



कार्यालय- प्रभागीय प्रबन्धक खनन रामनगर  
उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम, खनन प्रभाग-रामनगर(नैनीताल)।  
e-mail : [prabharikhanan37@gmail.com](mailto:prabharikhanan37@gmail.com) PH:- 05947-254537

पत्रांक- 889

/ कोसी दाबका भाग-02 /

दिनांक-26-07-2022।

सेवा में,

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी,  
तराई पश्चिमी वन प्रभाग,  
रामनगर (नैनीताल)।

विषय :- जनपद ऊधम सिंह नगर में तराई पश्चिमी वन प्रभाग, रामनगर के रामनगर रेंज के ज्वालावन ब्लॉक में आरक्षित वन क्षेत्र के नदी तल कोसी-दाबका भाग-02 से उपखनिज चुगान निकासी हेतु 150.00 है0 वन भूमि हस्तान्तरण के सम्बन्ध में।

सन्दर्भ:- आपका पत्रांक-314/12-1/दिनांक 21.07.2022।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयगत सन्दर्भित पत्र के अनुपालन में सादर अवगत कराना है कि कोसी दाबका भाग-02 नदी के पुर्नप्रस्ताव पर सन्दर्भित पत्र द्वारा लगाई गई आपत्तियों का निस्तारण कर 04 सैट प्रस्तुत किये जा रहे हैं।

अतः आपसे अनुरोध है कि उक्त प्रस्ताव को ऑनलाईन करते हुए उच्च स्तर को भेजने का कष्ट करेंगे।

संलग्न-कोसी दाबका भाग-02 नदी-04 सैट।

भवदीय,

(धीरेश चन्द्र बिष्ट)

प्रभागीय प्रबन्धक खनन  
उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम,  
रामनगर(नैनीताल)

पत्रांक 889 / कोसी दाबका भाग-02 / उक्त दिनांकित  
प्रतिलिपि-निम्नलिखित की सेवा में सादर सूचनार्थ प्रेषित:-

1. वन संरक्षक(पश्चिमी वृत्त), उत्तराखण्ड हल्द्वानी नैनीताल।
2. क्षेत्रीय प्रबन्धक(प0क्षे0), उ0 वन विकास निगम, रामनगर।

(धीरेश चन्द्र बिष्ट)


प्रभागीय प्रबन्धक खनन  
उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम,  
रामनगर (नैनीताल)।

## PROPOSAL NO -FP/UK/MIN/60921/2020/Kosi Dabka Part-2

S.NO	Information Rased By Moef	Answer
i.	Forest area proposed for mining is located at an aerial distance of approximately 8.50 km from the boundary of Corbett Tiger Reserve therefore comments of Chief Wildlife Warden of the state may be obtained and submitted to the Ministry.	Forest area purposed for mining is located at an Aerial distance of approximately 11.35 km from the boundary of Corbett Tiger Reserve & 16.50 km from the boundary of Corbett National Park.(chief wild life warden certified part-1 & Map are attached ) (Annexure-I)
ii.	Status of District Survey Report, if any, prepared for Ramnagar and Udham Singh Nagar Districts of the State in accordance with the Guidelines on Sustainable Sand Mining-2016 issued by the Ministry in January 2020 vis-à-vis recommendation made thereof on the mining of RBM proposed in the extant proposal.	District Survey Report as per Guidelines on Sustainable Sand Ministry in January 2020 is attached.(Annexure-II)
iii.	The State Government may submit its comments whether the report prepared by the Indian Institute of Soil and Water Conservation is in conformity with the Sustainable Sand Mining Guidelines 2016 or otherwise.	Survey report Prepared by Indian Institute of Soil Water Conservation as per the mining guide line 2016. Survey report and Letter of Indian Institute of Soil Water Conservation are attached.(Annexure-III & IV).
iv.	Purpose wise breakup of forest area, as well as non-forest and, if any involved, as envisaged in the approved Mining Plan, may be provided by the State needs to submit by the state.	Purposed forest land for mining area is in 150 ha. No any civil & other forest land included for the project.
v.	A copy of the Mining Plan and status of its approval by the concerned competent authority in the State needs to submitted by the state.	Preparation of Mining Plan is under process.
vi.	The State Government may also intimate to the Ministry. methods of mining envisaged in the proposal i.e. whether mechanized or manual?	Methods Of Mining is done manually by Hand Tool.
vii.	As the mining has been proposed over an area of 150 ha which may result into additional transportation loads and increased biotic pressure on the surrounding resources, therefore. evacuation plan of the RBM to be mined out from the area may be intimated by the State.	The mining area has been purposed for 150 ha area but as per the mining rules actual mining would be carried out only on 50% of the purposed area which would be less than 150 ha area.
viii.	Estimation of cost benefit ratio does not account for all parameters specified in the Guidelines dated 01.05.2017 issued by the Ministry, incorporated at Annexure-III of Handbook of Forest (Conservation) Act. 1980. Therefore, cost benefit analysis needs to be re-visited by the State to ensure accounting of all specified parameters using appropriate techno-economic tools.	As per guideline Copy of Estimation of cost benefit ratio is attached. (Annexure-V)



ix.	Details of approvals, if any, granted by the Ministry in the past in Ramnagar and Udham Singh Nagar Districts in the river beds of Kosi and Dabka Rivers may be intimated by the state along with approved capacity of RBM as envisaged in the EC.	Approval of IEC of Kosi and Dabka rivers at Nainital district are attached (Annexure-VI, VII) but purposed sight is in district Udham Singh Nagar.
x.	A detail of employment potential of the project has not been provided in the online part-I of form-A. Similarly Part-IV does not have date recorded on it. Necessary rectifications need to be made and accordingly revised part-I and part-IV may be updated online in form-A.	Detail of employment potential are enclosed in form-A part-I and uploaded (Annexure-VIII)
xi.	Relevant portion of the Management plan which prescribed mining activities in the area in question be provided. Besides this, the relevant portion of prescription such as wildlife overlapping Working circle of the approved working plan should also be referred to cross check the claims regarding presence of wildlife and wildlife corridors in the area.	Purposed area does not belong to any wild life corridors. (Annexure-IX, X)
xii.	As per supreme court order the revenue earned in such cases should go for conservation work. In that case proposal of DFO for creating SPV and depositing money is tenable or not need to be clarified by the state.	Once the FC is granted the SPV would be created by DFO after getting approval from Govt. of Uttarakhand.
xiii.	The CA land is being done in double degraded forest land. Does it a civil soyam land? If so would it be mutated in the name of forest department and handed over for management?	(Annexure-XI enclosed) Letter No-3990/12-1 Dated 06/06/2022 Office Divisional Forest Office Narendra Nagar.
xiv.	It may be clarified whether the CA land proposed is covered with any working plan if so whether these lands are recommended for plantations?	
xv.	The report of DFO tells there are crushers established along the river and it would not be possible to check the illegal activity. What kind of crushers are they? Can they lead to illegal mining in the area? Is there any case related to Illegal mining in the range or land in question need to be locked in to.	Information is to be filled up by DFO TARAI WEST, (Ramnagar) forest division.

  
 प्रभागीय प्रवक्ता  
 उत्तराखण्ड वन विकास निगम खनन  
 रामनगर (नैनीताल)