

PART-II

(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forest)

State Serial No. of proposal : HP-0158/2020

1. Location of the project/Scheme : Himachal Pradesh

- (i) State / Union Territory : Himachal Pradesh
- (ii) District : Kangra
- (iii) Forest Division : D/sala
- (iv) Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha.) : 2.9696
- (v) Category : Others

2. Legal status of forest land proposed for diversion :

S. No.	Division	Forest Land(ha.)	Legal Status
1	D/sala	2.9696	Others (pl. specify)

Division 1. : D/sala

3. District wise area to be diverted in the division :

S. No.	District	Area(ha.)
1	Kangra	2.9696

4. Details of Vegetation available in the forest land proposed for diversion :

(i) Density of vegetation

S. No.	Area(in ha.)	Density	Eco-Class
1	2.9696	0.1	Eco 5

(ii) Species-wise local/scientific names and girth-wise enumeration of trees at FRL :

S. No.	Scientific Name	Local Name	(0-30)cm.	(31-60)cm.	(61-90)cm.	(91-120)cm.	(121-150)cm.	(>150)cm.
1	Others	Chil - Pinus roxburghii	0	0	0	0	4	10
2	Others	Dagur - Ficus hispida	0	78	9	0	0	0
3	Others	Kainth - Pyrus pashia	0	5	0	0	0	0
4	Others	Khirak - Celtis australis	0	11	3	0	0	0
5	Albzzia Spp.	Ohi - Albizzia stipulata	0	0	0	0	0	3
6	Others	Pajja - Prunus padus	0	3	0	0	0	0
7	Others	Puna - Ehretia acuminata	0	5	3	0	0	0
8	Others	Kathamam - Fugenia jambolanea	0	1	1	0	0	0
9	Others	Toot - Morus alba	0	5	2	0	0	0
10	Others	Rumbal - Ficus clavata	0	0	0	0	1	0
11	Others	Simbal - Bombax malabaricum	0	0	0	0	0	2

12	Others	Dhura - Anogeissus latifolia	0	14	1	0	0	0
13	Others	Cheela - Broad Leave	0	2	0	0	0	0
14	Others	Gawan - Broad Leave	0	1	0	0	0	0

5. Working plan prescription for the forest land proposed for diversion :

Chil Shelter wood working Circle. Silviculture system adapted is Indian irregular shelterwood system and rotation period is 120 years.

6. Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion : The area in question is not vulnerable to land slides

7. Approximate distance of the proposed site for diversion from boundary of forest(in km.) : 0.5

8. Significance of the forest land proposed for diversion from wildlife point of view :

- (i). **Details of wildlife present in and around the forest land proposed for diversion :** The Wild Life present in the area are porcupines, Monkeys, Langoors, Wild Bears , etc
- (ii). **Whether forms part of national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve,tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc. :** No
- (iii). **Whether the forest land proposed for diversion is located within eco-sensitive zone(ESZ) of the Protected Area notified under Wildlife(Protection) Act, 1972 (Note: In case, ESZ of a Protected Area is not notified,then,10kms distance from boundary of the Protected Area should be treated as ESZ):** No
- (iv). **Whether any national park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, wildlife migration corridor etc., is located within 1 Km. from boundary of the forest land proposed for diversion :** No
- (v). **Whether any rare/endangered/unique species of flora and fauna found in the area :** No

9.

Details of any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument located in the area, if any :

- (i). **Whether any protected archaeological/heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area :** No

10. Comment as to the reasonability of the extent of the forest land proposed for diversion :

- (i). **Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency is unavoidable and bare minimum for the project :** Yes

11. Details of violation(s), if any ,committed :

- (i). **Whether any work in violation of the Act or guidelines issued under the Act has been carried out :** Yes

(a)**Details of violation(s):** The area is used to dump the Solid Waste. No felli

(b) **Period of Work done(year) :** 5

(c) **Area of forest land involved in violation (in ha.) :** 0.75


S. No.	Name of person responsible for violation	Designation & Address of person responsible for violation	Action taken against the person responsible for violation
1	MC Dharamshala	Unknown	Nil

12. Whether work in violation is still in progres(Yes/No) : Yes

13. Details of compensatory afforestation scheme :

(i). Patch wise details for Degraded Area (To be earmarked by DFO)

(i). Total Financial Outlay for C.A(lacs): 8.51094

Patch Wise Details						
S.no	Patch No.	Area(ha.)	Name of PF/RF	Range	Compartment No	Uploaded KML file of patch
1	1	5.96	CFS Khanyara	D/sala	P 15	 View file

(ii). Copy of CA scheme details: 

(iii). Scanned copy of the Geo-referenced map of the forest land proposed for C.A. prepared by using DGPS or Total Station:



(iv). Copy of Survey of India Toposheet indicating boundary of forest land proposed for C.A.: 


Additional information Details :

S. No.	Documents	Remarks
1		NPV Bill

14. District Profile :


S.no	District Name	Geographical area of the district (in ha.)	Forest area of the district (in ha.)	Total forest area diverted since 1980 (in ha.)	No. of Approved Cases	Forest Land including penal C.A. (in ha.)	Progress of compensatory afforestation as on(date)	A) Forest land (in ha.)	B) Non-forest land (in ha.)
1	Kangra	114094.10	61061.58	201.1913	100	391.1014	31/03/2020	383.0814	0

15. Site inspection report of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer highlighting important facts pertaining to the forest land :

Division Name	Circle	Site inspected By	Whether site inspected	No. of times site visited	Site inspection report	Date of visit
D/sala	D/Sala	DFO	Yes	One		15/12/2020

(Specific recommendation of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer with(Part II,III & Part IV)).

16. Specific recommendation of the DFO/CCF/Nodal Officer for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reason :

Division	Circle	Recommendation By	Recommendation	Reason	Letter	Whether CF agreed
D/sala	D/Sala	DFO	Recommended	There is other non forest land available in the area so the construction of Solid Waste Management Plant is necessarily to be constructed on the forest land. All measures		

				have been taken to minimize the forest land. The diversion of 2.9696 ha of forest land is recommended for the betterment of the society as it will provide long term benefits.	
--	--	--	--	--	--

Print page