



PART – III

(To be completed by the officer-in-Charge of the National Park / Sanctuary completed and submitted to the Chief Wild Life Warden or Officer authorized by him in this behalf within 30 days of the receipt of PART – II)

1	Date of receipt of the PART – II	:	19.04.2016
2	Total Area (Ha) of National Park/ Sanctuary	:	13602.66 Ha
3	Total area (Ha) diverted from the NP/WLS so far for development purpose	:	Nil
4	List the past projects and the area (Ha) diverted	:	Nil
	Name of the Project	:	Nil
	Area diverted	:	Nil
	Year of diversion	:	Nil
5	Positive impact/s due to the diversion of area for the projects referred to in Column 4 above	:	Nil
	Name of the Project	:	Nil
	Positive impact	:	Nil
	Scientific Basis of Assessment (Attach separate document, if required)	:	Nil
6	Negative impact/s due to the diversion of area for the projects referred to in Column 4 above	:	Nil
	Name of the Projects	:	Nil
	Negative impact	:	Nil
	Scientific Basis of Assessment (Attach separate document, if required)	:	Nil
7	Management Plan Period	:	2013-14 to 2022-23
	Attach copy of the Management Plan / Management Scheme / Recommendation of chief Wildlife Warden	:	The Pranahitha (Blackbuck) Wildlife sanctuary management plan has been approved by the Prl.C.C.F, & CWW (AP), Hyderabad vide Rc No. 26208/2011/WL-3(F), Dt 19.09.2013.
8	List Management actions taken / proposed to be taken in the whole Block / Zone in which the proposed area is located.	:	Not required, since it is proposed for laying of pipeline and linear project.
9	Type of forest in which the proposed area falls	:	Density 0.6 (Dense Forest), Eco-Class-III
10	Location of the proposed area w.r.t. the critical / intensive wildlife management areas / wildlife habitats (attach Map to scale)	:	-
11	List the likely POSITIVE AND NAGATIVE impacts/s of the proposed project giving scientific and technical justification for each impact.	:	There is no negative impact due to this project since this project is aimed to Provide the safe drinking water for the interior villagers.

12	Provide COMPREHENSIVE details of the impact of the proposals in terms of Sections 29 and / or section 35 (6) of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 as the case may be.	:	The proposed project is laying of pipeline for safe drinking water supply which enhances the living standards of the interior villagers.
13	Whether the project authorities have ever committed violation of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 or Forest Conservation Act, 1980. If yes, provide the EXHAUSTIVE details of the offence and the present status of the case. (Concealing or misrepresenting the facts will lead to reject of the case in addition to any other penalty as prescribed under Law)	:	No, the project authorities not violated the Wildlife Protection Act 1972 or FC Act 1980. No damage to the wildlife occurs due to this project.
14	Have you examined the Project Appraisal document and the alternatives as provided in PART – II	:	Yes
15	Have you examined the Bio diversity Impact Assessment Report	:	No this project area is 2.259 Ha only i.e. less than 50.00 Ha as per the guidelines, hence Bio-diversity impact assessment report is not required.
16	If Yes, please give your comments on the recommendations given in the report.	:	Not required.
17	Dates and duration of your field visits to the proposed site.	:	.05.2016, 2.00 Hours
18	Do you agree that the present proposal of diversion of NP/WLS area is the best or only option and is viable	:	Yes.
19	Any other information that you would bring to the notice of the State Board, National Board or its Committee that may be relevant and assist in decision making.	:	Nil.
20	Do you recommended the project? (Please provide full justification to support your recommendations)	:	Yes, this project is recommended keeping in view that the project is aimed to provide drinking water to the interior areas of Adilabad District of Telangana State.


 The Officer In Charge of the NP/WLS
 Official Seal.
 Divisional Forest Officer
 Mancherla

Date of submission to the Chief Wild Life Warden or any other officer authorized by him in this regard.