

अधिशाली अधिशाली अभियन्ता प्रान्तीय खण्ड लोक निर्माण विभाग रुद्रप्रयाग

Website-<http://pwd.uk.gov.in>

Fax: 01364-233204, E-mail ID: eeepwd_rpg@rediffmail.com

पत्र संख्या
सेवामें,

1408/16 स्

दिनांक 22/4/2015

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी
रुद्रप्रयाग वन प्रभाग
रुद्रप्रयाग।

विषय-

वनभूमि पत्रावली संख्या FP/UK/ROAD/10625/2015 गैठाणा-सिरवाडी मोटर मार्ग का नव निर्माण कार्य हेतु 3.1325 हे० वनभूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्य हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग, को हस्तान्तरण करने के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

उक्त वनभूमि पत्रावली में भारत सरकार द्वारा ऑन लाइन लगायी गयी आपत्तियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण निम्न प्रकार किया गया है-

Sr.	EDS Details	EDS Removed as follows
1	Short Narrative of the project does not give adequate information in part-I	Submitted on line in A-1(iii)
2	The Application is filed by Shri Indrajit Bose but, authority is uploaded in favour of Shri Shiv Charan Singh Shah & Shri Vishwajeet Khatri may provide authority in the name of person filing the application	Submitted on line in A (xvii)
3	Digital map for the area proposed for diversion is provided on Google earth map which does not serve the purposed. Geo coordinates provided at only two points and that too written in hand. Proper digitalised Geo referenced map for area proposed for diversion with GPS coordinates marked digitally on each turn of the proposed road may be provided.	Submitted on line in C (iii) & (iv)
4	Digital map of the area proposed for CA is provided on Google earth map which does not serve the purposed. Goe coordinates written in hand proper geo referenced digital map for the area proposed for CA showing geo coordinates on all corners in shape file be provided and upload in Part-I	Submitted on line in L (v) & (vi)
5	Justification for locating the project on forest land in Part I provides justification for construction of the road and not for locationg it on forest land.	Submitted on line in D (i)
6	The Administrative Approval is issued during 2011. May explain whether in same is still valid	Valid submitted on line in other documents
7	Part I, II, III, IV, and V which is provided in online web portal for the proposal is not enclosed in the hard copy provided.	Submitted on line and other Documents
8	CA scheme and suitability certificate upload in Part II not opening may upload as additional document.	it is related to DFO.
9	As per KML file of area proposed for diversion a significant length of the proposed road appears to be passing through dense forest. However, only 172 trees are proposed for felling. May recheck the number of trees.	Total Number of trees is 172 (135 general + 37 banz trees)
10	In list of tree provided as additional documents in Part I, 135 trees are shown but in Part II column 4, 172 trees are shown. May explaing this discrepancy.	Total Nounber of trees is 172 (135 general + 37 banz trees)
11	Important board leaved tree spices are proposed to be felled . May review the proposed alignment to reduce or avoid felling of such important tree species.	Other alignment contain more number of banz trees, so this is suitable.

अधिशाली अभियन्ता
प्रान्तीय खण्ड लो०नि०वि०
रुद्रप्रयाग।