

**RAJASTHAN RAJAYA VIDYUT PRASARAN NIGAM LTD.****An ISO 9001: 2008 Certified Company****OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (T&C)****Sakatpura, Kota -324008**

TO,
The Deputy Conservator of Forest
Forest Department,
Distt. -Bundi

NO.:RVPN/ XE/T&C/KOTA/ 2017-18/Forest Case /D-388 DATED: - 20.06.2017

Subject Diversion of 19.7432 hectare of forest land for 400KV S/C Extending from 765/400KV GSS to 400/220KV GSS PGCIL's Kota in Bundi & Kota Districts in favor of RRVNL without felling of trees trees-reg.

Ref:- (I) Online Proposal No: FP / RJ / TRANS / 18259 / 2016
(II) Letter no. 8B/RA/04/9/2017/FC/96 dated 08-06-2017 from Regional office Lucknow.

On the above cited subject, kindly find herewith the pointwise compliance of the observations raised by above referred letter. The details are as under:-


S.No.	Observation	Reply
1	(a) As per the information provided at A-3(xvii) regarding copy of documents in support of the competence/authority of the person making this application on behalf of the User Agency, the document attached mentions Shri M.K. Saxena as authorised signatory whereas Shri Om Prakash Sharma is authorized signatory as per part I uploaded	Authority letter in favour of Shri O.P. Sharma, Executive Engineer as authorised signatory has been uploaded at other documents in part 1 duly attested .(copy enclosed)
	(b) The Signature of authorised signatory on behalf of user agency has not been attested or verified	The signature of authorised signatory in favour of Sh. O.P. Sharma NODAL OFFICER has been attested & verified.
2	As per information provided at B1 Part I the proposal approved in principle having no FP/RJ/TRANS/4124/2013 in March 2014 is still pending for final approval. Reasons for such inordinate delay shall be explained as well as status regarding violation of FCA 1980 of this proposal needs submission.	Initially the pointwise complinace in respect of the Principal approval conveyed vide letter No. 8B/UP/04/01/2014/FC/1662 dated 03/03/2014 against proposal No. FP/RJ/TRANS/4124/2013 was made & submitted to DCF (Wild Life) Sawaimadhopur vide this office letter No. 657 dated 03/09/2014. After then same observation was raised by DCF(Wild Life) Sawaimandhopur and compliance was made against observations accordingly vide this office letter No. 985 dated 26.10.2016 with the request to arrange final approval for the case. In last days the matter was discussed with DCF (Wild Life) Sawaimandhopur and the compliance of all the 18 points (As Desired) has been submitted to DCF(Wild Life) Sawaimadhopur vide this office letter No. 364 dated 15/06/2017 and the same has been submitted to CCF (Wild Life) Kota with the recommendation for arranging final approval of the above case vide letter No. क्रमांक/एफ0उवस/रा.च.अ./वनसंरक्षण/2016-17/1925 दिनांक19/06/2017 complete copies enclosed & uploaded in other documents of part-I
3	The information at B 2.1 mentions forest land of Kota Territorial division and Bundi Territorial division are proposed for diversion but the forest land proposed for diversion of Kota Territorial division is shown NIL. This type of superfluous data provides wrong picture and the same needs rectification	The information at B2.1 mentions forest land of kota territorial division and the forest land proposed for division is shown AS NIL, Regarding this it is submitted that it was the requirement of online uploading system that the part-II is required to completed from kota territorial division after completing the part-II by kota trritorial division the system was allowed to forward the above case to next authority. The above Transmission lineEminating from Kota territorial division and passing in non forest area , and also The end point of the line falls in Bundi Division having forest and non forest area of Bundi territorial division.
4	The information provided at C (ii) (b) in part I regarding no. of segments is incorrect.	Segment wise information has been uploaded at C (ii) b in part-I, it is to clarify that there is only one segment involving in forest area.
5	The geo referred map of proposed forest land diversion is without geo coordinates of key points. The geo cooordinates of only centre line of alignment has been provided.	GPS coordinates of all 13 Nos. Tower are mentioned on the geo referred map. (copy enclosed and uploaded in other doucement of Part 1)
6	The topo sheet showing location of proposed diversion is hazy and other alternative routes examined are also not clearly marked on the topo sheet.	All three alternative routes are marked clearly on the TOPO sheet & enclosed.
7	As the proposed forest land diversion is below 20 ha and located in plains, the proposal does not require submission of Cost Benefit Analysis. The Cost Benefit Analysis attached with the proposal is not as per guidelines of MoEF&CC.	Since Forest diversion is below 20 Hectare, hence the cost benefit analysis which was uploaded earlier in Part-I has been deleted.
8	The component wise breakup provided at B 2.4 in part I do not provide details of Forest land required for tower erection and stringing works.	The component wise breakup has been corrected and uploaded in other document of Part-1 (copy enclosed). The tower erection and stringing works shall be carried out within the ROW of the line.

Handwritten signature

9	The documents/certificates submitted for FRA 2006 are Xerox copies without attestation and some of the documents are illegible.	The legible copies of documents has been attested and uploaded
10	Blank part II,III, IV & V has been uploaded with part I of the proposal.	The blank part II,III, IV & V which was uploaded earlier in part -I has been deleted.
11	The proposal is without map (SOI topo sheet) showing locations of towers on forest land and non forest land.	The map (SOI topo sheet) has been uploaded at additional information details of Part-1, the topo sheet showing all 13 number locations falling in forest area has been marked on topo sheet. The map (SOI topo sheet) has been corrected as desired.
12	The site inspection report of the DFO does not mention legal status of the forest land.	Pertain to Forest Department
13	The Gazette notification of forest land has not been provided with the proposal.	Pertain to Forest Department

Submitted for further necessary action please.

Encls.: As mentioned.


(O.P. Sharma)
Executive Engineer (T&C)
RRVNL, Kota

Copy Forwarded to the following for information please:-

- (1) The Superintending Engineer (T&C), RVPN, Kota.
- (2) The Executive Engineer (PTCC), RVPN, Jaipur
- (3) The Assistant Engineer -I (T&C), RVPN, Kota.


Executive Engineer (T&C)
RRVNL, Kota