## Site Inspection Report

| No  | Items  | Observations and remarks  |  |  |                        |                        |                            |             |
|-----|--|---|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| 1)  | Name of the Project and Location (Range, Round, Beat)  | Forest clearance proposal in Sorna Medium Project<br>Range - Jambkandri<br>Round – Lohara<br>Beat – Pangadi Hamesha |  |  |                        |                        |                            |             |
| 2)  | Name of the User Agency  | Executive Engineer, Irrigation Department, Bhandara   |  |  |                        |                        |                            |             |
| 3.) | Date of site inspection  | 11/12/2017 & 25/06/2018   |  |  |                        |                        |                            |             |
| 4)  | Extent (ha)and legal status of Forest land Proposed for diversion  | RF - 6.537 Ha. PF - 0.00 Ha. Zudpi Jungle- 0.00 Ha. Identified Forest-0.0 Ha  |  |  |                        |                        |                            |             |
| 5   | a) Details of forest land Proposed for   | Tahshil   | Village<br>Name                                      | Comptt . No.                             | Sur/Gut<br>No.         | Forest<br>Type         | Activity                   | Area<br>Ha. |
|     | diversion and activity-wise break-up of forest land  | Tumsar  | -  | 54                                       | -                      | RF                     | Canal                      | 5.824       |
|     |  | Tumsar  | -  | 356                                      | -                      | RF                     | Canal                      | 0.713       |
|     |  | Total   |  |  |                        |                        |                            | 6.537       |
|     | b) Density and Eco-value class   | 0.5 Eco-0   | 0.5 Eco-Class-III                                    |  |                        |                        |                            |             |
| 6)  | Whether the requirement of Forest land as Proposed by the User Agency in col.2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the Project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternative examined.  | The Proposed Forest area is minimum. and Unavoidable i.e 6.537 ha.  |  |  |                        |                        |                            |             |
| 7)  | Whathar the Dramagel in 1  | :- No, as per the proposal submitted.   |  |  |                        |                        |                            |             |
|     | Whether the Proposal involves any construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, Details thereof   | :- No, as p   | er the pro   |  |                        |                        |                            |             |
| 8)  | construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, Details   |   | proposed   | forest area                              | a is good v<br>t area. | egetation/             | n. Therefor                | e the       |
| 8)  | construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, Details thereof  a) Whether forest area Proposed for diversion is important from wildlife   | :- As the j   | proposed<br>as been pr                               | forest area<br>resent that               | t area.                | in the ar              | ea include                 | s Saia      |
|     | construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, Details thereof  a) Whether forest area Proposed for diversion is important from wildlife Point of view or not.  b) Details of any rare or endangered of or unique Species of flora and fauna found in Proposed forest land. If so, the   | :- As the pwild life h  | proposed<br>as been pr                               | forest area<br>resent that               | t area.                | in the ar              | ea include                 | s Saia      |
|     | construction of building (including residential) or not? If yes, Details thereof  a) Whether forest area Proposed for diversion is important from wildlife Point of view or not.  b) Details of any rare or endangered of or unique Species of flora and fauna found in Proposed forest land. If so, the details thereof.  c) Aerial distance from the nearest | :- As the pwild life h :- Commo   | proposed<br>as been pro-<br>n flora an<br>a, Khair & | forest area<br>resent that<br>nd fauna o | ccurring vild boar, S  | in the ar<br>Sloth Bea | ea include<br>r, Nilgai et | s Saia      |

| ,   | Details of Vegetation:  |   |
|-----|---|---|
|     | a) Total number of trees to be felled:  | :- Out of 2329 total tree present in proposed site, 1018 tree need to be felled.  |
|     | b) Number of trees to be felled of girth below 60 cm:   | 1 :- 868  |
|     | c) Number of trees to be felled fo girth above 60 cm:   | :- 150  |
|     | d) Effect of removal of trees on the general ecosystem in the area  | :- No Adverse impact on general ecosystem.  |
| 10) | Background note on the Proposal (Short Summary)   | Sorana Medium Project contracted in 1975 there has 8 villagement on agriculture activity at that time the people has demanded to provide water for rabbi crops so existing Bawanthadi project canal constructed the minor canal to support the water nearest to sorna medium project. |
| 11) | Whether the Proposal involves any violation of Forest (Conservation) Act 1980? If yes, a detailed report on violation including action taken against the concerned officials to be attached | :- Not Seen   |
| 12) | Whether the Proposal involves rehabilitation of displaced Person. If yes whether rehabilitation plan has been approved by the State Government?   | :- No   |
| 13) | Details on catchment and culturable command area under the Project (if Applicable) Status of catchment area treatment plan to prevent siltation of reservoir (if applicable)                | Catchment Area:- 20.43 Sq. Km. Command Area:- 933 ha. :- Not applicable   |
| 4)  | Utility of the Project  | The Project on its implementation, is expected to improve Agriculture and other economic allied activities.   |
|     | Whether land being diverted has any socio-cultural/religious values? Whether any Scared grove or very old growth trees/forests exist in the areas Proposed for diversion?                   | :-Not Seen  |
|     | Any other important information related to the Project (Separated note may be attached, if required)  | :- Nil  |
|     | enciosed:   | :- Nil  |
| ) F | Recommendations of the inspecting Officer (DCF)   | <ol> <li>Overpass of sufficient width need to be provided for<br/>movement of Wildlife.</li> <li>Proposal Recommended for acceptance.</li> </ol>  |

V. V. Hoshing (IFS)
Dy. Conservator of Forest
Bhandara Division Bhandara

Place:- Bhandara

Date:-

Site Inspection Report