



प्रधानमंत्री ग्रामसड़क योजना

कार्यालय अधिशासी अभियन्ता,
पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई०-२(लो०नि०वि०)

रुद्रप्रयाग

mail id-eeadbpmgsy@rediffmail.com

पत्रांक:-२०१/पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई०/लो०नि०वि०/रुद्रप्रयाग

दिनांक- ०१/०६/२०२०

सेवा में,

अपर प्रमुख वन एवं नोडल अधिकारी,
वन संरक्षक, इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कॉलोनी,
उत्तराखण्ड, देहरादून।

विषय:- जनपद रुद्रप्रयाग में पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई० के अन्तर्गत कुणजेठी से ब्यूंखी मोटर मार्ग के निर्माण हेतु २.७२२५ हे० वन भूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यों हेतु ग्राम्य विकास विभाग को हस्तांतरण (FP/UK/ROAD/38416/2019) के सम्बन्ध में।

सन्दर्भ:- नोडल कार्यालय देहरादून की ई०डी०एस०, दिनांक-१६/०५/२०२०

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक सन्दर्भित पत्र के क्रम में लगाई गई आपत्तियों का निराकरण निम्नवत है-

Sr. No	EDS Detail	Compliance
1	As per DSS Analysis of Digital map of CA area submitted with the Hard Copy of the Proposal 01ha area is filling in MDF. State Govt may select some other 01ha suitable of CA.	Related to DFO.
2	Aerial distance certificate of the proposed area for the National Park/Wildlife Sanctuary is mentioned as 0.025 Km but nothing is mentioned to this effect in para 8 (iii) & 8(iv) of part-II State Government is requested to submit the CWLW comments/Certificate in this regard and furnish the information against para 8(iii) & (iv) of part-II online.	Related to DFO.
3	Muck Disposal area mentioned in Para B-2.4 of part I does not match with the area of muck disposal site mentioned in the muck disposal plan.	Muck Disposal has been uploaded.
4	It is seen from the CA scheme uploaded in additional documents at Sr. No-020 in Part-I. Siras, Kachnar, Sahtoot and Bhimal are proposed to be planted in CA area in RF area. Further the Cost of CA over 5.445ha has been worked out of RS 11,39,997.87 but the amount has been mentioned as Rs.15,17,330.93 as quoted in PCCFs letter dated-21.11.2017. Which is not understood. State government may remove this discrepancy and provide the correct information in the regard.	Related to DFO.
5	Land Schedule uploaded in part-I is different from the one uploaded in part-II and provided in hard copy. State Govt may remove this discrepancy and submit the correct land schedule.	Land Schedule has been uploaded.
6	CA site suitability certificate upload in Part-II and copy provided with the proposal is not appropriate. State Govt may submit/upload the appropriate CA site suitability certificate.	Related to DFO.

7	In Para 14, Part-II(District Profile) CA stipulate is not commensurate with the forest land diverted and progress of CA is equal to CA stipulated. State Govt may provide the correct data in the district profile at Para 14, part-II	Related to DFO.
---	--	-----------------

अधिशसी अभियन्ता,
पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई०-२
(लो०नि०वि०)रुद्रप्रयाग

पत्रांक:- /पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई०/लो०नि०वि०/रुद्रप्रयाग, तददिनांक।

प्रतिलिपि:- उप वन संरक्षक, रुद्रप्रयाग वनप्रभाग, जनपद रुद्रप्रयाग को सूचनार्थ प्रेषित।

अधिशसी अभियन्ता,
पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई०-२
(लो०नि०वि०)रुद्रप्रयाग