

Check list for site inspection by the DFO :-

| SI. No. | Particular | Inspection Report By DFO West (T) Mandla |
|---------|--|--|
| 1 | Extent is hecatres | 8.200 Ha. |
| 2 | Location (Lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion. | 22°51'14.18"N 80°12'14.41"E 22°50'48.90"N 80°11'55.30"E 22°50'44.82"N 80°11'49.82"E 22°40'55.00"N 80°11'37.33"E 22°50'35.21"N 80°11'22.07"E 22°50'14.53"N 80°11'21.074"E 22°50'04.71"N 80°11'22.74"E 22°48'54.42"N 80°10'33.64"E 22°48'08.051"N 80°10'05.77"E 22°47'30.653"N 80°08'28.294"E 22°47'26.53"N 80°08'22.00"E 22°47'26.21"N 80°07'45.68"E 22°47'27.725"N 80°07'39.90"E 22°47'34.08"N 80°06'22.81"E 22°47'19.69"N 80°05'33.84"E |
| 3 | Legal status of the forest land(Protected forest,reserved forest,revenue forest lands or any other forest land) | Reserve forest compt. no. RF-186, RF-522, RF-195, RF-198, RF-525 & RF-201 And Orange area compt. no. O-503, O-548 |
| 4 | Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc. | Yes |
| 5 | Any signs of encroachment. | No |
| 6 | Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the User Agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under. | No any violation of the FC by User Agency. |
| 7 | Status of vegetation, Site quality, species composition etc., | Forest Eco-class - III Side Quality - IVA & IVB Forst Density - 0.4 Species composition - Teak, Saja, Dhawada, Lendia, Haldu, Bhilwa, Gunja, Kosum, Beeja, bel, Amaltas, Jamrasi, Mahua, Mundi etc. |
| 8 | Importance of area from wildlife point of view, Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area. | According to all India Tiger estiamte 2022, the presence of any wild animal was not found. |
| 9 | Endemism of flora/fauna or any other | No |

| | | |
|----|--|---|
| | unique ecosystem in the area. | |
| 10 | Current land use is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and, if not why? | Most of the area comes under SCI and RDF Working Circle of the current land. |
| 11 | Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view. | No |
| 12 | Any dependent persons/families on this land. | No |
| 13 | Any displacement of persons proposed. | No |
| 14 | Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced? | No |
| 15 | Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest land or non - forest land. Location of this area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms. | Yes, Compensatory Afforestation is proposed on Area 8.20 hactar Non Forest land of Distt. Mandla of West Forest Division Mandla in Village Mohgaon Viran Patwari Halka No. 35 Rajswa Nirikshak Mandal Niwas Khasra No. 32. Yes, The land is suitable for CA. There is no working plan prescription for the said area. |
| 16 | Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 Kms. | This area is not a part of protected area and its distance from nearest protected area is 110 kms. |
| 17 | Dependence of tribals in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in this area. | No |
| 18 | Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project. | This Project will specially encourage the transportation and connectivity to be easy. |
| 19 | In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with. | NA |
| 20 | Alternatives examined by the User Agency in case of non-site specific projects. | NA |
| 21 | A certificate by the User Agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum. | Yes |
| 22 | Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the | No scope of saving trees . |

| | | |
|----|---|---|
| | forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected. | |
| 23 | Any other issue of significance. | No |
| 24 | Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for the approval of the Project. | This Project is recommended in a view of better transportation & other facilities of public interest. |

Place : Mandla

Date- 13/06/2023

(Nithianantham L.)

I.F.S.

**Divisional Forest Officer
West (T.) Division Mandla**