कार्यालय अधिशासी अभियन्ता
पी0एम0जी0एस0वाई0,सिंचाई खण्ड,
उत्तरकाशी

दूरभा I एवं फैक्स नं0–01374.223804 Email Id :- eepmgsyuttarkashi@rediffmail.com

पत्रांक 957 /पी0एम0जी0एस0वाई0 / सि0ख0 दिनांक 27/07/2020

सेवामे,

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी
उत्तरकाशी वन प्रभाग,
उत्तरकाशी।

विषय– जनपद उत्तरकाशी में प्रधानमंत्री ग्राम सड़क योजना के अन्तर्गत सौरा–सारी–सिल्ला से पिलंग मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण हेतु 6.740 है0 वन भूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यो हेतु ग्राम्य विकास विभाग को प्रत्यावर्तन के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त मोटर मार्ग के वनभूमि प्रस्ताव पर लगायी गयी आपत्तियों का निराकरण बिन्दुवार निम्नलिखित है–

क्र0 सं0	आपत्तियां	निराकरण
1	KML File of the area proposed for diversion is not found uploaded. State Government may upload the KML file of the CA area at Para C (ii) b, Part I online.	KML file of the area proposed for diversion/CA is uploaded at Para C(ii) b, Part I online.
2	CA area is proposed in reserve forest area .As per DSS analysis 5.00 ha area found uploaded in VDF and 6 ha area is uploaded in MD. State Government may select the CA area suitable for plantation and submit/upload revised document at designated places.	DFO Uttarkashi has been requested to allot another place for CA Suitable for Plantation .
3	State Government may submit/upload the digital map of proposed road duly countersigned by DFO	Digital map of proposed road duly countersigned by DFO uploaded.
4	Cost benefit analysis uploaded/submitted found incomplete. State Government may submit the complete cost benefit analysis of the project.	Cost benefit analysis uploaded/submitted after completion.
5	Cost of CA is worked Rs. 2,08,804/- but the amount is mentioned as Rs.2,78,665/- per ha at the bottom citing the PCCF order. State Government may submit/upload detailed CA scheme @ current rate.	The cost of CA has been calculated as per PCCF's order dt-21/11/2107, because state government has revised the rates per hectare but the rate of individual activity has not been revised yet. As soon as the revised rates of individual activity come, new CA scheme will be uploaded in Part II and paid accordingly. Presently the cost is 37,56,404.00
6	In the site inspection report of DFO ,it has been mentioned that the construction of the road in the mid of forest will lead to fragmentation of forest and will affect wildlife. State Government may submit the detailed wildlife management plan based on scientific basis duly approved by the competent authority only.	Related to DFO uttarkashi

7	It is mentioned in the recommendation of DFO uploaded at Para 16 part II that the area falls within Bhagirathi ESZ. Therefore State Government may intimate if zonal master plan for the ESZ had been approved by the MoEF & CC. If not ,the approval of MoEF & CC may be submitted in the instant case as per provisions made in sub para-9 of Para L of the notification dt. 18.12.2012.	मुख्य सचिव / अध्यक्ष भागीरथी ईको सेंसटिव जोन की अध्यक्षता में दिनांक 25.01.2019 को सम्पन्न मॉनिटरिंग समिति की बैठक में निम्न निर्णय लिया गया "**भागीरथी ईको सेंसटिव जोन की अधिसूचना में जिन गतिविधियों को त्महनसंजमक ब्जमहक्तल में सम्मिलित किया गया है। उनसे सम्बन्धी तथा भागीरथी ईको सेंसटिव जोन सम्बन्धी भारत सरकार को प्रेषित जोनल मास्टर प्लान में समाविष्ट योजनाओं के सम्बन्ध में मॉनिटरिंग कमेटी से औपचारिक अनुमादेन प्राप्त करने की आवश्यकता नही होगी**"। बैठक का कार्य वृत्त पार्ट–2 के Additionan Information Detail में अपलोड कर दिया गया है।
8	It is seen from the map in SoI topo sheet that village bhelatipri and silu are located on the southern side of the proposed but location of these village is shown on northern side in the geo reference digital map. State government may submit clarification.	Discrepancy of the geo reference map removed and uploaded.
9	State government may explore the alignment of the road through bhelatipri and sila village to avoid RF land in almost 2/3rd part of the proposed alignment from the start point.	The target habitation has been explored from three places and the proposed one was found more economical, short and less damaging to forest. If the alignment was proposed from bhelatipri and silla villages 2 no. of conical land slide zone was there ,also to cross pilang gad a 100 mtr span bridge was required to reach Pilang. No appropriate/adequate site for bridge construction found. The proposed alignment start from left bank of Pilang gad where as the alternate alignment starts from right bank. on the right bank a **Power House** and its **Head works** is there so the alignment starting from left bank finalize.
10	Alternate alignment goes almost all alone proposed alignment which is not justified. State government may clarify and explore the alignment in view of point no 9 above.	Alternate alignment and proposed alignment starts from two different bank and goes almost all alone to reach the same target habitation.
11	Land schedule uploaded in part I & II different from hard copy. State government may remove discrepancy and submit /upload the correct land schedule.	Discrepancy of Land schedule uploaded in part I & II removed and uploaded.
12	CA site suitability certificate uploaded in Part I is different from hard copy of the proposal. State government may remove discrepancy and submit /upload the correct CA site suitability certificate.	Discrepancy of CA site suitability certificate removed and uploaded.
13	No comment are recorded against Para 5.5 of site inspection report of DFO. Further recommendation is also not clear. State Government may submit the site Inspection Report after ensuring that the comments against each para have been made and submit upload	Related to DFO uttarkashi

	the clear recommendation.	
14	CA stipulated (2350.58 ha) is not commensurate with the area of forest land diverted (1540.68 ha) and the progress of CA (2563.75 ha) is more than the CA stipulated (2350.58 ha) Logically the CA stipulated should be double the area of forest land diverted and the disparity, if any, is required to be clarified suitably.	Related to DFO uttarkashi
15	It is seen from the Muck Disposal Plan that 7 no of muck disposal sites (i.e. 6 in RF land covering an area of 0.658 ha and I in civil and soyam land covering an area of 0.369 ha) with an area of 1.017 ha area proposed in forest land and one site covering an area of 0.123 ha is proposed in private land but the entire land of 1.14 ha has been shown as forest land at para-B 2.4 of Part I State Government may submit clarification and submit/upload the complete and correct Musk Disposal Plan.	Muck Disposal Plan shows 8 no of muck disposal sites (i.e. 7 in RF land covering an area of 0.781 ha and 01 in civil and soyam land covering an area of 0.359 ha) with an area of 1.140 ha area proposed in forest land so that the entire land of 1.14 ha has been shown as forest land at Para- B 2.4 of Part .
16	It is mentioned at sub-para (ix) of para 3 i.e Recommendation of the Geologist Report that the change 7/25 to 7/40 passes through chronic land slide zone State Government may intimate the measures proposed to be taken for protection/ stabilization of this chronic land slide zone to avoid further degradation of the area due to construction of road.	At change 7/25 to 7/40 proposed alignment passes through chronic land slide zone. Provisions of Plum masonry and wire crate wall is made in DPR amounting approximate Rs. 100 lac.
17	Correct Tree Enumeration list, including 0-10 cm girth, may be uploaded .	Tree Enumeration list, including 0-10 cm girth corrected and uploaded.

अतः भारत सरकार क्षेत्रीय कार्यालय देहरादून द्वारा लगाई गयी आपत्तियों का निराकरण कर ऑनलाइन अपलोड करते हुये हार्ड कॉपी आपके कार्यालय को इस आशय से प्रेषित है, कि उक्त प्रस्ताव को ऑनलाइन अग्रसारित करने की कृपा करें।

अधिशासी अभियन्ता
पी.एम.जी.एस.वाई. सिंचाई खण्ड,
उत्तरकाशी