

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

0.1 BACKGROUND

MPRDC a Premier Corporation of the Govt. of M.P. engaged in the reconstruction and widening of State Highways/ Major Districts roads across the state, intends to across the services of technically experts consultancy firm to prepare Feasibility report for Two/Four lanning with paved shoulder configuration including project design, planning and project preparation, surveys, devise suitable financing model and contract award structure for making the project viable under Private Sector Participation, bid management and preparation of tender documents, to perform detail engineering for the project including detailed cost estimates and land acquisition plans etc.

0.2 CONSULTANCY SERVICES

Consultant is to provide the Consultancy Services for Preparation of feasibility study & detailed project report for Widening of Road to suitable Lane Configuration i/c Construction of Bridges & Culvert.

The consultant has to ensure:

- enhanced safety and level of service for the road users;
- superior operation and maintenance enabling enhanced operational efficiency of the Project;
- minimal adverse impact on the local population and road users due to road construction;
- minimal adverse impact on environment;
- Minimal additional acquisition of land.

0.2.1 Objectives

- The main objective of the consultancy is to establish the technical, economical and financial viability of the project and prepare Detailed Project Report for rehabilitation and up gradation of the existing road to 2-lane / 4-lane with paved shoulder configuration and/ or it strengthening.
- The viability of the project shall be established taking into account the requirements with regard to rehabilitation, upgrading and improvement based on highway design, pavement design, provision of services roads wherever necessary, type of intersections, rehabilitation and widening of existing and/or construction of new bridges and structures, road safety features, quantities of various items of work, cost estimates and economic analysis.
- The Detailed Project Report would inter-alia include detailed highway design, design of pavement and overlay with options for flexible or rigid pavements, design of bridges and cross drainage structures and grade separated structures, design of service roads, quantities of various items, detailed working drawings, detailed cost estimates, economic and financial viability analyses, environmental and social feasibility, social and environmental action plans as appropriate and documents required for tendering the project on commercial basis for intonations/ local





competitive bidding.

• The detailed project preparation incorporating aspects of value engineering, quality audit and safety audit requirement in design and implementation.

0.2.2 Scope of Services

The Scope of Services, inter-alia, covers the following main activities:

(i)Traffic surveys and demand assessment

- (ii) Engineering surveys and investigations.
- (iii) Location and layout of toll plazas
- (iv) Location and layout of truck lay byes
- (v) Location and layout of bus bays and bus shelters
- (vi) Social impact assessment

(vii) Environment impact assessment& obtaining TOR from MoEF for environmental clearance and conduct Public hearing. Consultant shall complete the process of final environmental clearance from MoEF.

(viii) Preliminary Designs of road, bridges, structures, etc. including GAD of ROB's and RUB's. The consultant shall be responsible for getting approval of Railways for GAD's of ROB/RUB's.

(ix) Preparation of Land Plan Schedules as per revenue records. Preparation of Land documents to publish notification of various stages as per Land Acquisition Act.

(x) Preparation of proposal of forest land diversion as per forest conservation act and obtaining clearance from MoEF.

(xi) Preparation of proposal for obtaining clearance from State Wild Life Board and National Wild Life Board.

(xii) Preparation of strip plan for relocation of utility shifting and their estimates for relocation approved by concerned Competent Authority.

(xiii) Preparation of indicative BOQ and rough Cost Estimates

(xiv) Financial analysis to assess the viability of the project.

(xv) Preparation of Schedules A, B, C, D and H of the Concession Agreement.

(xvi) Survey & Investigation of bypasses on the alignment.

(xvii) Obtaining Project related clearances from the concerned department/agencies like MOEF, Pollution control board, forest, wild life boards, Railways etc.





0.3 PROJECT ALIGNMENT DESCRIPTION

- The Project road Siddikaganj-Hatpipaliya Road is Ex. MDR.
- The Existing Length of the Project road is Km 21.236.
- The Project Corridor starts in Siddikaganj from Km Stone 98/127, at T-Junction (LHS-Kannod& RHS-Astha) of SH-41 of Latitude & Longitude (22° 52'20.72"N& 76° 46'6.58"E). The Road Terminates at Hatpipaliyaon Km Stone-27, of Latitude & Longitude (22° 51'14.62"N& 76°31'40.65"E) of SH-41.







Figure 0.3:- Location Map showing Project Road







Figure 0.4:-Location Map showing Project Road





0.3 CHAINAGE REFERENCES (EXISTING v/s DESIGN)

| Table 0.1:- | Chainage | References |
|-------------|----------|------------|
|-------------|----------|------------|

| Sr. No. | Existing Chainage | Design Chainage | Locations |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------|---|
| 1. | 00+000 | 00+000 | Start Point of Road (Khacharod Village) |
| 2. | 05+000 | 05+000 | Bapcha Baramda village |
| 3. | 11+600 | 11+605 | Siddikaganj village |
| 4. | 19+400 | 19+405 | Dhurada kalan |

0.4 RIGHT OF WAY [ROW]

Available ROW is 12-15m in Built up Area and 15-20 in Open Area along the project corridor.

0.5 ABUTTING LAND USE PATTERN

The existing alignment is a link between Kachrod, Siddikiganj, Duralakala. The pattern on both side of road is agricultural/open and built-up. The details of land use pattern along the project road are-

| Built-up | - | 13 % |
|--------------|---|------|
| Agricultural | - | 49% |
| Forest | - | 38% |



Figure0.5: Land Use Pattern





The details of land use pattern for project road is as under-

| Sr. | Exis Chai | ting nage | Length | Design C | hainage | Length | Type of | Land Use | Name of village/ |
|-----|--------------|--------------|--------|----------|---------|--------|------------|-------------|------------------|
| NO. | Km | Km | (111) | Km | Km | (111) | Terrain | | town |
| 1 | 00+000 | 01+000 | 01+000 | 00+000 | 01+000 | 01+000 | plain | BUILTUP | KACHROD |
| 2 | 01+000 | 05+200 | 04+200 | 01+000 | 05+200 | 04+200 | plain | AGRICULTURE | |
| 3 | 05+200 | 05+900 | 00+700 | 05+200 | 05+900 | 00+700 | plain | BUILTUP | BAPCHA BARAMDA |
| 4 | 05+900 | 08+900 | 03+000 | 05+900 | 08+900 | 03+000 | plain | FOREST | |
| 5 | 08+900 | 11+600 | 02+700 | 08+900 | 11+605 | 02+705 | plain | AGRICULTURE | |
| 6 | 11+600 | 12+300 | 00+700 | 11+605 | 12+600 | 00+995 | plain | BUILTUP | SIDDHIKIGANJ |
| 7 | 12+300 | 16+300 | 04+000 | 12+600 | 16+300 | 03+700 | plain | FOREST | |
| 8 | 16+300 | 19+400 | 03+100 | 16+300 | 19+400 | 03+100 | plain | AGRICULTURE | |
| 9 | 19+400 | 20+000 | 00+600 | 19+400 | 20+000 | 00+600 | plain | BUILTUP | DURALAKALA |
| 10 | 20+000 | 21+236 | 01+236 | 20+000 | 21+240 | 01+240 | plain | FOREST | |

Table 0.2:- Existing Land Use Pattern



Figure 07:-Existing Pavement condition



Figure 08:-Existing Pavement condition

0.6 TERRAIN

The terrain is plane at most of the stretch and has normal gradient throughout the Plain and hilly portion terrain in **21+236.** The details of which are given below:

Table 0.3 – Detail of terrain

| Sr. no. | Existing | Chainage | Length | Design (| Chainage | Length | Type of |
|---------|----------|----------|--------|----------|----------|--------|---------|
| | Km | Km | | Km | Km | (KIII) | Terrain |
| 1 | 0+000 | 21+236 | 21+236 | 0+000 | 21+240 | 21+240 | PLAIN |

0.7 FOREST





Detail of forest land on the project highway as per site inspection - 8.038 km

0.8 TRAFFIC

In this chapter, the report is concerned about **Siddikiganj-Hatpipaliya Road**. Traffic Survey Locations and Schedules were, as given below:-



Figure 09

Figure 10

Table 0.4 – Different Traffic surveys and their dates of commencement

| Location | Date of Tra | affic Survey | Duration | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Location | From | То | Duration | | | | | | | | | | |
| Classified Traffic Volume Count | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4+000 | 20/04/2018 | 20/04/2018 27/04/2018 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Axle load | d Survey | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4+500 | 21/04/2018 | 21/04/2018 | 24 Hours | | | | | | | | | | |

The following ADT and PCU were observed on project road -

Table 0.5- Total ADT & PCU

| Vahiele Category | AT Km 4+000 | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| venicle Category | ADT | PCU | | | | | | |
| Two wheeler | 391 | 196 | | | | | | |
| Three Wheeler | 7 | 4 | | | | | | |
| Car/Jeep/Van/Taxi | 36 | 36 | | | | | | |
| Mini Bus | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Bus | 10 | 30 | | | | | | |
| LCV | 4 | 6 | | | | | | |
| 2 - Axle Truck | 4 | 12 | | | | | | |
| 3 Axle Truck | 4 | 12 | | | | | | |
| Multi Axle Truck | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Tractor with Trailer | 8 | 37 | | | | | | |





| Vahiala Catagory | AT Km | 4+000 |
|----------------------------------|-------|-------|
| venicle Category | ADT | PCU |
| Tractor without Trailer | 0 | 0 |
| Total Fast Moving Vehicles (FMV) | 465 | 332 |
| Cycles | 10 | 5 |
| Cycle Rickshaw | 0 | 0 |
| Bullock Cart | 0 | 0 |
| Horse Drawn | 0 | 0 |
| Hand Cart | 0 | 0 |
| Total Slow Moving Vehicles | 10 | 5 |
| Total | 475 | 337 |

The projected traffic growth rate is taken as 5%.





Table 0.6-ESAL Calculation

At Chainage - 4+000 Km

| | | | Tra | ffic | | | | Grow | th Rat | e (%) | | | | | | | VDF | | | | ESAL (MSA) | |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--|------------------------------------|---|-----------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Year | L C V | 2- Axl e | 3- Axl e | M A V | B U S | Trac tor Wit h Trail or | L C V | 2- Axl e | 3- Axl e | M A V | B U S | Trac tor Wit h Trail or | Lane Distrib ution Factor | Directi onal Distrib ution Factor | LC V | 2- Axl e | 3- Axl e | M AV | BU S | Tra ffic (CV D) | Yea rly | Cummu lative |
| Prese nt Year- 2018 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | | | | | | | | 30 | | |
| Base Year- 2021 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0.0 00 | 1.2 38 | 23. 642 | 8.6 11 | 0.0 00 | 25 | 0.0 2 | 0.02 |
| Year- 2022 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 27 | 0.0 2 | 0.03 |
| Year- 2023 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 28 | 0.0 2 | 0.05 |
| Year- 2024 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 29 | 0.0 2 | 0.07 |
| Year- 2025 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 31 | 0.0 2 | 0.08 |
| Year- 2026 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 33 | 0.0 2 | 0.10 |
| Year- 2027 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 13 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 34 | 0.0 2 | 0.12 |
| Year- 2028 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 13 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 36 | 0.0 2 | 0.15 |
| Year- 2029 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 14 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 38 | 0.0 | 0.17 |
| Year- 2030 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 15 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 40 | 0.0 | 0.19 |



Highway Engineering Consultant, Bhopal



Feasibility Report Consultancy Services for preparation of Detailed Project Report for ADB VI/VII Project under MPRDC

| | | | Tra | ffic | | | | Grow | th Rat | e (%) | | | Directi | | | VDF | | | | ESA | L (MSA) | |
|---------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--|------------------------------------|---|----------|----------------|----------------|----------|----------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Year | L C V | 2- Axl e | 3- Axl e | M A V | B U S | Trac tor Wit h Trail or | L C V | 2- Axl e | 3- Axl e | M A V | B U S | Trac tor Wit h Trail or | Lane Distrib ution Factor | Directi onal Distrib ution Factor | LC V | 2- Axl e | 3- Axl e | M AV | BU S | Tra ffic (CV D) | Yea rly | Cummu lative |
| Year- 2031 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 19 | 16 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0.0 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0.0 0 | 41 | 0.0 2 | 0.22 |
| Year- 2032 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 20 | 16 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 44 | 0.0 3 | 0.24 |
| Year- 2033 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 17 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 46 | 0.0 3 | 0.27 |
| Year- 2034 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 48 | 0.0 3 | 0.30 |
| Year- 2035 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 23 | 19 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 50 | 0.0 3 | 0.33 |
| Year- 2036 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 24 | 20 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0.0 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0.0 0 | 53 | 0.0 3 | 0.36 |
| Year- 2037 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 21 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 56 | 0.0 3 | 0.39 |
| Year- 2038 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 27 | 22 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 58 | 0.0 3 | 0.43 |
| Year- 2039 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 28 | 23 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 61 | 0.0 4 | 0.46 |
| Year- 2040 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 29 | 24 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 64 | 0.0 4 | 0.50 |
| Year- 2041 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 31 | 25 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0.0 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0.0 0 | 68 | 0.0 4 | 0.54 |
| Year- 2042 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 0 | 32 | 27 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 71 | 0.0 4 | 0.59 |
| Year- 2043 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 0 | 34 | 28 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 74 | 0.0 4 | 0.63 |
| Year- 2044 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 36 | 29 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 78 | 0.0 5 | 0.68 |
| Year- | 16 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 37 | 31 | 5. | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5. | 5. | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 | 23. | 8.6 | 0 | 82 | 0.0 | 0.73 |



Highway Engineering Consultant, Bhopal



Feasibility Report Consultancy Services for preparation of Detailed Project Report for ADB VI/VII Project under MPRDC

| | | | Tra | ffic | | | | Grow | th Rat | e (%) | | | | | | | VDF | | | | ESA | L (MSA) |
|---------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--|------------------------------------|---|---------|----------------|----------------|----------|---------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Year | L C V | 2- Axl e | 3- Axl e | M A V | B U S | Trac tor Wit h Trail or | L C V | 2- Axl e | 3- Axl e | M A V | B U S | Trac tor Wit h Trail or | Lane Distrib ution Factor | Directi onal Distrib ution Factor | LC V | 2- Axl e | 3- Axl e | M AV | BU S | Tra ffic (CV D) | Yea rly | Cummu lative |
| 2045 | | | | | | | 00 | 0 | 0 | 00 | 00 | | | | | 4 | 64 | 1 | | | 5 | |
| Year- 2046 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 39 | 32 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 86 | 0.0 5 | 0.78 |
| Year- 2047 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 41 | 34 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 91 | 0.0 5 | 0.83 |
| Year- 2048 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 43 | 36 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 95 | 0.0 6 | 0.89 |
| Year- 2049 | 19 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 45 | 38 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 100 | 0.0 6 | 0.95 |
| Year- 2050 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 48 | 39 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 105 | 0.0 6 | 1.01 |
| Year- 2051 | 21 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 50 | 41 | 5. 00 | 5.0 0 | 5.0 0 | 5. 00 | 5. 00 | 5.00 | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.2 4 | 23. 64 | 8.6 1 | 0 | 110 | 0.0 7 | 1.08 |





Feasibility Report Consultancy Services for preparation of Detailed Project Report for ADB VI/VII Project under MPRDC





0.9 PAVEMENT COMPOSITIONS

The existing crust of project alignment is in fair condition. The existing crust consists of BC, DBM, WMM & GSB.

The method of design followed is a modification of the CBR method incorporating mechanistic approach.

The traffic used in design is in terms of the cumulative number of standard axles to be carried during the design life of the road.

Use of the CBR method for pavement design, the sub grade strength of the new two lanes and widening were assessed in terms of the CBR value as per the procedure prescribed in the standards. (Details of samples taken & CBR values attached in material report table -1.3)

Pavement design catalogues giving standard pavement compositions as given in IRC-37-2012 for various CBR values ranging from 2% to 10% six levels of design traffic 10,20,30,50,100 and 150 MSA are used with necessary extrapolations to obtain required pavement thicknesses.

It was found that suitable material for sub grade with 8% CBR is available at borrow areas.

Using 8% CBR for sub grade and from the curves as mentioned in IRC: 37- 2012 pavement thickness were computed for the relevant millions of standard axles and furnished in Table 0.7.

Pavement thickness required for the designed MSA and as per IRC guidelines is shown in following table-

Table – 0.7

| Sr. | Section | Colculated MASA | Adopted | CBR | Pav | ement Co | ompositio | on (mm) |
|-----|----------|-----------------|---------|-----|-----|----------|-----------|---------|
| No. | Section | | MSA | (%) | BC | DBM | WMM | GSB |
| 1 | Km 4+000 | 0.30 | 10 | 7% | 40 | 50 | 250 | 230 |

0.10 PROPOSED BYPASSES / REALIGNMENT DETAILS

There no any bypass Proposed on the project road.

Table 0.8 (a) - Details of proposed Bypasses

| S No | Design Cha | inage (Km) | Longth (Km) | Pomark |
|--------|------------|------------|--------------|---------|
| 5. NO. | Start | End | Length (Kin) | Kennark |





NIL

Table 0.8 (b) - Details of Realignment

| 5 80 | Existing C | Chainage | Length | Length Design Chainage | | Length | Area | |
|---------|------------|----------|--------|------------------------|-----|--------|------|--|
| 5. 110. | Start | End | (m) | Start | End | (m) | (Ha) | |
| NIL | | | | | | | | |

0.11 ROAD JUNCTIONS/INTERSECTIONS

There are junctions which are very important. Their details are-

• Major Junctions :



Figure 11:-Major Junction at chaiage 0+000



Figure 12:-Major Junction at Chainage 21+238

| Table 0.9 | 9- Details | of Majo | r Junctions |
|-----------|------------|---------|-------------|
| | | | |

| Sr. | Existing | Design | Type of | Dire | ction | Remark |
|-----|----------|----------|----------|-------------------------|-------|--------|
| No. | Ch. (Km) | Ch. (Km) | Junction | Towards LHS Towards RHS | | |
| 1 | 00+000 | 00+000 | Т | KANNOUJ | ASTHA | |





• Minor Junctions :-



Figure 13:- Minor Junction at chainage 8+050

Table 0.10-Details of Minor Intersections

| Sr | Existing | Design | Type of | Direction | - | - |
|-----|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| No. | Ch. (Km) | Ch. (Km) | Junction | Towards LHS | Towards RHS | Remark |
| 1 | 00+960 | 0+970 | т | | PRAGYA | |
| | 001900 | 0.370 | • | | COLONY | |
| 2 | 01+150 | 1+140 | т | UDAIPUR | | |
| 3 | 02+150 | 2+150 | Т | | PAGRIYAGHAT | |
| 4 | 04+880 | 4+880 | Т | NOAGAON | | |
| 5 | 05+900 | 5+900 | Х | VILLAGE | VILLAGE | |
| 6 | 08+050 | 8+050 | Т | | JASMAT | |
| 7 | 08+400 | 8+450 | Т | | BAJAKHEDI | |
| 8 | 10+850 | 10+850 | Y | SHYAMPUR | | |
| 9 | 11+650 | 11+650 | Т | | ASHTA | |
| 10 | 11+800 | 11+800 | Т | | VILLAGE | |
| 11 | 11+950 | 11+960 | Т | | VILLAGE | |





| Sr | Existing | Design | Type of | Direction | | |
|-----|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| No. | Ch. (Km) | Ch. (Km) | Junction | Towards LHS | Towards RHS | Remark |
| 12 | 14+960 | 14+970 | т | | BARKHEDI | |
| 13 | 15+340 | 15+340 | т | GOVINDPURI | | |
| 14 | 17+750 | 17+740 | т | | JHAJHANPURI | |
| 15 | 19+805 | 19+800 | Т | | JAWAR | |

0.12 ROAD SIDE DRAINS

V Shape PCC drains are provided in village portions, wherever required. Details and dimensions are given in TCS of drain of roads.

Chainage wise details of V Shape PCC drain is given below-

| | Proposed Ch. (Km) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| From | То | Length (Km) | | | | | | | |
| 0+000 | 1+000 | 1+000 | | | | | | | |
| 5+200 | 5+900 | 0+700 | | | | | | | |
| 11+660 | 12+600 | 0+950 | | | | | | | |
| 19+400 | 19+900 | 0+500 | | | | | | | |
| Total | (Km) | 3+140 | | | | | | | |

Table – 0.11 Locations of Covered RCC Drains

0.13 SUBMERGENCE

Nil.

0.14 CROSS DRAINAGE WORKS

Bridges-

There are total existing 3 Minor Bridges out of which, 02 structures are reconstruction and 01 structure is under reconstruction.





| | | | Detail of Existing Structure | | | | Detail o St | of Propo ructure | sed |
|-----------|------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| S.n o. | Existin g Ch. | Design Ch. | Type of Stru cture | Span | Oute r Widt h | Proposal | Type of Structur e | Span | Oute r Widt h |
| 1 | 1+100 | 1+100 | vcw | 7x120 0 | 8.0 | RECONSTRUCT ION | MNB | 2X10 | 12 |
| 2 | 1+750 | 1+775 | НРС | 4X900 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUCT ION | MNB | 1X6 | 12 |
| 3 | 5+600 | 5+620 | MNB | 1X10 | 8.0 | RECONSTRUCT ION | MNB | 1X10 | 12 |
| 4 | 8+700 | 8+715 | HPC | 3X120 0 | 8.5 | RECONSTRUCT ION | MNB | 1X6 | 12 |
| 5 | 9+080 | 9+080 | MNB | 3X6.8 | 7.0 | RECONSTRUCT ION | MNB | 3X8 | 12 |
| 6 | 16+580 | 16+560 | SKEW HPC | 4X120 0 | 8.0 | RECONSTRUCT ION | MNB | 1X10 | 12 |
| 7 | 18+060 | 18+060 | VCW | 3X120 0+ 1X120 0 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUCT ION | MNB | 1X6 | 12 |

<u>Culverts</u>

There are total existing 28 culverts out of which, 24 structures are reconstruction and 02 are for widening and 02 Structures are for retain.

| | | Detail of Existing Structure | | | Detail of Proposed Structure | | | | |
|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| S.n o. | Existin g Ch. | Desig n Ch. | Type of Structu re | Span | Oute r Widt h | Proposal | Type of Structur e | Span | Oute r Widt h |
| 1 | 2+500 | 2+505 | HPC | 2x900 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 2X120 0 | 12 |
| 2 | 3+300 | 3+325 | HPC | 2x100 0 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 2X120 0 | 12 |
| 3 | 4+050 | 4+065 | HPC | 2x100 0 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 2X120 0 | 12 |
| 4 | 4+200 | 4+225 | HPC | 1x100 0 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 1X120 0 | 12 |
| 5 | 4+400 | 4+415 | HPC | 1X100 0 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 1X120 0 | 12 |
| 6 | 4+600 | 4+610 | HPC | 1X100 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUC | HPC | 1X120 | 12 |





| | | | Detail St | Detail of Existing Structure | | | Detail of Proposed Structure | | | |
|-----------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------|------------------------|--|
| S.n o. | Existin g Ch. | Desig n Ch. | Type of Structu re | Span | Oute r Widt h | Proposal | Type of Structur e | Span | Oute r Widt h | |
| | | | | 0 | | TION | | 0 | | |
| 7 | 4+920 | 4+935 | HPC | 2X900 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUC | HPC | 2X120 0 | 12 | |
| 8 | 5+150 | 5+170 | HPC (CANAL) | 2X100 0 | 8.0 | RETAIN | HPC (CANAL) | 2X100 0 | 12 | |
| 9 | 6+450 | 6+430 | HPC (CANAL) | 2X100 0 | 8.0 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 2X120 0 | 12 | |
| 10 | 7+550 | 7+585 | HPC | 2X300 | 8.5 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 2X120 0 | 12 | |
| 11 | 8+400 | 8+420 | SKEW HPC (CANAL) | 2X100 0 | 9.5 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 2X120 0 | 12 | |
| 12 | 9+400 | 9+415 | HPC (CANAL) | 1X100 0 | 8.0 | WIDENING | HPC (CANAL) | 1X120 0 | 12 | |
| 13 | 9+520 | 9+540 | HPC (CANAL) | 1X100 0 | 7.5 | RETAIN | HPC (CANAL) | 1X100 0 | 12 | |
| 14 | 10+100 | 10+120 | HPC | 1X600 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 1X120 0 | 12 | |
| 15 | 10+300 | 10+290 | HPC | 2X300 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 1X120 0 | 12 | |
| 16 | 10+880 | 10+880 | HPC | 2X900 | 8.5 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 2X1.2 | 12 | |
| 17 | 14+280 | 14+280 | HPC | 2X600 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUC TION | HPC | 1X120 0 | 12 | |
| 18 | 15+800 | 15+825 | HPC | 3X600 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUCT ION | НРС | 3X120 0 | 12 | |
| 19 | 17+050 | 17+085 | HPC | 2X120 0 | 7.5 | WIDENING | НРС | 2X120 0 | 12 | |
| 20 | 17+970 | 17+970 | HPC | 1X100 0 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUCT ION | НРС | 1X100 0 | 12 | |
| 21 | 19+050 | 19+070 | HPC | 1X900 + 1X600 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUCT ION | НРС | 2X120 0 | 12 | |
| 22 | 19+950 | 19+950 | vcw | 3X900 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUCT ION | НРС | 4X120 0 | 12 | |
| 23 | 20+480 | 20+480 | vcw | 3X600 | 7.5 | RECONSTRUCT ION | НРС | 3X120 0 | 12 | |





0.15 RAILWAY TRACKS / CROSSINGS

No any Railway Crossing passes along the project corridor.

0.16 TOLL PLAZAS

- Existing Toll Plaza Nil
- Proposed Toll Plaza Nil

0.17 ROADWAY FACILITIES

(a) Way Side Amenities

There is not any proposal for way side amenities.

(b) Truck/Bus Lay Byes

Truck lay byes is not provided. Bus Lay Byes & Bus shelters are is not proposed for the project road.

0.19 INVESTIGATIONS AND SURVEYS

In order to design various components of project road; following investigations and surveys have been carried out:-

- Traffic surveys
- 7 days traffic Survey
- Axle load Survey
- Origin-Destination and Commodity Movements Surveys
- Turning Movement Surveys
- Topographic surveys including GPS
- Longitudinal and Cross-Sections
- Details of utility Services and Other Physical Features
- Material Surveys & Investigations
- Borrow area Identification
- Road Inventory Survey
- Pavement Condition Survey
- Pavement Composition
- Pavement Structural Strength
- Subgrade Characteristics and Strength
- Culvert and Bridge Inventory Survey
- Hydraulic and Hydrological Investigations
- Geo-technical Investigations and Sub-Soil Exploration

0.20 DESIGN PARAMETERS





Following design standards have been adopted as per Indian Roads Congress (IRC) Guidelines, contained in IRC: 73, IRC: 86, IRC: 38 and IRC: SP: 23 and is given in Table below:

| SI. No. | Item | Plain/Rolling Terrain | | |
|------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Design speed (kmph) | 80 Kmph - 100 Kmph. | | |
| 2 | Land width (m) Open / Built-up area | 7.0 m/7.0 m | | |
| 3 | Width of carriageway (m) | 7.0 m | | |
| 4 | Paved shoulders | 2 x 1.5 m (Built-up) | | |
| 5 | Unpaved shoulders | 2 x 1.5 m | | |
| 6 | Camber/cross fall | | | |
| (i) | Carriageway & paved shoulders | 2.5% | | |
| (ii) | Earthen shoulders | 3.5% | | |
| 7 | Maximum super elevation | 7% | | |
| 8 | Minimum Radii of horizontal curves (m) | 400m ruling /250m min. | | |
| 9 | Minimum length of curves (m) | 150 m for every deflection angle of 50 | | |
| 11 | Drains | As per Design | | |
| 12 | Sight Distance | As per IRC 73 & IRC 86 | | |
| 13 | Gradient | | | |
| (i) | Ruling Gradient | 3.33% | | |
| (ii) | Limiting Gradient | 5% | | |
| (iii) | Exceptional Gradient | 6.7% | | |
| 14. | Vertical Clearance for power/ | | | |
| | telecommunication lines | | | |
| | Low Voltage up to 110 V | 5.5m | | |
| | Power Line up to 650V | 6.0m | | |
| | Electric Power line more than 650 V | 6.5m | | |

Table 0.14- Design Parameters

0.21 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CRUST

Depending upon detailed design for sections, the following lane configuration & pavement composition is proposed for Project Road.

Vehicle Damage Factor

The guidelines use Vehicle Damage Factor (VDF) in estimation of cumulative msa for thickness design of pavements. In case of cemented bases, cumulative damage principle is used for determining fatigue life of cementations bases for heavy traffic and for that spectrum of axle loads is required.

The Vehicle Damage Factor (VDF) is a multiplier to convert the number of commercial





vehicles of different axle loads and axle configuration into the number of repetitions of standard axle load of magnitude 80 kN. It is defined as equivalent number of standard axles per commercial vehicle. The VDF varies with the vehicle axle configuration and axle loading.

The equations for computing equivalency factors for single, tandem and tridem axles given below should be used for converting different axle load repetitions into equivalent standard axle load repetitions. Since the VDF values in AASHO Road Test for flexible and rigid pavement are not much different, for heavy duty pavements, the computed VDF values are assumed to be same for bituminous pavements with cemented and granular bases.

| Single axle with single wheel on either side = | axle load in kN 65 | 4 |
|---|------------------------------|---|
| Single axle with dual wheels on either side = | axle load in kN 80 | 4 |
| Tandem axle with dual wheels on either side = | axle load in kN 148 | 4 |
| Tridem axles with dual wheels on either side = | axle load in kN 224 | 4 |

Computation of Design Traffic

The design traffic in terms of the cumulative number of standard axles to be carried during the design life of the road should be computed using the following equation:

$$\begin{array}{c} N \\ = \\ R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 365 \times [(1+r)^n - 1] \\ \times A \times D \times F \end{array}$$

Where,





- N = Cumulative number of standard axles to be catered for in the design in terms of Msa.
- A = Initial traffic in the year of completion of construction in terms of the number

Commercial Vehicles per Day (CVPD).

- D = Lane distribution factor (as explained in Para 4.5.1 of IRC 37: 2012).
- F = Vehicle Damage Factor (VDF).
- n = Design life in years.
- r = Annual growth rate of commercial vehicles in decimal

(e.g., for 5 per cent annual growth rate, r = 0.05).

The traffic in the year of completion is estimated using the following formula:

A = P(1 + r) x

Where,

P = Number of commercial vehicles as per last count.

x = Number of years between the last count and the year of completion of construction.



From IRC 37:2012(PLATE 6)





0.22 TCS for Open Area







0.23 TCS for Built-Up Area







0.24 TCS for Forest Area



0.24 COST ESTIMATE





Consultancy Services for preparation of Detailed Project Report for ADB VI/VII Project under MPRDC

The total project cost is calculated based on the quantity of individual item multiplied by the rate for this item and summing up the cost of all the items.

The Project road has been considered as one homogenous section and the bill wise total project cost is tabulated in Table below for all the packages of the project.

| Madhya Pradesh Road Development Corporation Limited, Bhopal | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Road Name : Siddiqiganj To Hatpipaliya | | | | | |
| Section : Siddiqiganj To Hatpipaliya (from km 0 to km 21240) | | | | | |
| | Two Lane Flexible Carriageway | Length= 21 | .240 Kms. | | |
| SUMMARY | | | | | |
| Bill No. | Description | Amount in Figure (INR) | Amount in Cr. | | |
| Α | Civil Works | | | | |
| | (a) Road Works | | | | |
| 1 | Site-Clearance | 2285032.37 | 0.229 | | |
| 2 | Earthwork | 36741575.17 | 3.674 | | |
| 3 | Sub-base, Base Courses | 96472494.08 | 9.647 | | |
| 4 | Bituminous & Cement Concrete Pavement | 149476051.33 | 14.948 | | |
| 5 | Traffic Signs, Marking and Road Appurtenances | 12815403.75 | 1.282 | | |
| 6 | Horticulture | 6686138.00 | 0.669 | | |
| 7 | Miscellaneous Items | 16576305.01 | 1.658 | | |
| 8 | Other Facilities & Protection Works | | | | |
| | (8.1) Paver Block Flooring with Compacted Dust | 7145790.00 | 0.715 | | |
| | (8.2) PCC V-Shaped Drain in Built-up Area | 6785395.09 | 0.679 | | |
| | (8.3) RCC Drain in Built-up Area | 0.00 | 0.000 | | |
| | (8.4) Bus Stop | 948488.48 | 0.095 | | |
| | (8.5) Junction Improvement | 10240616.01 | 1.024 | | |
| | (8.6) Protection Work (Retaining wall, Toe wall, Stone Pitching etc.) | 0.00 | 0.000 | | |
| 9 | (b) Structure Works | | | | |
| | HPC | 15526846.00 | | | |
| | Slab Culverts | 21465151.67 | | | |
| | Box Culverts | 0.00 | 0.000 | | |
| | Minor Bridges | 16443998.99 | 1.644 | | |
| | Major Bridges | 0.00 | 0.000 | | |
| (A) | Total Cost of Civil Works | 399609285.94 | 39.961 | | |
| | Cost per Km | 18813996.51 | 1.881 | | |
| 10 | Add 8% for Contingencies/ Interest | 31968742.88 | 3.197 | | |

Table 0.18- Abstract of Cost Estimate





| 11 | O&M charges including Periodic Renewal during concession period 25% of Civil Cost | 99902321.48 | 9.990 |
|------------|--|--------------|--------|
| (B) | Total (B) | 131871064.36 | 13.187 |
| | Total Cost to be born by Developer (A+B) | 531480350.30 | 53.148 |
| | Cost per Km | 25022615.36 | 2.502 |
| | Cost to be Born by MPRDC | | |
| 12 | Social Forestry @ 0.75% of civil cost | 2997069.64 | 0.300 |
| 13 | Training and Capacity Building of Engineer of MPRDC/Concessionaire/IE@ 0.05% of Civil Cost | 199804.64 | 0.020 |
| 14 | Development of E-Maintenance System @ 0.1% of Civil Cost | 399609.29 | 0.040 |
| 15 | Land Acquisition and R&R cost | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| 16 | Shifting of Electrical Lines | 11988278.58 | 1.199 |
| 17 | Shifting of Water Supply Lines/ Hand Pumps | 7992185.72 | 0.799 |
| 18 | Forest Clearance | 1062000.00 | 0.106 |
| | Total Cost to be Born by MPRDC | 24638947.87 | 2.464 |
| | Cost per Km | 1160025.79 | 0.116 |
| | Total Capital Cost of the Project | 556119298.17 | 55.612 |
| | Cost per Km | 26182641.16 | 2.618 |

