

Compliance to the Observations/ Remarks raised in letter E-Office file no:KFD/HOEF/A5-2(GFL)/176/2019-FC(Old file no:A5(2)GFLCR-25/2019-20)E-137748 Dtd:23.06.2021 on online Proposal no:FP/KA/IRRIIG/41736/2019

SI No	Reference Item in Form A	Remarks	Compliance
1	A=3(xvii) Copy of documents in support of the competence/authority of the person making this application to make application on behalf of the user agency.	<p>An old Authorization letter has been uploaded.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Please upload a proper authorization letter for 16.246ha issued on the official letterhead under seal and signature (with date) of the User Agency'(in English or its authenticated/ attested English translation, if not in English)authorizing the applicant to file this application.</li> </ul>	<p>New Authorization letter for 16.246ha is now uploaded.</p>
2	B-2.3 Village wise breakup	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Forest land Requirements are provided in 7 villages and non-forest land requirement in 2 villages are mentioned but instead of providing individual survey number wise area extent details, survey numbers are clubbed in this item however the correct individual survey number wise area extent details are provided in additional details</li> <li>The copy of RTC of all survey numbers. Containing mutation in favour of the Forest Department in respect to the Forest area Proposed to be diverted needs to be provided.</li> <li>Please specify the names of all villages and then provide the village-wise as well as individual survey number -wise breakup(without clubbing them)of forest as well as non-forest land required for the entire</li> </ul>	<p>Individual survey number wise area extent details are now provided for forest land in this item.</p> <p>Now Enclosed</p> <p>For Forest Land, village wise as well as individual survey number wise breakup are now provided and for non-forest land only names of the villages are provided at present.</p>


		<p>project in this item.[Note: In case of non forest lands, only the names of villages may be provided at present. Survey Number Details will be sought only if required during processing ]</p> <p>2. Please ensure that the village survey number details are provided in this item as well as on Geo-referenced maps.</p>	Complied
3	C (iv) Scanned copy of the Geo- referenced map of the forest land proposed to be diverted prepared by using GPS or Total Station.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uploaded part village maps.</li> <li>• ICT suggest to attach one entire alignment (from start to end)stretch Mosaic map(complete village map)</li> </ul> <p>1. Please ensure that the map</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Is the Original Scanned Map(not a photo copy)</li> <li>b. Has a proper map Index &amp; Map Title</li> <li>c. Is duly ink –signed by User Agency.</li> <li>d. Shows all survey numbers and boundaries in and around the project area clearly</li> <li>e. Shows the entire alignment (Forest and non-forest) of the project in the village limits in two different colours</li> </ol>	<p>One entire alignment stretch Mosaic map in now enclosed with all details mentioned in remarks.</p>
4	D(i) Copy of the note containing justification for locating the project in forest land. (ii) Whether a copy of map indicating location of alternative examine is required to	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An irrelevant document is uploaded in item D(i) Justification copy uploaded in additional information details instead of item D(i)Please Upload in particular column only.</li> </ul>	Justification Letter copy is now uploaded in item D(i)

	<p>be provided</p> <p>(a) Reason for not providing such map.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please upload a detailed justification note on official letterhead under seal and signature (with date) of User agency duly giving the reasons in item D(i) why the project has to be implemented in forest land only and how it is unavoidable to utilize the given forest land for the project.</li> <li>2. Please note that the government of India specifically seeks the map showing alternatives(non-forest) examined by User Agency in item D(ii)(a) and also the reasons for discarding such alternative in favour of forest land.</li> <li>3. It is thus advised to :               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Examined at least 2-3 alternatives(non-forest) and upload a map on appropriate scale showing such alternatives as well as the presently proposed location in item D(ii)(a); and also</li> <li>b. Provide the detailed valid reasons as a separate Note(merged with the above mentioned Map into a single PDF file) in this item why each of these alternatives has been discarded in favour of presently proposed location in forest land.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	<p>Due to some technical problem in online portal the maps uploaded in item D(ii) were not visible to view. Hence the maps were provided in additional information details explaining the problem in remarks column.</p> <p>However, alternative map merged with the detailed valid reasons has also been uploaded item D(i) also.</p>
5	<p>K(i)(a) Copy of documentary evidence in support of settlement of rights under the Forest Rights Act, 2006 on the Forest Land</p>	<p>'No' option is selected and undertaking copy uploaded in additional information details column. Please upload the undertaking copy in item K(i) only.</p>	<p>At present undertaking copy is uploaded in K(i) Item.</p>

	Proposed to be diverted.	<p>Please note that RoFR Compliance Certificate FORM-II(for Nonlinear Projects)issued by deputy commissioner as per the latest FC Guideline issued by GOI, MOEF&amp;CC, New Delhi vide F.No.5-2/2017 FC Dtd:28.03.2019(available on FC Web portal) will have to be submitted before final approval under FC Act.</p>	The Varahi Lift Irrigation Scheme comes under linear Project.
6	L. Details of land identified for compensatory afforestation.	<p>CA land is proposed in two different location which is as below</p> <p><b>Udupi District</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The proposed CA land KML 1 uploaded with generic name 00_6111412551219_mapgps Proposed -CA-LAND-Shiruru Hosuru(2).kml showing an area extent as against 16.246 Ha mentioned in L.(iv).(b).</li> <li>As per available cadastral data the proposed CA land falls in Sy number 121 of shiruru but in item no L.(iv).(d).147/1 &amp; 121/p1 and village is mentioned as Hosuru/Shiruru L.(iv)(a) but on geo-referenced map sy number 121 of Shiruru and area extent is mentioned as 6.07Ha.</li> <li>GPS readings are in non-convertible form hence it is required in convertible PDF in Decimal degree for faster technical analysis.</li> </ul>	<p>Now Corrected</p> <p>In udupi District CA lands are identified in two different location namely in Sy number 121/p1 in Shiruru village having extent of 6.07ha and in sy no 147/1 in Hosuru Village having extent of 28.98 ha. Accordingly Geo-referenced maps are prepared and now uploaded separately in this item.</p> <p>Now Provided in convertible PDF in Decimal Degree</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Topommap uploaded but the uploaded CA KML is not overlaid instead some other Irrelevant area is marked on the map.</li> <li>• Merged PDF Geo-referenced map is uploaded showing proposed CA land along with some other irrelevant areas are overlaid on village maps(No clarity)</li> </ul> <p><b>a. Chikkaballapura District.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Proposed CA land KML is uploaded with generic name 'Generated document' showing an area extent as 37.5 Ha as against 16.264 ha mentioned in I(iv)(b)</li> <li>• As per available cadastral data the proposed CA land falls in Sy number 22 of Tekalahalli but in item no L (iv) (d) 41&amp;22 and village is mentioned as Yarramarenahalli &amp; Tekalahalli L..(iv),(a)</li> <li>• GPS readings are in non convertible form hence it is required in convertible PDF in Decimal degree for faster technical analysis</li> <li>• Topommap uploaded but the uploaded CA KML, is no overlaid instead some</li> </ul>	<p>Now rectified</p> <p>Now clarified by separately providing details of Hosuru and Shiruru lands.</p> <p>The CA lands identified in Udupi District are comes under deemed forest. Hence for afforestation purpose, separate land has been identified in Chikkaballapura district in Sy No:22 which comes under Tekalahalli village and in Sy No:41 which comes under Yarramarenahalli village. Both are under NDB-4<sup>th</sup> Block and having total Extent at 35ha.</p> <p>The relevant details of this CA land identified in Chikkaballapura District will be provided after stage-1 approval.</p>
--	--	--

		<p>other irrelevant area is marked on the map</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Merged PDF Geo-referenced map is uploaded showing proposed CA land of Shiriru along with some other irrelevant areas are overlaid on village maps (No clarity) but the uploaded CA land in Chikkaballapura is not shown (marked area is not matching with uploaded KML)</li></ul> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Please ensure that there is uniformity of information of area extent ( including the figures in decimals) provided in different items including Maps, KML files, GPS readings etc.,</li><li>2. Please note that the project will require an equivalent extent of non-forest land to be identified ( now) for CA purpose and provided later (only after Stage-1 approval).</li><li>3. Please ensure that the corners of the polygon match exactly with the corresponding GPS readings and also with the ground features as seen in satellite imagery.</li><li>4. Please provide the following details of proposed CA land and relevant sub items of item L correctly.<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a. KML file (Polygon) of outer boundary of CA land with inbuilt</li></ol></li></ol>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>'measurement' option with proper identifiable names.</p> <p>b. Copy of land ownership proof (RTC).</p> <p>c. Topomap with Map index and Survey of India Toposheet No. Showing location of proposed CA land.</p> <p>d. Geo-referenced Map showing proposed CA land superimposed on village/cadastral map.</p> <p>e. List of GPS readings (Datum: WGS 84; in Degree decimal) of all corners of CA land.</p>	
7	Additional Information Details /General	<p>1. Please upload the following documents.</p> <p>a. Provide the copy of RTC of all survey numbers, containing mutation in favour of the forest department in respect to the forest area proposed to be diverted needs to be provided.</p> <p>b. Tabular statement giving the Length and Width of forest strip required for the two individual segments.</p> <p>c. Clarification whether the project has already been implemented in the past and the present proposal seeks ex-post facto approval under FC Act; and</p> <p>d. PDF File (convertible to MS Excel)</p>	<p>Now provided</p> <p>Now provided</p> <p>Now Enclosed</p> <p>Now Enclosed</p>

	<p>containing tabular statement of segment wise Dimensions (Length, Width and Extent ) of different components.(Main canal, Direct Minor, Minor, Sub-Monitor, Distributary)involved in the entire project;</p> <p>2. Undertaking (on official letter head) to the effect that the all corner points of forest land proposed for diversion will be physically marked on the ground with the help of temporary stone pillars/other appropriate means for verification by forest officers before submitting the hard copy of proposal to Jurisdictional deputy conservator of forests [Note: after stage-Iapproval, four-feet high RCC pillars will have to be provided at all corner points and at every 20meter interval in case of Approach Road. If any However, temporary stone pillars are sufficient for field verification at present)</p> <p>3. As the FC proposals involve processing/scrutiny by the Government of India, all uploaded document should be ink-signed by the User Agency and also need to be in English. In case of non-English documents, please upload an English translation duly authenticated /attested by the Competent Authority/User Agency after merging it with the original document in to a single PDF File.</p>	<p>Now Enclosed</p> <p>Noted</p>



		<p>1. Please ensure that:</p> <p>a. Each issue mentioned above is carefully addressed before re-submission of application.</p> <p>b. Other crucial information not pointed out above does not get deleted/alttered while submitting the application online again.</p> <p>c. No work undertaken in forest land without FC clearance.</p>	<p>Noted and obeyed</p>
--	--	---	-------------------------

ATTORNEY ENGINEER  
 Municipal Corporation (I)  
 P. E. DIVISION  
 000177114

Praveen

28/6/24