



**OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS,
RAMPUR FOREST DIVISION, RAMPUR BUSHAHR,
HIMACHAL PRADESH FOREST DEPARTMENT**
(E-mail:- dforampur@gmail.com Phone No. 01782-233107



No. 874
H.P. Forest Department.

Dated Rampur, the 23-05-25

From: - DCF Rampur.

• ✓ To: - CCF (T) Rampur.

Subject: - Diversion of 3.2193 hac. forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Munder Kungal Makrog Khori Gadasu road within the jurisdiction of Rampur Forest Division, Distt. Shimla H.P.

Memo:

Kindly refer to your office Endst. No. 428 dated 30-04-2025 on the subject cited above.

2. In this context, it is submitted that the point wise reply to the observation raised vide your office letter under reference is furnished as under:-

S. No.	Observations	Reply
1.	The State Government shall provide details of action taken against the violation as per provisions mentioned in consolidated guidelines and clarifications issued under Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 and Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Rules,2023.	<p>The violation in the extant case falls under the Indian Forest Act, 1972 as per Rule 1.16(i), under Chapter 1 of Consolidated Guidelines and Clarifications issued under <i>Van Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan</i> Adhiniyam, 1980 and <i>Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Rules,2023</i>.</p> <p>However, the said case forms part of the case list in which the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has passed directions as to ratification of past violations in CWP No. 5600 of 2012 a/s CWP No. 9797 of 2012 and COPC No. 56 of 2009. This case is listed at Sr. No. 297 of the list provided vide the letter No. PBW (B) E (3) – 1/2013 dated 5th November, 2013 by Principal Secretary, HP PWD, Gov of HP to APPCF (Central) Annexure-I.</p> <p>It is brought to notice that in the said CWP the Hon'ble High Court of High Court of HP has ordered dated 05.09.2013 in paragraphs 6, 7 and 8 that the insistence on submitting the list of officers with their names, designations and complete addresses responsible for past violation will be counter-productive. (Complete orders be referred under Annexure-II).</p> <p>Hence, as guided by the Hon'ble Court, no list of officers responsible for the violation in the present case needs be called for.</p> <p>Furthermore, the Hon'ble High Court of HP has also ordered about the action to be taken (as desired in EDS 1) in the extant case of violation, in the same CWP, dated 26.07.2013 in paragraphs 1,2,3 where in the Hon'ble Court has inclined to accept the ratification of such roads in public interest and in good faith on such</p>

		<p>conditions, as permissible in Law, including imposing environmental damage, compensatory costs and putting up retention walls etc. (Annexure-III).</p> <p>Thus, as guided by the orders of the Hon'ble High Court the appropriate action to be taken in this case shall be the levying of appropriate environmental damages as permissible under law and putting up retention walls etc.</p> <p>It is therefore intimated that in compliance of the Hon'ble High Court directions, penal NPV (Annexure-IV) and penal CA (Annexure-V) have been proposed to which the user agency has also given undertakings for compliance (Annexure-VI & VII). These are, therefore, the details of action taken in the present matter, in compliance of the orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court.</p>																		
2.	<p>The State Government shall submit a detailed status report to the Regional Office in case of 2183 road projects for which directions have been passed by Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh including the detail of proposals already approved under Van (Sanrakshan Evam Samvardhan) Adhiniyam, 1980 and the balance cases that are yet to be processed. The decision in such type of cases shall be taken holistically by regional Office and forward the same to the Ministry along with its comments/recommendations.</p>	<p>The detailed status report of all 2183 FCA violation cases pertains to the Nodal Office. Out of which 26 cases pertain to Rampur Forest Division. The detail is as under:-</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>S. No.</th> <th>Particulars</th> <th>Status</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1.</td> <td>Total cases of violation pertaining to this Division.</td> <td>26 Nos.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2.</td> <td>Violation cases which have received final approval from IRO</td> <td>08 Nos.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3.</td> <td>Violation cases which have received in-principle approval.</td> <td>02 Nos.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4.</td> <td>Violation cases which have been received from User agency and are under process.</td> <td>04 Nos.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5.</td> <td>Violation cases which have not been received from user agency till date.</td> <td>12 Nos.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Details of the 26 cases are attached as Annexure-VIII.</p>	S. No.	Particulars	Status	1.	Total cases of violation pertaining to this Division.	26 Nos.	2.	Violation cases which have received final approval from IRO	08 Nos.	3.	Violation cases which have received in-principle approval.	02 Nos.	4.	Violation cases which have been received from User agency and are under process.	04 Nos.	5.	Violation cases which have not been received from user agency till date.	12 Nos.
S. No.	Particulars	Status																		
1.	Total cases of violation pertaining to this Division.	26 Nos.																		
2.	Violation cases which have received final approval from IRO	08 Nos.																		
3.	Violation cases which have received in-principle approval.	02 Nos.																		
4.	Violation cases which have been received from User agency and are under process.	04 Nos.																		
5.	Violation cases which have not been received from user agency till date.	12 Nos.																		

It is, therefore, requested that the proposal may kindly be sent to GoI for according necessary approval under section 2 of Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980.


 Dy. Conservator of Forests,
 Rampur Forest Division,
 Rampur Bushahr H.P.

99

MOST URGENT
PERSONAL ATTENTION

From

No.PBW(B)E(3)I/2013
Government of Himachal Pradesh
Public Works Department

To

The Principal Secretary (PW) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh.

The Engineer-in-Chief,
HP PWD, Nirman Bhawan,
Nigam Vihar, Shimla-2

Dated Shimla-171002, the

November, 2013.

Subject:-

Violation of the provisions of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980-
approval to cases of construction of roads in compliance of directions
passed on 8.8.2013 and 5.9.2013 in CWP No. 5600 of 2012 a/w CWP No.
9797/2012 and COPC No. 56/2009-regarding.

Sir,

I am directed to enclose herewith a copy of letter No. 19-156/2013-ROC/766
dated 11-11-2013 received from the Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests
(Central), Government of India, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Northern Regional
Office, Chandigarh on the above cited subject and to request you to give suitable directions
to all CEs/SEs to coordinate with the State Forest Department for expediting inspections by
the Committees and submission of their reports to Northern Regional Office, Chandigarh
through the State Government for consideration of the proposals for approval under
intimation to this department at the earliest.

S/PCA
25/11/13

H/ceff (PCA)

This may treated as most urgent being High Court matter.

Yours faithfully,

(Suneel Sharma)


Joint Secretary (PW) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh.

22 November, 2013.

Endst. No. As above. Dated Shimla-171002, the
Copy forwarded to:-

1. The Pr. Secretary (Forests) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh for information.
2. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, P.N.C. H.P. Shimla-1 alongwith list of 2183 roads constructed in violation of the Act 1980 for taking necessary action.
3. The Additional Principal Chief Conservator of Forests (Central), Government of India, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Northern Regional Office, Bays No 24 & 25, Sector 31-A, Dakshin Marg, Chandigarh-160030 for information.

Re/ce
25/11/13



(Suneel Sharma)
Joint Secretary (PW) to the
Government of Himachal Pradesh.

RTO

Speed Post

IMMEDIATE

Endst. No. No. Ft. 42-163/2013 (FCA)

Dated Shimla-171001, the

7 DEC 2013

Copy alongwith a copy of letter No. 19-156/2013-ROC/766 dated 11.11.2013 and list of roads constructed in violation of FCA, 1980 is forwarded to all CCFs/CFs(T) & H.P. for information and necessary action. They are requested to co-ordinate with PWD Department for expediting inspections by the Committees of the proposals involved in the list of violation. Copy of constitution notifying committees and format of submission of report by the Committees has already been sent to your office vide office endorsement of even number dated 31.10.2013 and 3.12.2013 respectively.

Encls: as above

CCF Rampur

SS26
11/12/13

Sajil

11/12/13

11/12/2013

8
7-12-2013
Addl. Pr. Chief Conservator of Forests (FCA)
O/o Pr. CCF, H.P. Shimla-1

Endst No 6427-30 Dd. 12-12-2013

Copy alongwith copy of its enclosure
is forwarded to all DFOs for information & immediate
further necessary action.

Encls: As above.

*Pr. CCF, H.P. Shimla-1
D.C. CCF (FCA) Rampur*



ए. के. गोयल

अपर प्रधान मुख्य वन संरक्षक (केन्द्रीय)

A. K. GOYAL

Additional Principal Chief Conservator
of Forests (Central)

Email : akg@nic.in

No. 19-156/2013-ROC / 766

Dear Chauhan Sahib,

Sub: Violation of the provisions of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980-
approval to cases of construction of roads in compliance of directions
passed on 8.8.2013 and 5.9.2013 in CWP No. 5600 of 2012 a/w CWP
No. 9797/2012 and COPC No. 56/2009 -regarding

Ref: PBW (B) E (3)-1/2013 dated 5th November, 2013

Please refer to your letter cited forwarding list of roads constructed in
violation of the provisions of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980. I request that a
copy of the same may please also be forwarded to the Principal Chief Conservator
of Forests, Government of Himachal Pradesh so that the Committees headed by
the Conservators of Forests and Divisional Forests Officers concerned may
complete the inspections in compliance of the court orders. Further, the officials
concerned from the Public Works Department may also be directed to coordinate
with their counterparts in the State Forest Department for expediting inspections
by the Committees and submission of their reports to this office through the
State Government for consideration of the proposals for approval.

Yours Sincerely

AKG-1
11.11.13 (A K Goyal)

To

✓ Shri Narinder Chauhan, IAS
Principal Secretary, HP PWD,
Government of Himachal Pradesh,
Shimla-171001

For more details
Narinder
20/11/13

S. No. Date

Circle Wise Number of Roads Constructed in Violation of FCA 1980

Shimla Zone	Number of Roads	
	Reported Earlier	Reported Now
3rd Circle Solan	5	66
4th Circle Shimla	30	212
11th Circle Rampur	45	111
12th Circle Nahan	28	28
14th Circle Rohru	32	182
Total of Shimla Zone	140	599
<hr/>		
Mandi Zone		
1st Circle Mandi	242	429
6th Circle Kullu	109	119
Jogindernagar Circle	221	287
Total of Mandi Zone	572	835
<hr/>		
Hamirpur Zone		
8th Circle Hamirpur	60	133
10th Circle Bilaspur	7	120
15th Circle Una	1	1
Total of Hamirpur Zone	68	254
<hr/>		
Kangra Zone at Dharmshala		
5th Circle Palampur	5	127
7th Circle Dalhousie	38	204
9th Circle Nurpur	18	164
Total of Kangra Zone	61	495
<hr/>		
Grand Total	841	2183

24

Sr. No.	Name of Division	Name of the road	Total length of road (kms)	Starting From (Name)	Present status whether completed or in progress	GPS coordinates at Strategic point			Whether road appeared in list of 116 roads as per Annexure -I	Whether road appeared in list of 61 roads as per Annexure-II	Remarks
						Starting point	End point	Mid point of road length or villages/importent location al the road			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
294	Rampur	Link road to Village Kheraya	3.000	Naer Kheraya village on RGM S road	-do-	-31° 28' 15.9" -077° 47' 31.5 "	-31° 28' 04.3" 04.1" -77° 47' 42" 52.10"	-31° 28' 04.3" -077° 47' 52.10"	No.	No.	-
295	Rampur	Gahan Majdharti Garoli Kenchi	8.435	Gahan	-do-	-31° 16' 56" -77° 81' 08 "	-31° 15' 28" -77° 59' 11"	-31° 16' 59" -77° 59' 11"	No.	No.	-
296	Rampur	Nankhari Sunar Banoga Thua	8.000	Nankhari	-do-	-31° 6' 12" -77° 10' 20 "	-31° 21' 34.9" -77° 34' 19.3"	-31° 24' 18.4" -77° 16' 24.2"	No.	No.	-
297	Rampur	Munder Kungai Makdog Grasu	11.000	Munder	-do-	-31° 14' 24.6" -77° 18' 20.2 "	-31° 21' 45.4" -77° 35' 32.1"	-31° 20' 26" -77° 36' 24.6"	No.	No.	-
298	Rampur	Khanog Grasu Lahoti Punan road	11.000	Khanog	-do-	-31° 17' 56.6" -77° 35' 21.4 "	-31° 21' 45.4" -77° 35' 32.1"	-31° 32' 28" -77° 12' 19.6"	No.	No.	-
299	Rampur	Jawalda Danewati Nagalani road	5.500	Jawalda	-do-	-31° 18' 2.9" -77° 33' 42.8 "	-31° 19' 61" -77° 24.2" 36"	-31° 26' 21" -77° 26' 18.4"	No.	No.	-
300	Rampur	Bahli to Dev Nager	8.000	Bahli	-do-	-31° 22' 158" -77° 38' 571 "	-31° 22' 29" -77° 37' 157"	-31° 22' 19" -77° 37' 531"	No.	No.	-
301	Rampur	Nehra to Palzara	6.300	Nehra	-do-	-31° 22' 174" -77° 39' 128 "	-31° 22' 227" -77° 38' 070"	-31° 22' 246" -77° 38' 343"	No.	No.	-

05.09.2013 Present:

CWP No. 5600 of 2012

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Assistant Solicitor General of India, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General, with Mr. Romesh Verma & Mr. Anup Rattan, Additional Advocate Generals, and Mr. J.K. Verma & Ms. Parul Negi, Deputy Advocate Generals, for respondents No. 2 to 4 & 6 to 8.

Mr. C.N. Singh, Advocate, for respondent No. 9.

Mr. Arvind Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 10.

CWP No. 9797 of 2012

Mr. Bipin C. Negi, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Assistant Solicitor General of India, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General, with Mr. Romesh Verma & Mr. Anup Rattan, Additional Advocate Generals, and Mr. J.K. Verma & Ms. Parul Negi, Deputy Advocate Generals, for respondents No. 2 to 6.

Mr. Rajnish Maniktala, Advocate, for respondent No. 8.

COPC No. 56 of 2009

None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Assistant Solicitor General of India, for Union of India.

Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General, with Mr. Romesh Verma & Mr. Anup Rattan, Additional Advocate Generals, and Mr. J.K. Verma & Ms. Parul Negi, Deputy Advocate Generals, for respondents-State.

Heard counsel for the parties.

2. We have perused the minutes of the proceedings of the joint meeting held on 19th August, 2013, attended by all the concerned duty holders noted in our order dated 8th August, 2013. Since, in principle, agreement has been reached for regularization of 841 roads subject to certain compliances to be made by the State Government and

that process is likely to take some time, we have no hesitation in deferring the hearing of this matter for the time being till 30th December, 2013, for reporting of further action taken by the concerned duty holders.

3. Learned Assistant Solicitor General of India submitted that the MoEF is of the opinion that in addition to 841 roads, referred to in the order dated 8th August, 2013, by this Court, it is possible that there are other roads, which are not included in the list of 841 roads. That figure may jump to around 1500. In other words, there are more than 660 roads, which have not been brought to the notice of the MoEF as of today.

4. The learned Advocate General appearing for the State submits that this apprehension will be duly examined at the highest level by the State Government and the information that would be collated during such enquiry, the Principal Secretary (PWD) to the Government of Himachal Pradesh shall submit that information to the MoEF not later than two months from today. The State Government, through learned Advocate General, undertakes to abide by all the conditions specified in the minutes of the joint meeting held on 19th August, 2013, even with regard to the unlisted roads of which information will be furnished by the State Government in due course.

5. In view of this assurance given by the State Government, we do not deem it necessary to issue any direction on that issue as of now.

6. Learned Assistant Solicitor General of India then invited

-: 3 :-

our attention to Clause (x) of the minutes and pointed out that the State Government be directed to submit the list of officers responsible for violation.

7. In our opinion, insistence of this compliance will be counter productive at this stage. In our order, dated 8th August, 2013, we have already kept the order dated 28th August, 2009, in abeyance. Necessity of furnishing list of officers of the State Government responsible for violation would arise only if the said order was to be revived and the abeyance order is recalled.

8. In the circumstances, we hope that the officials of MoEF or any other department of the Government of India shall not insist for compliance of furnishing the names, designations and complete addresses of the officers of the State Government responsible for past violations.

9. Accordingly, this matter be notified on **30th December, 2013**. The arrangement directed in terms of order dated 8th August, 2013, to continue till further directions.

Copy dasti.

(A.M. Khanwilkar)
Chief Justice

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

September 5, 2013
(rajni/vt)

CWP No. 5600 of 2012 a/w
CWP No. 9797 of 2012 & COPC No. 56 of 2009

26.07.2013

Present: **CWP No. 5600 of 2012**

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Assistant Solicitor General of India, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General, with Mr. Romesh Verma, Additional Advocate General, and Mr. J.K. Verma & Ms. Parul Negi, Deputy Advocate Generals, for respondents No. 2 to 4 & 6 to 8.

Mr. C.N. Singh, Advocate, for respondent No. 9.

Mr. Arvind Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 10.

CWP No. 9797 of 2012

Mr. Bipin C. Negi, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Assistant Solicitor General of India, for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General, with Mr. Romesh Verma, Additional Advocate General, and Mr. J.K. Verma & Ms. Parul Negi, Deputy Advocate Generals, for respondents No. 2 to 6.

Mr. Rajnish Maniktala, Advocate, for respondent No. 8.

COPC No. 56 of 2009

None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Assistant Solicitor General of India, for Union of India.

Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General, with Mr. Romesh Verma, Additional Advocate General, and Mr. J.K. Verma & Ms. Parul Negi, Deputy Advocate Generals, for respondents-State.

CWPs No. 5600 & 9797 of 2012

Considering the fact now revealed by the learned Advocate General across the Bar that it has come to light that in all, approximately 829 roads have been constructed without taking prior permission, prior to August 2009. Since those roads are already put to public use and it will not serve public interest by closing those roads or directing to remove the same, the State Government, in principal, is

inclined to move a formal proposal to the Ministry of Environment to ratify the action of construction of those roads undertaken by the State Government in good faith on such conditions, as may be permissible in law, including by imposing environmental damage compensatory costs and further direction to put up retention walls in certain areas, which need strengthening and upon compliance of such conditions, a formal ex-post facto sanction can be granted in respect of those roads.

2. We are inclined to accept this submission in public interest, provided such authority vests with the Ministry of Environment in law. If such proposal is moved by the State Government, appropriate decision thereon can be taken within reasonable time and preferably within six weeks from its receipt. The Ministry of Environment will be free to examine the said proposals uninfluenced by the orders passed by this Court in COPC No. 56 of 2009 or any other order passed in the companion proceedings. The decision taken by the Ministry of Environment, however, will be subject to the outcome of the present proceedings and can be given effect to only after the Court so directs.

3. We make it clear that if the State is required to pay compensatory costs, if so ordered by the Ministry of Environment, Government of India, the manner of recovery of such compensatory costs and from persons responsible for the situation can be evolved by the State Government and even that proposal can be submitted to the Court, if so required.

4. To be listed on 8th August, 2013.

-: 3 :-

COPC No. 56 of 2009

Not on Board. Upon mentioning, taken on Board. To be listed on **8th August, 2013**, alongwith CWP No. 5600 of 2012.

Copy dasti.

(A.M. Khanwilkar)
Chief Justice

(R.B. Misra)
Judge

July 26, 2013
(rajni / vt)

Full Title of the Project: - Diversion of 3.2193 hac. forest land for construction of Munder Kungal Makrog Khori Gadasu road.

File No.: - FP/HP/ROAD/153496/2022

Date of Proposal: - 16/03/2022

Penalty BILL FOR VIOLATION TO NPV

Area in ha. proposed for diversion	Violated area	NPV calculated @ rate of (in Rs.)	NPV amount (in Rs.)	Five times NPV (in Rs.)	Interest 12% (16 years) (in Rs.)	Total amount (in Rs.) {6+7}	20% of total amount to be paid from user agency being a Govt. project (in Rs.)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
3.2193 ha.	3.0063 ha.	10,69,470/- class-VI of Sub Alpine Forest	32,15,148/-	32,15,148/- x 5 = 1,60,75,740/-	19,29,089/- x 16 = 3,08,65,424/-	4,69,41,164/-	93,88,233/-

Dated: - 23-9-2022

Place: - Rampur


(Vikalp Yadav), IFS
 Dy. Conservator Forests,
 Rampur Forest Division,
 Rampur Bushahr,
 Dy. Conservator of Forests
 Office Seal
 Rampur Forest Division—
 Rampur Bushahr (H.P.)

Title of the Project: -

Diversion of 3.2193 hac. forest land for construction of Munder Kungal Makrog Khori Gadasu road.

FP/HP/ROAD/153496/2022


16-03-2022

File No.: -

Date of Proposal: -

SCHEME FOR PENAL COMPENSATORY AFFORESTATION SCHEME OVER 3.2193 HACT. AREA OF FOREST LAND IN LIEU OF DIVERSION OF 6.0126 HACT. FOREST LAND FOR CONSTRUCTION OF MUNDER KUNGAL MAKROG KHORI GADASU ROAD WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF RAMPUR FOREST DIVISION.

Area proposed for Penal Compensatory Afforestation in hac.						6.0126 hac.
Name of the proposed plantation area						D-18 (Punan) C-4
Number of Plants to be planted per hectare						1100
Total number of plants to be planted in proposed area						6614
Species to be planted in proposed area						Conifer & Broad leave species
Particulars of works	Year of execution of works	Unit cost at wage structure for 2022-23	Total	No. of plants	Cost of plants @ Rs. 27.12/-	Total Amount
Initial cost for raising penal compensatory Afforestation over an area of 6.0126 hectare	2023-24	89800	539931	1100	29832	569763
1 st year maintenance	2024-25	10600	63734	330	8950	72684
2 nd year maintenance	2025-26	7100	42689	220	5966	48655
3 rd year maintenance	2026-27	3700	22247	110	2983	25230
4 th year maintenance	2027-28	3700	22247	110	2983	25230
5 th year maintenance	2028-29	3700	22247	110	2983	25230
6 th year maintenance	2029-30	3700	22247	110	2983	25230
7 th year maintenance	2030-31	3700	22247	110	2983	25230
8 th year maintenance	2031-32	3700	22247	110	2983	25230
9 th year maintenance	2032-33	3700	22247	110	2983	25230
10 th year maintenance	2033-34	3700	22247	110	2983	25230
					Total =	892939
					Contingencies Charges @ 5%	44647
					Total cost of CA Scheme	937586
					Departmental Charges @ 17.5%	164078
					G. Total =	1101664


 (Vikalp Yadav), IFS
 Dy. Conservator of Forests,
 Rampur Forest Division,
Dy. Conservator of Forests
 Rampur Forest Division
 Rampur Bushahr (H.P.)


 C/S
 Chief Conservator of Forests (T)
 Rampur Forest Circle, Rampur, H.P.

Full Title of the project :-

C/o Munder Kungle Magrog Gadasu road km 0/000 to

9/730

File No. AP/HO/CRPD/153496/2022

Date of Proposal:- 03/03/2022

(Check List Serial No -1)

**UNDERTAKING FOR PAYMENT OF COST OF COMPENSATORY
AFFORESTATION .**

I, Executive Engineer, Rampur Division (B&R) HP PWD Rampur Bsr. Hereby undertake to pay the entire amount for compensatory afforestation in lieu of the forest area diverted for construction of Link road from Munder Kungal Makrog Gadasu as per the prevailing wage rates at the time of undertaking the plantation activities.

Dated :- 03/03/2022

Place :- Rampur

Countersigned by :-

Dy. Conservator of Forests
Rampur Forest Division

Rampur Bsr.

Dy. Conservator of Forests
Office Seal
Rampur Forest Division
Rampur Bushahr (H.P.)

(Er. Rajnish Behal)
Executive Engineer
Rampur Division (B&R)
HP PWD Rampur Bsr.
Executive Engineer
Office Seal
Rampur Division (B&R)
H.P.W.D. Rampur Bsr.

Full Title of the project :-

C/o Munder Kungal Makrog Gadasu road km, 0/000 to
9/730

File No. 08/HP/R&D/153496/2022

Date of Proposal:- 03/03/2022

(Check List Serial No -13)

**UNDERTAKING FOR PAYMENT OF NET PRESENT VALUE OF FOREST
AREA .**

It is to certify that I, Executive Engineer, Rampur Division (B&R) HP PWD Rampur Bsr, has applied for diversion of 3-2193 ha. of forest land for the purpose of construction of Link road from Munder Kungal Makrog Gadasu. I/we, hereby undertake to pay the net present value (NPV) of the above forest land. It is also certified that in case the rate of NPV is increased by the Hon'ble Court, the differential amount will also be paid.

Dated :- 03/03/2022

Place :- Rampur

(Er. Rajnish Behal)
Executive Engineer
Rampur Division (B&R)
Executive Engineer
HP PWD Rampur Bsr.
Rampur Division (B&R)
Office Seal :-
H.P.P.W.D. Rampur Bsr.

Countersigned by :-

Dy. Conservator of Forests
Rampur Forest Division

Rampur Bsr.

Office Seal :-
Dy Conservator of Forests
Rampur Forest Division
Rampur Bushahr (H.P.)

Total cases of violation pertaining to Rampur Forest Division.

Sr. No.	Name of Division	Name of Proposal	Area in (ha.)	Status
08 Nos. of Violation cases which have received final approval from IRO				
1	Rampur	Diversion of 1.9456 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Shalabag-Humtu-Shikari Nalla road	1.9456	Final approval has been accorded by GOI on dated 14.02.2017.
2	Rampur	Diversion of 2.1577 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Manglad bridge to village Runpoo road	2.1577	Final approval has been accorded by GOI on dated 16.02.2017.
3	Rampur	Diversion of 2.3809 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Kotla Kunni Shahdhar road	2.3809	Final approval has been accorded by GOI on dated 27.03.2017.
4	Rampur	Diversion of 1.7034 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of road from Bajwa Bridge to Bajwa village	1.7034	Final approval has been accorded by GOI on dated 20.06.2017.
5	Rampur	Diversion of 0.8504 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Chasani to Mandog road	0.8504	Final approval has been accorded by GOI on dated 27.07.2017.
6	Rampur	Diversion of 1.9772 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Rajpura to Darshal road	1.9772	Final approval has been accorded by GOI on dated 22.09.2017.
7	Rampur	Diversion of 1.7409 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Nanan to Dharali road	1.7409	Final approval has been accorded by GOI on dated 08.12.2019.
8	Rampur	Diversion of 1.5599 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of link road to village Chowka	1.5599	Final approval has been accorded by GOI on dated 19.04.2021.
02 Nos. Violation cases which have received in-principle approval from IRO				
9	Rampur	Diversion of 1.1966 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Uru to Dhar road	1.1966	In-principle approval has been accorded by GOI on dated 26.02.2014.
10	Rampur	Diversion of 1.0343 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Labana Sadana to Molgi road	1.0343	In-principle approval has been accorded by GOI on dated 18.06.2024.
04 Nos. Violation cases which have been received from User agency and are under process				
11	Rampur	Diversion of 3.2193 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Munder Kungal Makrog Khori Gadasu road	3.2193	The case is lying with IRO for stage-I approval
12	Rampur	Diversion of 1.9683 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Gadasu Lauhti Punan road	1.9683	The case is pending due to EDS raised by IRO.
13	Rampur	Diversion of 3.6773 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Bahli Devnagar road	3.6773	The case is pending due to EDS raised by IRO.
14	Rampur	Diversion of 1.9801 ha. of forest land in favour of HPPWD for construction of Dhalaa to Mankhidhank road.	1.9801	The case is pending due to EDS raised by IRO.
12 Nos. Violation cases which have not been received from user agency till date				
15	Rampur	C/o Anu Rakshi road		
16	Rampur	C/o Bhalidhar to Juan Dogri road		
17	Rampur	C/o Gunda Railla to Sharan road		
18	Rampur	C/o Jarashi to Pallen road		
19	Rampur	C/o Link road to village Kharaya		
20	Rampur	C/o Gahan Majdharti Garoili Kenchi road		
21	Rampur	C/o Nankhari Sunar Banoga Thua road		
22	Rampur	C/o Jawalda Dhanewti Naalani road		
23	Rampur	C/o Nehra to Palzara road		
24	Rampur	C/o Link road to Dimini		
25	Rampur	C/o Link road to village Jarashi		
26	Rampur	C/o Barkal Thala road		

Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Rampur Forest Division,
Rampur Bushahr.