


4.	Executive Engineer has not signed the layout plan of the proposed road as uploaded against Sr. No.2 of additional information detail Part-I, correct figures may be given.	Signed layout plan has been uploaded to portal in Part-I.
5.	The abstract of enumerated trees as uploaded against Sr. No.2 of additional information detail of Part-I, is not signed by DFO concerned.	Pertain to your Office.
6.	The Penal CA has been proposed in lieu of the forest land already broken whereas Penal NPV bill is required to be prepared.	Pertain to your Office.
7.	As per the check list No. 7 of the proposal, UPF (un-demarcated Protected Forests) & DPF(Demarcated Protected Forests) has been mentioned whereas, as per column No.2 of online Part-II Protected Forest & un-protected Forests has been mentioned Necessary clarification/ correction in this regard be done.	Pertain to your Office.
8.	From the perusal of the KML file of CA site it seems that there are already trees on the site, hence the CA site may be reviewed or it must be mentioned in the CA land suitability certificate that proposed CA area is having less then 40% can density.	Pertain to your Office.


 (Er. Rajnish Behal)
 Executive Engineer ,
 Rampur Division (B&R),
 H.P.PWD. Rampur Bsr.