## Himachal Pradesh Public Works Department

No.:- PWD-NHD.CB.WA.Forest/2022-23- 12 オキー 30

Dated:- 26.05.2022

To

The Chief Conservator of Forest (FCA),, Shimla HP.

Sub:- Diversion of 5.368 Ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD NH Division Theog for the Widening and Strengthening to Double Lane of Poanta-Rajban-Shilai-Minus-Hatkoti Road, Portion Snail to Hatkoti from RD 149/00 to 161/NH-72B(new No. 707, within the jurisdiction of Rohru Forest Division Distt. Shimla, HP. (No. FP/HP/Road/146729/2021).

Ref:- Your Office letter No. Ft.48-5182/2020(FCA) dated 21.05.2022.

Sir,

The reference cited letter is received in this office on 25.05.2022 from the Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF(FCA) o/o Pr. CCF, HP(HoFF) H.P. which is addressed to your office and the copy endorsed to this office the observations which concerned to this office are attended as under:-

Sr.	Observations	Reply
<b>No.</b> 1.	In Part-I of the hard copy of the proposal folder, for all the requisite documents attached pagehas been mentioned but no page number have been mentioned in Part-I, whereas the particular document has been placed.	In Part-I of hard copy of the proposal folder, necessary correction of paging has not been done as hard copies still not received in this office.  Pertains to DFO
2.	Against column No. 4(i), the density of vegetation eight and Eco Class VI has been mentioned and on the bill of NPV the density less than 10% has been mentioned and the rate of Eco Class VI have been levied in the bill of NPV. Further, in hard copy of Part-II the density of vegetation 8% has been mentioned, the density of vegetation is required to be mentioned in decimal unit not in %age.	
3.	Against column No. 6 DFO concerned has mentioned:  Vulnerable to erosion and may need breast /  protection/checkwalls in the uphill side. The detail measures to be taken by the user agency to stop the erosion is also required to be placed in the proposal folders	erosion points.
4.	There is difference in approximate distance mentioned in online Part-II and in hard copy Part-II. In online Part-II, against column No. 7, the distance .005 has been mentioned whereas in hard copy of Part-II the approximate distance 5 meters has been mentioned.	
	against column No.4.  No heading has been mentioned in Part-III, uploaded	Pertains to DFO Office

12.5	and placed in the proposal folders.	Pertains to DFO Office
5.	No, heading has been mentioned in Par-IV & Part-V	Pertains to DFO Office
7.	There is difference in district profile mentioned in online Part-II and in hard copy of Part-II necessary	
8.	At Page No. 34 an undertaking has been placed that the FRA certificate will be submitted the time of submission of Hard copies of the proposed FCA case, but still no FRA has been submitted/uploaded in online Part-I against column No. K(i). Hence the revised undertaking is required to be obtained for placing the	The revised undertaking to provide the FRa Certificate at the time of Stage-I approval has been placed in the proposal folder and also uploaded online
9.	same in the proposal folders.  PDF of hard copy of proposal folder is also required to be uploaded in online Part-I and Part-II	and Part-II pertains to DFO office
10.	proposal folder. Muck management Plan / Reciamation Plan for reclaiming the dumping site is also required to	folders
11.	placed in the proposal folder.  Dumping has been proposed over 3.0468 ha. of forest land and no dumping has been proposed over non forest land. Number of trees involved over the dumping sites is required to be intimated and separate list of trees standing over dumping sites is required to be placed in the proposal folder	e a

Encl:- As above

Executive Engineer NH, Division, HPPWD Theog.

## Copy to:-

- 1. The Nodal Officer-cum-APCCF(FCA) o/o Pr. CCF, HP(HoFF) HP for information with ref. to
- 2. Superntending Engineer NH Circle HP PWD Shimla for information with ref. to above
- 3. The Divisional Forest Officer Rohru Distt. Shimla HP for information and necessary action with ref. to above please.

Executive Engineer NH, Division, HPPWD Theog.