

Check list for site inspection by the DFO/ DFO/CF/CCF/APCCF

Project Name:- Extension of Chaurikhal-Sirwadi-Kanda Motor Road

Sl.No.	Particular	Comments of Inspecting Officer
1	Extent in hectares	0.9975 Hac.
2	Location (Lat-long) of the forest land proposed for diversion.	Start point - 30°18'22.45"N 78°50'31.43"E Mid point - 30°17'50.71"N 78°50'28.49" E End point - 30°17'26.03"N 78°50'27.16" E
3	Legal status of the forest land (PF/RF/other types of forest land)	Civil Soyam Forest Land.
4	Demarcation of the area with temporary cairns etc.	The proposed Motor Road has not been demarcated by temporary cairns. During the inspection, the user agency has been directed to provide a compliance report by Demarcating the area through temporary cairns within 01 month.
5	Any signs of encroachment.	No
6	Any activity already taken up within the forest land or adjoining non-forest land as part of the proposed project by the User Agency. Details of action taken against the User Agency in case of violation of the FC Act and guidelines there under.	No
7	Status of vegetation. Site quality, species composition etc..	The area belongs to Pine Forest Zone. The site quality is good to average. The composition of species is as under- Kukat (<i>other</i>), Banj (<i>Quercus leucotricophora</i>), Chir (<i>Pinus roxburghii</i>), Semal (<i>Bombax ceiba</i>), Tun (<i>Toona ciliata</i>), Kharik (<i>Celtis australis</i>), Bhimal (<i>Grewia optiva</i>), etc..
8	Importance of area from wildlife point of view. Status of wildlife (density and abundance of important species, bird life reptiles, butterflies and other scheduled animals, any endangered wildlife). Any latest census of wildlife in this area.	The area is significant from wildlife point of view. Following are the major wildlife species found in this area- Kakad (<i>Moschus moschiferus</i>), Guldar (<i>Panthera pardus</i>), Wildboar (<i>Sus scrofa cristatus</i>), Sehi (<i>Lepus nigricollis nigricollis</i>), Jungle cat (<i>Felis chaus</i>), etc..
9	Endemism of flora/fauna or any other unique ecosystem in the area.	-
10	Current land use is this area managed as per prescriptions in the Working Plan and if not why?	The total area falls in Civil Soyam Forest land.
11	Importance of the area from historical or religious point of view.	-
12	Any dependent persons/families on this land.	No.
13	Any displacement of persons proposed.	No.
14	Is there any Rehabilitation and Resettlement Plan for the persons to be affected? Is there any dissenting voice among the persons proposed to be displaced?	No.
15	Compensatory afforestation proposed is on forest or non-forest land. Location of this	Not Applicable Because the proposal Area is less than 1 Ha.

	area, suitability of the area for CA. If in the degraded forest land then what is the current Working Plan prescription for the area? Distance of the non-forest land for CA from the nearest forest area. Number of patches in case the area should be more than to kms.	
16	Proposed area should not be part of any protected area. Also distance from the boundary of the nearest protected area should be more than 10 Kms. area.	The Proposed area is not the part of any Protected Area. The distance from the boundary of Kedarnath Wildlife Sanctuary is 32.50 km.
17	Dependence of tribals in the area. Whether the rights of the tribals have been recognized in this area.	No tribals live in this area.
18	Utility of the project, including the people living in close vicinity of the project.	There is no alternative route to connect the villagers mentioned. Hence the proposed road seems useful for the stakeholder or villagers.
19	In case of renewal whether all the conditions stipulated in the earlier sanction order have been complied with.	-
20	Alternatives examined by the User Agency in case of non-site specific projects.	-
21	A certificate by the User Agency that the forest land requested for diversion for non-forestry purpose is bare minimum.	The certificate by User Agency is attached.
22	Any scope of saving tree growth while ensuring that the purpose for which the forest land is being diverted is also not adversely affected.	The scope of saving tree growth is not adversely affected by this project.
23	Any other issue of significance.	-
24	Specific recommendations of the DFO with reasons for the approval of the Project.	The proposed Road is a necessity to the public. So in the public interest construction of Road is recommended.

Date:- 01 - 7 - 2025

Signature -

Name - Mr. Jeevan M. Dagade

Designation - DFO, NARENDRANAGAR FOREST
DIVISION

Seal-

उप वन संरक्षक
नरेन्द्रनगर वन प्रभाग
मुनि की रेती (दि. १०)