

**Full Title of the Project: -** Diversion of 3.2193 hac. forest land for construction of Munder Kungal Makrog Khori Gadasu road.

**File No.:-** FP/HP/ROAD/153496/2022

**Date of Proposal: -** 16/03/2022

**PART-II**

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)

State serial No. of proposal \_\_\_\_\_

	Location of the project/scheme																																																																																															
(i)	State/union Territory	Himachal Pradesh																																																																																														
(ii)	District	Shimla																																																																																														
(iii)	Forest Division	Rampur Forest Division Rampur Bushahr.																																																																																														
(iv)	Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha)	3.2193 ha of Govt./forest land is required to be diverted.																																																																																														
(v)	Legal status of forest	UF (Un-classed Forests) = 2.7513 ha. DPF (Protected Forests) = 0.4680 ha. <b>Total = 3.2193 ha.</b>																																																																																														
(vi)	Density of vegetation	Average density of proposed site is 0.04																																																																																														
(vii)	Specie-wise and class wise enumeration of trees.	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">S. No.</th><th rowspan="2">Name of Species</th><th colspan="10">Class</th><th rowspan="2">Total</th></tr> <tr> <th>V</th><th>IV</th><th>III</th><th>IIA</th><th>IIB</th><th>IA</th><th>IB</th><th>IC</th><th>ID</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td><td>Deodar</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>4</td></tr> <tr> <td>2.</td><td>Kail</td><td>1</td><td>15</td><td>11</td><td>4</td><td>2</td><td>4</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>40</td></tr> <tr> <td>3.</td><td>Rai</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr> <td>4.</td><td>Ban</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>3</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>5</td></tr> <tr> <td>5.</td><td>Chil</td><td>0</td><td>8</td><td>6</td><td>4</td><td>3</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>23</td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2"><b>Total</b></td><td><b>1</b></td><td><b>23</b></td><td><b>18</b></td><td><b>12</b></td><td><b>5</b></td><td><b>8</b></td><td><b>4</b></td><td><b>0</b></td><td><b>2</b></td><td><b>73</b></td></tr> </tbody> </table>	S. No.	Name of Species	Class										Total	V	IV	III	IIA	IIB	IA	IB	IC	ID	1.	Deodar	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	4	2.	Kail	1	15	11	4	2	4	2	0	1	40	3.	Rai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.	Ban	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	5	5.	Chil	0	8	6	4	3	2	0	0	0	23	<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>73</b>
S. No.	Name of Species	Class										Total																																																																																				
		V	IV	III	IIA	IIB	IA	IB	IC	ID																																																																																						
1.	Deodar	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	4																																																																																					
2.	Kail	1	15	11	4	2	4	2	0	1	40																																																																																					
3.	Rai	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1																																																																																					
4.	Ban	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	5																																																																																					
5.	Chil	0	8	6	4	3	2	0	0	0	23																																																																																					
<b>Total</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>73</b>																																																																																					
(viii)	Brief on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion.	The area is not seriously eroded or is not much vulnerable to erosion.																																																																																														
(ix)	Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest.	The proposed site is on the forest/govt. land																																																																																														
(x)	Whether form part of National Park, Wildlife Sanctuary, Biosphere reserve, Tiger reserve, Elephant corridor etc. (if so details of the area and comments of the Chief Wild Life Warden to be annexed)	No																																																																																														
(xi)	Whether any are/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area?	No rare or endangered species of flora or fauna is found in the area.																																																																																														
(xii)	Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defense establishment or any other important monument is located in the area? If no, recommended area item wise with details of alternative examined?	No																																																																																														
8.	Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project? If no, recommended area item wise with details of alternative examined?	Yes																																																																																														



	user agency in col. 2 of part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project? If no, recommended area item wise with details of alternative examined?	
9.	Whether any work in violation of the Act, has been carried out? If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress?	No
10.	Details of compensatory afforestation scheme.	
(i)	Details of non forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch.	The compensatory afforestation over double the land being diverted will be raised over 6.4386 ha. in degraded forest land in D-18 (Punan) C-4. The detailed compensatory afforestation scheme is attached.
(ii)	Map showing non forest/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries.	Attached 73-76.
(iii)	Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted, implementing agency, time schedule cost structure etc.	Attached -77-82
(iv)	Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme.	Rs. 11,73,722/-
(v)	Certificate from competent authority regarding suitability of area identified for compensatory afforestation and from management point of view (to be signed by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)	Attached -83-84
11.	Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in col. 7 (ix-xii) 8 & 9 above.	Attached -72.
12.	Division/Districts Profile:	
	i) Geographical area of the district	1,29,848 ha of this Division.
	ii) Forest area of the districts	39420.92 ha of this Division.
	iii) Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases	No. of cases = 101 Area diverted = 779.2131 hac.
	iv) Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the districts/division since 1980 as on 31-03-2022	1603.0192 hac.
	a) Forest land including penal compensatory afforestation.	1603.0192 hac.
	b) Non-forest land	-Nil-
	V) Progress of compensatory afforestation as on dated 31-03-2022	
	a) Forest land	1715.5798 hac.
	b) Non forest land	-Nil-
13.	Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reasons;	The proposal is recommended for approval.

Dated:- 23/9/2022

Place:- Rampur

(Vikalp Yadav), IFS

Dy. Conservator of Forest,  
Rampur Forest Division,Dy. Conservator of Forests  
Rampur Forest Division /  
Rampur Bushahr (H.P.)