

कार्यालय अधिशासी अभियन्ता  
प्रान्तीय खण्ड लोक निर्माण विभाग  
रुद्रप्रयाग

Website-<http://pwd.uk.gov.in>

Fax: 01364-233204, E-mail ID: [eeppwd\\_rpg@rediffmail.com](mailto:eeppwd_rpg@rediffmail.com)

पत्र संख्या  
सेवामें,

1909 116e

दिनांक 8/1/2017

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी  
रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग  
रुद्रप्रयाग।

22/

विषय- वनभूमि पत्रावली संख्या FP/UK/ROAD/10979/2015 जिला योजना के अन्तर्गत ग्राम नौली हेतु तिलवाड़ा-जाखाल मोटर मार्ग के नौली बैण्ड से ग्राम नौली तक मोटर मार्ग का नव निर्माण लम्बाई 0.775 किमी० के निर्माण कार्य हेतु 0.4025 हे० वनभूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यों हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग, को हस्तान्तरण करने के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

उक्त वनभूमि पत्रावली में भारत सरकार द्वारा ऑन लाइन लगायी गयी आपत्तियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण निम्न प्रकार किया गया है-

Sr.	EDS Details	EDS Removed as follows	Annexure
1	In Part I A3 the application is filed by Shri Indra Jeet Bose, Ex En but the authority letter is uploaded in the name of Mr Shiv Charan Singh Shah, AE and Mr Vishjeet Khatri, JE. Authority letter in the name of person filing the application may be uploaded.	The authority letter in the name of the person filling application had been uploaded in Online Part-I A-3	Online Part-I A-3
2	In place of scanned copy of geo referenced digital map of the proposed road, google map has been uploaded. May provide scanned copy of proper geo referenced digital map showing geo coordinates at minimum 4 points along the alignment.	The scanned copy and KML file of revised Geo Reference map generated by GIS software showing the Geo coordinates at the interval of 200-300 mtr including all the turning points along the alignment had been uploaded in C (iii) & (iv)	New Geo reference digital map attached in C (iii) & (iv)
3	In Part I D i justification for construction of this road is provided whereas it is required to provide justification for locating the proposed road on forest land.	Revised Justification had been attached in Part I at D	Justification attached in Part I at D
4	In the muck dumping plan it is stated that all the muck generated will be utilized during construction. However, along with this a no objection certificate of 4 private land owners giving details of their land is also uploaded which states that they have no objection if the muck generated is dump in their private land. May explain this contradiction.	The muck disposal plan is being attached. As per the muck disposal plan 11160 cum debris will disposed off in dumping zone against the total generated debris 18600 cum during construction of road. Dumping of muck will be done in private land. NOC of the owners of private land had been attached under the head of other document.	NOC attached as other document (Annexure 1)

5	Proceeding of the Gram Sabha Silgaon are not uploaded online alongwith the FRA certificate.	FRA certificate is attached for benefited Gram Sabha Dangi. So accordingly proceeding of Gram Sabha Dangi is enclosed with FRA certificate. Gram Sabha Silgaon does not have any relevancy with the proposed road.	FRA certificate attached K (i) (a)
6	In Part II column 4 online the 29 no of trees have been shown on the forest land proposed for diversion whereas in hard copy page no 26 the no. of trees involved is 42. This anomaly may be corrected.	29 Number of tree has been filled up for the land proposed to be diverted which match with number of tree in hard copy as well. This data of 42 trees is for the other road having proposal No. FP/UK/ROAD/ 10843/2015 which may be typing error on your part.	—
7	Part I & Part II provided in the hard copy is on different format compared to online proforma for Part I and Part II. May provide hard copy of Part I and Part II signed by the concerned officers exactly similar to what has been uploaded online.	In the details provided in the Hard copy and online proforma for Part I and II has been corrected. Now they are similar. The hard copy of both the part I and II is being submitted duly signed by the competent authority.	—
8	Photocopies of various maps has been provided in hard copy. May provide coloured copy of the maps. Further the maps have been counter signed by the DFO which are required to be signed and not counter signed..	The original coloured photographs of the various maps signed by the DFO had been uploaded.	Maps uploaded C (iii) & (iv)
9	Part III, IV and Part V of hard copy at page 17 and 18 are not filled and signed by the concerned officers	The hard copy of Part III, IV & V are to be filled and signed by officer of forest department. So the compliance of this EDS are to be on the part of forest department.	—

लुप्त  
 22/6/17  
 अधिशासी अभियन्ता  
 प्रान्तीय खण्ड लो०नि०वि०  
 रुद्रप्रयाग।