

Reference Item in Form-A	Queries raised by Forest Department	Clarification by Ramgad Minerals & Mining limited
C(ii)(b) Kml File of Patches	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> KML file uploaded here consist of not only those pertaining to the present proposal but also another proposal (FP/KA/WIND/38582/2019) of another User Agency (MSPL Limited, Hosapete) resulting in lack of clarity and forest area mix up. Some of the KML files are with 'Line' Feature and not 'Polygon' feature that is required for area calculation. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please upload only the following KML files (with 'Polygon' features and inbuilt 'measurement' option) of the present proposal corresponding to the Project Components shown in Item B-2.4. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> KML Polygon(s) of forest land included in present proposal. KML Polygon(s) of non-forest land included in present proposal. Please ensure that the forest and non forest KML files are in two different colours and have proper identifiable File Names. 	<p>Necessary corrections have been done and KML file uploaded for present proposal only.</p> <p>All polygon files are uploaded.</p> <p>a) Necessary corrections have been done and KML file uploaded for present proposal only.</p> <p>b) Total forest land involved in this project is 2.63 Ha. No revenue land has been considered in this project. All revenue land related to wind farm has been considered in MSPL Limited project - File no. Fp/ka/wind/38582/2019. Forest and Revenue lands have been shown separately in all relevant drawings submitted as part of FC application.</p>
C(iii) Copy of Survey of India Toposheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed to be diverted	<p>Topo Map consisting of two FC proposals (present proposal as well as one of M/s MSPL) has been provided. However, the boundary of the present proposal area is not distinctly demarcated leaving scope for interpretation.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please upload following two Topo maps (1:50,000 scale) merged as a single PDF file here. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Topo Map -1 with appropriate Map Index and distinctly showing the boundaries of both proposals (present proposal as well as that of M/s. MSPL) in two different colours. Topo Map-II with appropriate Map Index showing only the present proposal boundary. Please ensure that the said Topo Maps – <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Are duly signed by User Agency. Topo sheet No. is clearly mentioned. Have proper Map Indices. Have the project area distinctly marked. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) TOPO MAP of present RMML proposal and MSPL Limited are merged and uploaded. All boundaries are demarcated properly. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> TOPO Map duly signed and uploaded. TOPO sheet No. mentioned clearly. Proper map index has been written. Project area has been distinctly marked and uploaded.
C(iv) Scanned copy of the Geo-referenced map of the forest land proposed to be diverted	<p>Geo-referenced map consisting of two FC Proposals (present proposal as well as one of M/s MSPL) has been provided. However, the boundary of the present proposal area is not distinctly demarcated leaving scope for interpretation.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Geo-referenced Map-1 with appropriate index and distinctly shown the boundaries of both proposals (Preset RMML Proposal as well as that of MSPL Limited) and superimposed on Village Map in

prepared by using GPS or Total Station.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please upload following two Geo-reference maps merged as a single PDF file here. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Geo-referenced Map-I with appropriate Map Index and distinctly showing the boundaries of both proposals (present Proposal as well as that of M/s. MSPL) superimposed on Village Map in two different colours. Geo-referenced Map-II with appropriate Map Index showing only the present proposal boundary superimposed on Village Map. Please ensure that the said Geo-referenced Maps. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Are duly signed by User Agency Show the Survey Numbers and their boundaries clearly. Have proper Map Indices. Have the Project are boundary distinctly marked. 	<p>two different colours.</p> <p>b) Geo-referenced Map-II with appropriate index of present proposal shown properly and boundaries superimposed on Village Map.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Map duly signed and uploaded. Survey Nos. and boundaries are shown clearly. Proper map index has been written. Project area has been distinctly marked and uploaded.
Additional Information Details General	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please upload a Clarification Note on official letterhead under seal and signature (with date) of User Agency on following points. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Whether and how the User Agency in present proposal (M/s Ramgad Minerals & Mining Limited, Hosapete) is related to M/s MSPL Limited, Hosapete (User Agency in adjoining Wind Power Project) Please also clarify whether two Wind Power Projects are independent Power projects or are part of only on project. Please provide the following information through email to 'gis3ictc@aranya.gov.in' marking copy to 'rfo3_ictc@aranya.gov.in' and 'apccffc@gmail.com'. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> GPS readings (Datum: WGS 84; in Degree. decimals) of <u>all corners and at every 20 meter interval on boundary of forest land proposed for diversion in MS Excel Sheet.</u> Please ensure that other information which is not pointed out above is not changed/removed while re-submitting the proposal. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Clarification note on official letterhead with seal and signature has been uploaded. MSPL Limited and RMML are different entity. Therefore, both the projects are different independent wind power project. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> All GPS reading are given in Degree. Decimals and send through e-mails.

