PRADHAN MANTRI
GRAM SADAK YOJANA

कार्यालय अधिशासी अभियन्ता
पी0एम0जी0एस0वाई0, निर्माण खण्ड,
लोक निर्माण विभाग, कालसी

eepmgsykalsi@rediffmail.com
01360-275015

पत्र सं0 : कॉप-V/ forest / देहरादून

दिनांक 28/07/2016

सेवा में,

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी,
चकराता वनप्रभाग, चकराता।

विषयः जनपद देहरादून में प्रधानमंत्री ग्राम सड़क योजना के अंन्तर्गत दमन से दसऊ मोटर मार्ग निर्माण (लम्बाई 12.675) हेतु 5.8695 हे0 वन भूमि का वन संरक्षण (अधिनियम), 1980 के अन्तर्गत वन भूमि पर गैरवानिकी कार्य हेतु भारत सरकार की अनुमति प्राप्त करने विषयक।

संदर्भः FP/UK/ROAD/7806/2014 ।

महोदय,

भारत सरकार के क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय द्वारा दिनांक 22.02.2016 को लगाई गई आपत्ति का निराकरण समग्र संकलित बिन्दुवार संगत दस्तावेज क्रमवार– संलग्नक–ऑन लाईन करते हुए निम्न प्रकार हैं।

	आपत्ति	निराकरण
1.	Short narrative of the project does not give adequate information about the project/scheme [Online para-A-1 (iii)].	Adequate information in Short narrative of the project is filled as per A 1(iii) of form A
2.	The period for which forest land is proposed to be diverted is mentioned as NIL [Online para A-1(x)].	Total period of the proposed land to be diverted is filled as per A1(x) in form A
3	Authorization letter for making online application is not attached in para-A-3(xviii).	Authority letter is uploaded in A3 (xvii) of form A
4	Component wise breakup of total land required for the project is not given (online para B-2.4).	Component wise breakup of total land required for the project already uploaded in B-2.4 in form A
5.	Soft copy of the map showing the land proposed for construction of road is submitted in Google Earth Map (Kml file) is not opening. However, the soft copy of the map is not required to be submitted in Google earth map (Kml file). Therefore, State Govt. may submit the soft copy of the geo-referenced map in shape file. (.shp file) showing geo-coordinates at an interval of 200 m to 300 m including all turning points along the alignment of road.	Soft copy of the geo referenced map with Shape File (.shp file) submitted in CD showing geo-coordinates at an interval of 200 m to 300 m including all turning points along the alignment and geo-coordinates all turning points along the alignment also shown in map .
6	The copy of Survey of India toposheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed to be diverted is not clear.	The copy of Survey of India toposheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed is clear now and uploaded again in L(vi) in form A
7	Geo-coordinate are not shown in attached scanned copy of geo-referenced map prepared by using GPS. State Govt. may re-submit the geo-referenced map showing geo coordinate at an interval of 200 m to 300 m including all turning points along the aligment of road.	The geo-referenced map showing geo-coordinates at an interval of 200 m to 300 m including all turning points along the alignment is uploaded again in L(vi) in form A
8	Justification for locating the project in the forest land is not satisfactory (Online para-D)	Justification for locating the project in the forest land is uploaded with all information in D(i) in form A
9	In para-G of online part-1] Annexure- VI (b) of cost Benefit analysis is not filled up and Annexure – VI (c) is not properly filled up. Further, it is required to be ensured that the monetory figures given in the Cost Benefit Ratio calculation sheet tally with the monetory figures given in Annexure – VI (b) & VI (c).	Benefit Analysis with all annexure VI (b) and VI(c) is uploaded in G(i)(a) in form A and cost benefit ratio calculation sheet also filled.
10	FRA documents are not attached in para – k of online part I. Further FRA certificate as per prescribed format is not submitted with the hard copy of the proposal and the area of forest land is mentioned as 8.5505 hactare instead of 5.868 hactare in the proceedings of DLC meeting, Gram shabha Meeting and SLC meeting. Morever, proceedings SLC meeting is not signed by the SDM.	FRA certificate with all annexure in prescribed format is uploaded in K(i)(a) in form A. The area of forest land is corrected and countersigned in the proceedings of DLC meeting, Gram shabha Meeting and SLC meeting and proceedings SLC meeting is signed by the SDM .

Contd. Pg. 2..

11	Although, the soft copy of the geo-referenced map for the proposal CA area submitted in Google earth map in KML file but the Google earth map will does not serve the useful purpose. State govt. may re-submit the soft copy of the geo-referenced map of the areas proposed for CA in shape file (.shp file) showing geo-referenced of all the coner points along the periphery of the polygon.	Soft copy of the geo-referenced map of the areas proposed for CA in shape file (.shp file) showing geo-referenced of all the coner points along the periphery of the polygon submitted in CD
12	In the hard copy of the geo-referenced map submitted for CA area, geo-coordinates are not shown . Revised map showing geo-coordinates of all corner points along the periphery of the polygon may be submitted.	The map showing geo-coordinates of all the corner points along the periphery of the polygon is uploaded in L(vi) in form A
13	Additional documents attached in online part-I is not opening.	
14	In online part – II, the number of trees is mentioned as 62 but the number of trees is shown as 147 in hard copy.	Is to be corrected by your side (DFO).
15	In para-7 of the online part-II, approximate distance of the proposed site of diversion from boundary of the forest is mentioned as NIL. Does not mean that the area proposed for division is situated at the boundary of the forest.	Is to be corrected by your side (DFO).
16	The CA scheme and the suitability certificate attached in para-13 of online part-II are not opening. Hence, the documents may be attached as additional documents.	Is to be corrected by your side (DFO).
17	It is seen from the data given in part-14 of part-II that the CA stipulated (842.649 ha) is not commensurate to the forest land diverted (518.99 ha.). Further the progress of CA is mentioned as 112.44 ha in forest land and 730.209 ha. In non forest land which does not appear to be correct.	Is to be corrected by your side (DFO).
18	As per details given in the land schedule attached in the hard copy of the proposal. The forest land proposed for diversion comes to 8.8695 ha but the forest land proposed for diversion is mentioned as 5.868 ha in online part – I and online part – II.	Land schedule for forest land proposed for diversion is now corrected in hard copy and uploaded in additional documents .
19	The photocopy of the Muck Dumping plan submitted in the hard copy of the proposal is not clear and the same has not been authenticated/approved by the DFO.	The muck disposal plan is submitted in clear copy authenticated/approved by the DFO and upload in additional documents .
20	Keeping in view the numbers of trees per hectare, the forest land proposed for diversion appears to be open forest but the NPV rate has been changed for dense forest category.	Is to be corrected by your side (DFO).
21	Part I & II provided in the hard copy is in different format compared to online proforma for part I & part II. May provided hard copy of part I & part II signed by the concerned officers exactly similar to what has been uploaded online.	Copy of part I & part II signed by the concerned officers exactly similar to what has been uploaded online in additional documents now provided .
22	Signed copies of part-III,IV and part V are not attached in the hard copy.	Signed copies of part-III,IV and part V are attached in the hard copy.

भारत सरकार के स्तर से दिनांक 22 फरवरी 2016 को लगाई गई आपत्ति एवं नोडल अधिकारी के स्तर से ई0डी0एस0 सूचना ऑन लाईन प्रेषित है।

संलग्नः यथोपरि।

28/7/16

(इं0 राजेश कुमार)
अधिशासी अभियन्ता
पी0एम0जी0एस0वाई0, निर्माण खण्ड,
लो0नि0वि0, कालसी
दिनांक : /07/2016

पत्र सं0 : /

प्रतिलिपिः– नोडल अधिकारी इन्दिरानगर, फॉरेस्ट कालोनी, देहरादून को सूचनार्थ प्रेषित।

(इं0 राजेश कुमार)
अधिशासी अभियन्ता
पी0एम0जी0एस0वाई0, निर्माण खण्ड,
लो0नि0वि0, कालसी