



**कार्यालय
अधिशाली अभियन्ता
पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई०, सिंचाई०खण्ड, रुद्रप्रयाग।**

Ph. 01364-233981

Email. eepmgsyudraprayag@rediffmail.com

पत्रांक ३० / पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई०(सि०ख०) /

दिनांक २१ / ०५ / २०२०

सेवा में,

अपर वन संरक्षक एवं नोडल अधिकारी,
वनसंरक्षण इन्दिरानगर फॉरेस्ट कालोनी,
उत्तराखण्ड देहरादून।

विषय:- पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई० के अन्तर्गत प्रस्तावित जाबरी से जयकण्डी (अजयपुर से ककोला) मोटर मार्ग पर प्रपोजल संख्या-FP/UK/ROAD/40174/2019 के आपत्तियों के निराकरण के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयक क्रम में अवगत कराना है कि जाबरी से जयकण्डी (अजयपुर से ककोला) मोटर मार्ग पर प्रपोजल संख्या-FP/UK/ROAD/40174/2019 के आपत्तियों का निराकरण बिन्दुवार निम्नप्रकार से कर दिया गया है-

S.N	EDS Detail	EDS Removed as Follows
1	KML file of CA area not found uploaded. State Government may upload the KML file of CA area at para 13, Part II online.	As the CA area is proposed in civil soyam land ,all the details has been uploaded at point no 13 of part-I
2	Only two geo-coordinates shown in the digital map of the proposed road. State Government may provide/upload scanned copy of GIS software generated geo-regerenced digital map to the exact scale of the proposed road showing geo coordinates at 200 to 300 m interval along the alignment including all turning points.	Georeference map has been uploaded with co-ordinates marked at 200 to 300 meter intervals.
3	Village level committee meeting proceedings of village Sara not submitted/uploaded. Further, the name of the village Chingwar is mentioned in the last para of VLC meeting proceedings of village jaikandi. State Government may submit clarification in this regard.	Due to typing error the name of village chingwar was mentioned in the VLC proceeding .It has been corrected and uploaded at para K(i)(a) of part-I.
4	CA area is proposed in civil soyam land but no details filled at para-L in Part I and para 13, in Part II. State Government may provide the detail of CA area in Part I and Part II.	Ca area details has been uploaded at para 13 and para L in part-i
5	CA site suitability certificate found incomplete. State Government may submit/upload the same providing full detail of CA area.	Ca site suitability has been uploaded.
6	It is seen from the copy of map indicating location of alternate examined uploaded at para D (ii) of Part I that the alternate alignment goes all along the proposed alignment which is a mere formality done and not acceptable.	Alternate alignment has been corrected and uploaded in KML only. At para D if part-I
7	As per details given at para B 2.3, the forest land involve in the project falls within the jurisdiction of Shera (Kandera) and kakola villages but the village level committee meeting proceedings of these villages have not been provided/uploaded.	Proceedings of village shera(kandara) and kakola has been uploaded at para K(i)(a) of part-I.

क्रमशः २

8	Cost of CA has been worked out to Rs. 6,28,516.73 but amount is mentioned as Rs. 8,36,552.00 at the bottom citing PCCF's order dt. 21-11-2017. State Government may submit clarification in this regard.	The cost of CA has been calculated as per PCCF's order dt 21-11-2017 because state government has revised the rates per hectare but the rates of individual activity has not been revised yet. As soon as the revised rates of individual activity come, new CA scheme will be uploaded in part-II and paid accordingly. Presently the cost of CA is Rs 836552.00 only.
9	It is seen from the details given in the Muck Disposal Plan uploaded that 3 muck disposal sites covering an area of 0-108 ha are proposed in civil land and 5 sites covering an area of 0.675 ha in Nap land but the area is mentioned as 0-045 ha and 0-10 ha, respectively in the component wise breakup at para B 2.4 of Part I. Further, the capacity of muck disposal sites is mentioned as 1,74,240 Cum whereas, the quantity of muck to be disposed at muck disposal site is mentioned as 15,902.67 cum only.	There has been a calculation mistake in calculating the total muck quantity and the capacity of the muck disposal area. Now in the corrected documents of Muck we have taken total 02 no area in naap land having area 0.09999 ha i.e (0.1) hectare and one area in civil land having area 0.045 ha. The same has been mentioned in component wise breakup at para B2.4 of part-I.
10	It reveals from the data given at para 14 of Part II in district profile that the CA stipulated is not commensurate to the forest land diverted. Logically, the CA (1158.614 ha) is equable to CA stipulated (1158.614 ha), which may also be clarified.	Related to DFO rudraprayag.
11	Number of trees is mentioned as 26 in the recommendation of CF instead of 29, which is required to be clarified.	Related to DFO rudraprayag.
12	इसके अतिरिक्त लाभान्वित ग्राम जयकण्डी उल्लेखित किया गया है किन्तु अन्य कोई विवरण प्रस्तुत नहीं किया गया है। साथ ही के०एस०एल० फाईल पर तीन अन्य ग्राम दर्शाये गये हैं। लाभान्वित ग्राम की सूची में सम्मिलित नहीं हैं। इसके अतिरिक्त पूरे क्षेत्र में एक पक्का मार्ग पूर्व से ही उपलब्ध है तथा प्रस्तावित मार्ग के अन्तिम छोर पर एक अन्य पक्का मार्ग परिलक्षित हो रहा है। अतः प्रस्तावित मार्ग का कोई औचित्य प्रतीत नहीं होता है। राज्य सरकार इस पर अपना स्पष्ट प्रतिवेदन इस कार्यालय में प्रेषित करना सुनिश्चित करें।	From this proposed road three villages are getting benefit of all weather connectivity. The villages are Sera, Kakola, ajaypur. As from the kml it seems that nearby there is a pucca Motor road but in actual these roads area earthen roads only and doesn't provide the all weather connectivity. A photograph of the whole area has been uploaded in additional information details.

अधिसासी अभियन्ता
पी०एम०जी०एस०वाई०(सि०ख०)
रुद्रप्रयाग।