

Ref No: MGMM/FDP/2019-20/10

Date: 10.6.19

**To,**

**Divisional Forest Officer,  
Bonai Division,  
Sundergarh, Odisha.**

Sub.: - Proposal for Diversion of 1.81 ha in addition to the earlier diversion over 23.581 ha within the mining lease of 28.397 ha in village Patabeda under Koira Tahasil of Sundergarh district, Odisha in favour of Patabeda Iron ore Mines of MGM Minerals Ltd.

Ref.: Your office letter No. 3872/6F-(Mg), dt. 09.06.2019.

Sir,

With reference to above cited letter we are complying herewith the discrepancies noticed by RCCF, Rourkela in our diversion proposal. The point wise details are as follows:-

1. As per the earlier granted forest proposal the safety zone along the mine boundary (7.5mtrs) comprises 1.695 ha of DLC forest land and 0.204 ha of non-forest land, in total the total safety zone along the mine boundary was 1.899 ha.

But in the instant proposal 0.013 ha of non-forest land coming within the safety zone area is being proposed for road (in & out) which has been furnished in Table 1, Sl. No. 8 of Point No. 3. In Table 2 the said area i.e. 0.13 ha (road for in & out) is merged with Road and furnish in Sl No. 7. Therefore, 0.013 ha of non-forest land coming under safety zone is being reduced from the area over 0.204 ha abide for safety zone in non-forest land as earlier and the safety zone area along the mine boundary in non-forest land is reduced to 0.191 ha (0.204 – 0.013 ha) and the safety zone along the mine boundary in DLC forest land is as earlier i.e. 1.695 ha. In total in the instant proposal the total safety zone along the mine boundary is 1.886 ha (1.695 + 0.191 ha).

It is also to inform you that, earlier the User Agency entered into his lease area through the Patabeda Iron Ore mines area over 19.425 Ha of M/s M.G. Mohanty which is common with the lease area of M/s MGM Minerals Ltd. But due to some legal problem M/s M.G. Mohanty did not allow us to use the said road through their lease area. Therefore, the User Agency has opened a new road in non-forest land for both getting in and getting out, within the 7.5 mtrs safety zone along the mine boundary.

Ref No:

Date:

The said road has already been shown in the Modification of Review of Mining Plan and has been approved by the Indian Bureau of Mines. Copy of approved Surface Plan is enclosed for your ready reference.

- 2) In the earlier proposal 0.67 ha of forest land was diverted for road shown in approved proposed land use map (Plate No. IV) (Western boundary and North-Eastern boundary) in the DLC forest and along the same road safety zone was left over an area of 1.81 ha. which was mentioned in approved Safety zone Map (Plate No.- IV(A).

In the instant diversion proposal, the User Agency has applied for diversion of same 1.81 ha as above DLC forest land for mining and allied activities which was earlier left for safety zone along the road, which was already diverted.

This is for your kind information and necessary action for forwarding the same for onward action.

Thanking you,

  
**Authorized Signatory**  
Mining Manager  
Palsoda Iron Mine  
(28.397 Hects)  
of M G M Minerals Ltd