## STATEMENT SHOWING THE PROFORMA RELATING TO SCHEDULE TRIBES AND OTHE TRADITIONAL FOREST DWELLERS (RECOGNITION OF FOREST RIGHTS) ACT 2006 AS PER THE MOEF LR.NO.11-9/1998-FC(PT), DATED 30.07.2009 AND 03.08.2009.

| 30.07.2009 AND 03.08.2009.  GOI LR.NO.11-9/1998-FC(PT) |  |   |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| SI.No  |  | Replies   |  |
| Α  | A Letter from the State Government           | There are no claims received under  |  |
|  | certifying that complete process of          | section 3(1), 3(1) c and 4m (5) of RoFR   |  |
|  | identification and settlement of rights      | Act proposed for diversion of 0.76 Ha in  |  |
|  | under the RoFR Act has been carried          | favour of the Executive Engineer(R&B),  |  |
|  | out for the entire Forest area proposed      | NH Division, Kadapa in compartment No   |  |
|  | for diversion with a record of all full      | 743 of Surabi RF of Kadapa division,  |  |
|  | consultations and meetings held.             | Y.S.R District.   |  |
| В  | A letter from the state Government           | There is no forest Dwellers in the  |  |
|  | certifying that proposals for such           | proposed area. Hence the question of  |  |
|  | diversions (with full details of the project | placing the proposals before the Grama<br>Sabha does not arise. However the           |  |
|  | and its implications, In vernacular/ Local   | Grama Panchayat has passed resolution   |  |
|  | languages) have been placed before           | stating that there is no objection for  |  |
|  | each concerned Gram Sabha of Forest          | diversion of 0.76 Ha in favour of the Executive Engineer(R&B), NH Division,           |  |
|  | dwellers, who are the eligible under the     | Kadapa in compartment No 743 of Surabi  |  |
|  | RoFR Act.                                    | RF of Kadapa division, Y.S.R District.  |  |
| С  | A letter from each of the concerned          | There are no claims under RoFR Act with   |  |
|  | Grama Sabhas indicating that all             | regard to the proposed area. Question of  |  |
|  | formalities / processes under the RoFR       | obtaining consent from the Grama Sabha does not arise under RoFR Act. However         |  |
|  | Act have been carried out and that they      | Grama Sabha was conducted at surabi   |  |
|  | have given their consent to the              | Grama Panchayat and they have given   |  |
|  | proposed diversion and the                   | written statement stating that they have no objections for grant of land in favour of |  |
|  | compensatory and ameliorative                | the Executive Engineer(R&B), NH   |  |
|  | measures if any having understood the        | Division, Kadapa.   |  |
|  | purposes and details of proposed             | THASILDAR   |  |
| 10 JAI   | diversion. Manage 930/930 30MA               | Chakrayapet FORESTR   |  |
| D  | A letter from the state Government           | Since there are no claims under RoFR  |  |
|  | certifying that the diversion of forest      | Act with regard to the proposed area. However Grama Sabha was conducted               |  |
|  | land for facilities managed by the           | and no objections were received.  |  |
|  | Government as required under section         |   |  |
|  | 3 (2) of the RoFR Act have been              |   |  |
|  | completed and that the Gram Sabhas           |   |  |
|  | have consented to it.                        |   |  |

| E | A letter from the state Government certifying that the discussions and decisions on such proposals had placed only when there was quorum of minimum 50% of members of Grama Sabha present.                                      | The Grama Sabha was conducted with the villagers of Surabi Panchayat of Chakrayapeta Mandal. The villagers have not raised any objections for objections for diversion of proposed site. |
|---|---|--|
| F | Obtaining the written consent or rejection of the Grama Sabha to the proposals.   | The written consent of the Grama Sabha of Surabi village and panchayat is enclosed. The Grama Panchayat was also passed resolution of land in forest area.                               |
| G | A letter from the State Government certifying that the rights of primitive tribal groups and pre agricultural communities where applicable have been specifically safe guarded as per the section of 3 (1) (e) of the RoFR Act. | Since there is no primitive Tribal Groups and Pre-Agricultural Communities existing in the Proposed area for diversion of protection of rights of such groups will not arise.            |
| Н | Any other issues having bearing on operationalisation of the RoFR Act.  | There are no other issues concerned with RoFR Act.   |

District Corest Officer Divisional Forest Officer Kadapa Division, Y.S.R District.

District Collector Radapa, Y.S.R District.

Chakrayapet Y.S.R. Dist

li kom Forest Range Officer Rayachoty.

RAYACHOTY.

Revenue Divisional Officer Kadapa.

FOREST RANGE OFFICER REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER KADAPA.