HIMACHAL PRADESH
PUBLIC WORK DEPARTMENT

No.:- PW/GHD/CB/WA/FOREST CASE/2022-- 4001 **Dated:-**12/07/2023

To

The Divisional Forest Officer,
Bilaspur Forest Division,
District Bilaspur.

Subject: - **Diversion of 0.3122 Hectare of forest land for the construction of link road Chanwar to Kaloh km 0/00 to to 2/690 within the jurisdiction of Bilaspur Forest Division, in District Bilaspur (H.P) (Online Proposal No. FP/HP/ROAD/145508/2021).**

Kindly refer to the Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF (FCA), O/o Pr. CCF, H.P, Shimla-1 observation letter no. Ft.48-5441/2021 (FCA) dated 14.06.2023

Sir,

This is with reference to the subject cited above. In this connection it is submitted that we have attended the shortcomings noticed in above proposal. The observations have been corrected as per your requirement. The Point wise reply to the observations is as under:-

Sr. No	Deficiencies	Compliance to the Deficiencies
1	The private land has neither been marked in KML file nor in layout plan which may be marked	In KML File Proposed Road Passing through Private Land shown as in Yellow color, Proposed Road Passing through Forest Land (Violated Portion) shown as in Red Color and Proposed Road Passing through Forest Land (Non-Violated Portion) shown as in Medium Blue Color. In Layout Plan Proposed Road Passing through Private Land shown as in Yellow color, Proposed Road Passing through Forest Land (Violated Portion) shown as in Dark Green Color and Proposed Road Passing through Forest Land (Non-Violated Portion) shown as in Red Color.
2	In reply to point No. 5, needful stated to have been done, but UPF and DPF area has not been mentioned separately in Part-II.	This observation pertains to your good office please.
3	In reply to point No. 10 needful stated to have been done, whereas, polygon provided for CA and toposheet and Geo-referenced map is still mismatch which may be rectified.	This observation pertains to your good office please.
4	Though CA scheme has been revised but it has been mentioned in the reply of point No. 15, that proposed CA area is 100% infested with lantana but the work of removal/ eradication of lantana has not been included in CA scheme which may be rectified/ corrected.	This observation pertains to your good office please.

5	The reply of point No. 12 is still not clarified, it should be clearly mentioned whether proposed land is forest as per IFA, 1927 of otherwise.	This observation pertains to your good office please.
6	As per the reply of point No. 14, the density is 0.07 whereas as per online detail mentioned it has been mentioned 0.4. Further, the calculation done in the reply is not correct, hence, density may be calculated as per standard procedure.	This observation pertains to your good office please.

It is, therefore, you are requested that the proposal may please be forwarded to the concerned higher office at the earliest.

Executive Engineer,
Ghumarwin Division,
HP.PWD Ghumarwin.