कार्यालय अधिशासी अभियन्ता, ग्रामीण निर्माण विभाग (पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई०) प्रखण्ड कर्णप्रयाग

Phone/Fax- 01363-244843

E-Mail- eepmgsykaranprayag1@Rediffmail.com

पत्रांक 25%/चार-प्रावि०/वन भूमि/पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई०/२०१७–१८, दिनांक- २०/०६/२०१७,

सेवा में,

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, केदारनाथ वन्यजीव वन प्रभाग,

गोपेष्ठतर ।

विशय:-

बुगीधार मेहलचौरी से बछुवाबाण मोटर मार्ग के किमी० 25 से स्यूणी तल्ली तक मोटर मार्ग का

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विशयक अवगत कराना है कि बुगीधार मेहलचौरी से बछुवाबाण मोटर मार्ग के सम्बन्धम में E.D.S.Date-21-06-2017, FP/UK/ROAD/10762/2015 के सम्बन्ध में चाही गई सूचना संशोधित कर निम्नानुसार आपकी सेवा में सादर प्रेषित है:—

क्र₀स₀	E.D.S. R.O.		Reply by User Agency				
1	In reply to point NO-I of EDS dated 24-05-2016 and point NO-6 of EDS dated 18-05-2016, it is mentioned that the 434.32 ha CA has been done prior to 2008 against 1031.955 ha of forest land diverted which is not the correct reply of the query. As per data given in para-14 of online Part-II, The CA stipulated (434.32ha) is not commensurate to the forest land diverted (1031.955 ha). Logically, CA stipulated should be double the area of forest land diverted. Any mismatch between the CA stipulated and the forest land diverted is required to be clarified suitability.	ਵੈਰ	वनाधिकारी,				
2	In reply to point No-1 of EDS dated 24-05-2016 & point No-7 of EDS dated 18-05-2016, it is mentioned that the density has been revised to 0.3 now in online Part-II and accordingly. NPV has been recalculated but, the density is mentioned as 0.2 instead of 0.3 in the NPV calculation sheet attached with the reply.	है।	वनाधिकारी,				
3	In reply to point NO-01 of EDS dated 24-05-2016 &, point No-08 of EDS dated 18-05-2016 the reply of PCCF & Nodal Officer is not understandable. Further, the DFO has mentioned that the same has been corrected but, the working plan prescriptions ate still not given in para-5 of online Part-II in respect of 2.38ha of RF land proposed for diversion.	है।	वनाधिकारी,				
4	In reply to point No-I of EDS dated 24-05-2016 & point No-9 of EDS dated 18-05-2016, it is mentioned that an estimate of Rs.35.00 mitigative measures to be implemented has not been submitted/attached with the reply.	है।	वनाधिकारी,				
5	In reply to point NO-I of EDS dated 24-05-2016 & point No-10 of EDS dated 18-05-2016, it is mentioned that the land required for the road is Civil and Panchayat land and the affect of Leopard etc, is negligible in the area. Construction of road will have any special impact. But, it is seen from Para-2 of online part-II that 2.38ha of Reserve Forest land is also involved in the proposal which is contradictory to the reply given above.	प्रभागीय है ।	वनाधिकारी,	4414	ч	हान	

6	The reply of point NO·2 of EDS dated 24-05-2016 has
	not been submitted by the State Govt, wherein it was
	informed that the DSS analysis of the area proposed
	for CA revealed that 1.00ha area is falling in very
	dense forest which is not considered suitable for
	CA. State Govt was asked to change the 1.00ha area
	proposed lor CA in some other suitable area

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी, स्तर से होना है।

ग्रामीण निर्माण विभाग,पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई० प्रखण्ड कर्णप्रयाग।

भेषदीय