp of DFO concerned has been affixed over these undertakings for counter signature.	The counter signed by DFO at the time of filling of Part II.
7.	Length and width of the proposed road is also required to be uploaded against additional information details.	portal.
8.	Muck management plan is required to be uploaded against additional information detail.	The objection has been complied and upload in online portal.

Please go through this. We hope you will find these compliances acceptable.

Thanking you

Executive Engineer, ICBRPD-III, CPWD

Reckong Peo, Himachal Pradesh-172107