

## PART - II

**(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)**

S. No. of proposal.....

7.	Location of the project / Scheme:	Road from Gudalamveedhi to Salamrangi of G.Madugula Mandal.
	(i) State / Union Territory	Andhra Pradesh
	(ii) District	Visakhapatnam
	(iii) Forest Division	Paderu
	(iv) Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha.)	1.11 ha.
	(v) Legal status of forest.	Sirasapalli Reserve Forest, Comp. no. 311 and 312.
	(vi) Density of vegetation	No vegetation.
	(vii) Species –wise (scientific names and diameter class –wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed, In case of irrigation / hydel projects enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FRL-4 meter also to be enclosed)	There is no green vegetation all along the road except Bushy growth like Euphorium, Lantana and Strolanthus etc.
	(viii) Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion.	The area is plain to moderate slope and there is no scope of soil erosion as the proposed road area elevation is higher than the forest area and it is passing through in the centre of the Reserve Forest occupies very little area. Hence the vulnerability of erosion and its effect on the forest is negligible.
	(ix) Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest.	Within the Reserve Forest area. The proposed site for diversion is on the fringe of the RF boundary.
	(x) Whether forms part of National Park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, etc., (If so, the details of the area and comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be annexed).	Does not arise. As this area is falling neither National Park / nor Wild Life Sanctuary / nor Biosphere reserve / nor elephant corridor were existing or proposed in this area.
	(xi) Whether any rare/endangered /unique species of flora and fauna found in the area – if so details thereof.	Does not arise. There are no endangered / unique species flora and fauna are found in the area.
	(xii) Whether any protected archaeological / heritage site / defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area – If so, details thereof with NOC from competent authority.	-- No. – There is no such area / site was included in the protected archaeological / heritage site / defense establishment or any other important is located in the area.
8	Whether the requirement of forestland as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of Part – I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item –wise with details of alternatives examined.	Unavoidable and barest minimum land is proposed for use of the Project.
9	Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress.	-- No. —

10	Details of compensatory afforestation scheme:	
	(a) Details of non-forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch.	Does not arise
	(b) Map showing non-forest/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries.	Does not arise
	(c) Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure, etc.	Does not arise
	(d) Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme.	Does not arise
	(e) Certificates from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation and from management point of view. (To be signed by concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests).	Does not arise
11	Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in Co. 7 (xi, xii) 8 and 9 above.	There are no endangered / unique species of Flora and fauna found in the proposed area and these areas are not falling in protected archeological heritage sites / defence establishments located in the area. The user agency selected the site on a cost effective basis and barest minimum land use.
12	Division / District profile.	
	(a) Geographical area of the Division.	3149.65 Sq.km.
	(b) Forest area of the Division.	1048.1191 Sq.km.
	(c) Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases.	42.07 Ha.
	(d) Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the district/division since 1980 on	58 Nos.
	i) Forest land including penal compensatory afforestation,	
	ii) non – forest land	
	(e) Progress of compensatory afforestation as on (date).....on	Does not arise
	i) forest land	Does not arise
	ii) non-forest land.	Does not arise
13	Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons.	Proposals are accepted as there is not much loss to flora and fauna, and this facilitates the conveyance to the local tribes with a barest minimum disturbance to the environment.

Date: 9/8/2018  
Place: Paderu

Signature [Signature]  
Name R.K.V. Rao  
Official seal-----