



कार्यालय प्रभागीय लौगिंग प्रबन्धक (खनन)
उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम, देहरादून

15/15 ए कालीदास रोड, देहरादून। फोन-0135-2743428, Email-dlmkhanand.dun@gmail.com

पत्रांक.....730...../खनन प्रस्ताव/स्वारना नदी

दिनांक...12/08/2016

सेवामें,

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी,
देहरादून वन प्रभाग,
देहरादून।

विषय:- झाड़रा रेंज के अन्तर्गत स्वारना नदी से उपखनिज चुगान की अनुमति से सम्बन्धित ऑन-लाईन प्रस्ताव संख्या FP/UK/MIN/20542/2016 की आपत्तियों के सम्बन्ध में।

सन्दर्भ:- आपका पत्रांक 269/12-1/देहरादून दिनांक 29.7.2016

महोदय,

विषयांकित क्रम में निवेदन है कि झाड़रा रेंज की स्वारना नदी से उपखनिज चुगान की अनुमति से सम्बन्धित ऑन-लाईन खनन प्रस्ताव में भारत सरकार द्वारा ई0डी0एस0 में की गयी ऑन-लाईन आपत्तियों का निस्तारण कर खनन प्रस्ताव ऑन-लाईन कर दिया गया है जिसकी हार्ड कापी (खनन) की प्रति आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित है। आपत्ति संख्या 14,15,16,17,18,19,20 और 22 से सम्बन्धित कार्यवाही आपके स्तर से होनी है।


संलग्नक:- उपरोक्तानुसार।

भवदीय,

(मम मोहन लाल)

प्रभागीय लौगिंग प्रबन्धक (खनन)
देहरादून।

Objection No.	Objection	Answer
1	Name of the project is not mentioned at designated place in online Part- I	Mentioned.
2	Short narrative of the project does not give any information except the purpose.	Given.
3	Village wise breakup of land is not given at designated place in online Part- I	Village wise breakup given.
4	Component wise breakup is not given '0' is mentioned in component column.	Now given.
5	Geo-referenced map is provided in google earth image and only 4 geo-coordinates are given which is not sufficient.	Now more. Geo-coordinates are given.
6	'DSS Analysis of the forest land proposed for diversion shows the area as 104.ha instead of 23.75 ha	Rectified.
7	Area shown in map appears to be surrounded by agriculture land and human habitation but, not village wise breakup of land is given. Moreover, it is stated to be RF Land.	Rectified.
8	Justification for locating the project in forest land is not opening.	Justification letter uploaded.
9	C/B Analysis uploaded in online Part-I is not opening. In hard copy also the parameters have not been quantified and expressed in monetary terms.	C/B Analysis uploaded.
10	In FRA certificate issued by DC name of 4 village are mentioned but Gram Sabha proceedings of 2 villages only submitted.	Proposal belongs to only three villages (Rajawla, Rampur Bhauwala and Rampurkala). We have uploaded all the document of three villages.
11	Estimated reserve of RBM is mentioned as 2,160,000 million tones in online Part-I but mining plan says that 2,16,000 metric tones will be extracted annually for 5 years.	Now rectified.
12	Proposed use of minerals in not mentioned in para-M-7.1 (x) of online Part-I.	Now rectified.
13	No transplantation plan is submitted in Para -M-7 (ii) of online Part-I.	Uploaded.
21	Consent of Gram Sabha is not submitted.	Consent of Gram Sabha is attached with letter of FRA certification in para K.i(a)


 (Manmohan Lal)
 Division Logging Manager (Khanan)
 Dehradun