PROJECT NAME- Construction of 4-laning of kotdwar bypass of NII-119 connecting Najibabad- kotdwar to kotdwar-Pauri road in the state of Uttarpradesh and Uttarakhand under bharat mala Pariyojana Lot-4/pakage-2.

Check list for site inspection by the DFO/ DFO/CF/CCF/APCCF

| SI.No. | Particular | Comments of Inspecting Officer |
|--------|--|--|
| 1 | Extent is hectares | 1.1 Ha |
| 2 | Location (Lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion. | 29"45'28.98"N 78"31'53.62"E 29"45'25.49"N 78"31'57.97"E 29"45'25.90"N 78"31'59.14"E 29"45'30.19"N 78"32'1.98"E 29"45'30.59"N 78"32'1.56"E |
| 3 | Legal status of the forest land (PF/RF/other types of forest land) | RESERVE FOREST LAND-1.1 Ha |
| 4 | Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc. | The said area has been demarcated by the construction of boundary pillars. |
| 5 | Any signs of encroachment. | NO |
| 6 | Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the User Agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under. | NO |
| | Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc | The Canopy density in the said area is 0.4 percent. The species found in the said area is sal, chir etc. |
| | Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area. | Area is having the presence of leopard, various types of birds, butterflies but no evident endangered species other then leopard, through no censes has been carried out for any of those species. |
| | Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area. | NO |
| 0 | Current land use is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and if not why? | As per the Working plan, The maintained area is under the protection and improvement minutes. |
| 1 II | mportance of the area from historical or religious point of view. | A certificate related to the area not being important from historical and religious |
| 2 1 | Any dependent persons/families on this land. | point of view is attached. |
| 3 A | any displacement of persons proposed. | NO |

| 14 | Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced? | NIL |
|----|---|---|
| 15 | Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest or non-forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms. | NIL |
| 16 | Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 Kms. area. | The Proposed area is a part of Buffer Zone of Rajaji Tiger Reserve. |
| 17 | Dependence of tribals in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in this area. | NO |
| 18 | Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project. | There is no alternative route to connect the villagers mentioned. hence the proposed road seems useful for the stockholder. |
| 19 | In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with. | Not Applicable |
| 20 | Alternatives examined by the User Agency in case of non-site specific projects. | Not applicable as the project or activity is site specific. |
| 21 | A certificate by the User Agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non- forestry purpose is bare minimum. | Is Attached |
| 22 | Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected. | The Number of trees proposed for felling are bare minimum. |
| 23 | Any other issue of significance. | NO |
| 24 | Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for the approval of the Project. | The rood is a necessity to the public. so in the public interest at large the construction of road is recommended. |

Signature-

Name - Naveen Chandra Panth

Designation - Divisional forest Officer

Seal- प्रमागीय वनाधिकारी

लैत्सडीन वन प्रभाग

क्रेटडार (गढवाल)