Diversion of 0.3207 ha of forest land for widening of road from Pathankot to Shahpur kandi road km 0.892 to 3.03 B/Side village Pangoli forest Division and District Pathankot in the state of Punjab. (By . Executive Engineer, Construction Division PWD B&R Br. Pathankot).

Proposal No:-FP/PB/ROAD/44784/2020

Page......

CHECK LIST SERIAL NUMBER: 16 SITE INSPECTION REPORT NOT BELOW THE RANK OF DCF

(For the Forest land to be diverted under FCA)

A proposal has been received by this office from Executive Engineer, Construction Division PWD B&R Br. Pathankot. for diversion (under FCA-1980) of 0.3207ha. of forest land for non forestry purpose. The subject envisages the use of forest land for widening of road from Pathankot to Shahpur kandi road km 0.892 to 3.03 B/Side village Pangoli forest Division and District Pathankot in the state of Punjab. The site inspection of the land involved I n the proposal has been done by me on dated... 3-0 M-2024

On inspection of the site, it is found that the land required by the user agency is a forest measuring 0.3207 ha.

The requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in Col. 2 of part-I is unavoidable and is barest minimum required for the project. (Yes)

Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area. If so, the details thereof. (No) REPORT AND ADDRESS OF THE PORT OF TH

Whether any protected archaeological/ heritage site/ defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if required. (No)

- (a) The user agency has not violated the provisions of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and no work has been started without proper sanction.
- (b) It has been found that the user agency has violated the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 provisions. A detailed report as per para 1.9 of Chapter 1, Part C of Hand book of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 is attached).

(Note: Whichever of the above is applicable should be shown in bold letters)
Specific recommendation for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal.

Recommended for approval.

- leccof. (No)

The use agoncy has not violated the p

ye no work basheen started with

1980 provisions. A detailed report as a provest (Conservation) Act, 1980

Place	:.Pathankot
Date:	

Divisional Forest Officer Pathankot