13	Provide COMPREHENSIVE details of the impact of the proposals in terms of Sections 29 and / or section 35 (6) of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 as the case may be.	:-	The proposed project is laying of pipeline for safe drinking water supply which enhances the living standards of the interior villagers.
13	Whether the project authorities have ever committed violation of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 or Forest Conservation Act, 1980. If yes, provide the EXHAUSTIVE details of the offence and the present status of the case.	:	No, the project authorities not violated the Wildlife Protection Act 1972 or FC Act 1980. No damage to the wildlife occurs due to this project.
	(Concealing or misrepresenting the facts will lead to reject of the case in addition to any other penalty as prescribed under Law)		
14	Have you examined the Project Appraisal document and the alternatives as provided in PART – II	:	Yes
15	Have you examined the Bio diversity Impact Assessment Report	:	No this project area is 0.117 Ha only i.e. less than 50.00 Ha as per the guidelines, hence Bio-diversity impact assessment report is not required.
16	If Yes, please give your comments on the recommendations given in the report.	•	Not required.
17	Dates and duration of your field visits to the proposed site.		.05.2016, 2.00 Hours
18	Do you agree that the present proposal of diversion of NP/WLS area is the best or only option and is viable		Yes.
19	Any other information that you would bring to the notice of the State Board. National Board or its Committee that may be relevant and assist in decision making.		Nil.
20	and the project?		Yes, this project is recommended keeping in view that the project is aimed to provide drinking water to the interior areas of Adilabad District of Telangana State.

The Strain France Office NP/WLS Manageral deal.

Divisional Forest Officer Mancherial

Date of submission to the Chief Wild Life Warden or any other officer authorized by him in this regard.

1

PART – III

(To be completed by the officer-in-Charge of the National Park / Sanctuary completed and submitted to the Chief Wild Life Warden or Officer authorized by him in this behalf within 30 days of the receipt of PART – II)

1	D	,	
1	Date of receipt of the PART – II	Τ:	19.04.2016
2	Total Area (Ha) Chi		·
$\frac{\overline{3}}{3}$	Total Area (Ha) of National Park/ Sanctuary	:	
~	Total area (Ha) diverted from the NP/WLS so	:	Nil
4	far for development purpose		
<u> </u>	List the past projects and the area (Ha) diverted	:	
	Name of the Project Area diverted	:	Nil
	Year of diversion	:	Nil
5		:	Nil
3	Positive impact/s due to the diversion of area	:	Nil
	for the projects referred to in Column 4 above		
	Name of the Project	:	Nil
	Positive impact	:	Nil
	Scientific Basis of Assessment	:	Nil
	(Attach separate document, if required)	_	
6	Negative impact/s due to the diversion of area	:	Nil
	for the projects referred to in Column 4 above	ŀ	2711
	Name of the Projects	:	Nil
	Negative impact	<u> </u> :	Nil
	Scientific Basis of Assessment	:	Nil
	(Attach separate document, if required)	<u> </u>	2012 14 +- 2022 22
7	Management Plan Period	:	2013-14 to 2022-23 The Pranahitha (Blackbuck)
	Attach copy of the Management Plan /	:	The Pranahitha (Blackbuck) Wildlife sanctuary management plan
	Management Scheme / Recommendation of		has been approved by the Prl.C.C.F.,
	chief Wildlife Warden		& CWW (AP), Hyderabad vide Re
			No. 26208/2011/WL-3(F), Dt
			19.09.2013.
8	List Management actions taken / proposed to be	:	Not required, since it is proposed for
0	taken in the whole Block / Zone in which the		laying of pipeline and linear project.
	proposed area is located.		
9	Type of forest in which the proposed area falls	:•	Density 0.6 (Dense Forest), Eco-
			Class-III
10	Location of the proposed area w.r.t. the critical	:	-
10	/ intensive wildlife management areas / wildlife		
	habitate (attach Man to scale)		
11	List the likely POSITIVE AND NAUATIVE		There is no negative impact due to
.	imports/s of the proposed project giving		this project since this
	scientific and technical justification for each		to The cot-
	impact.		Tot the interior
			occurrence have
			measures are proposed
- 1		- 1	- 1