

Ref: IREP-Saundatti/FDP/210409

Dated: 09.04.2021

Deputy Conservator of Forests  
Ghataprabha Forest Division  
Gokak, Belagavi District  
Karnataka

Sub: Diversion of 160.40 Ha of forest land for construction of 1260 MW Standalone Pumped Storage Component of Saundatti IREP in Belagavi District of Karnataka being implemented by M/s Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited (GSEPL) – reg

Ref: 1. Online EDS raised by DFO; Ghataprabha Forest Division vide Letter No B2/bhoomi/Greenko/FC/2020-21 dated 11-09-2020  
2. Your Office Recommendations (Part-II) dated 25-06-2020 on the Saundatti Forest Diversion proposal.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your EDS letter (1) cited above on the subject matter, the point wise reply to the observations is herewith submitted as below for your kind perusal and necessary action.

**Observation-1:**

To submit FRA attested Certificate in Form-1 of the District commissioner, Belagavi, regarding the Dupadhal and Yakkundi villager's objections.

**Reply:**

The process of getting FRA certificate is under process. As per the MoEF&CC circular/directions vide File. No 11-43/2013-Fc dated 26.02.2019 (Copy of the same is attached at **Annexure-A** for ready reference), the necessary FRA certificates shall be submitted along with In-Principle (Stage-I) compliance report.

**Observation-2:**

The public has submitted a memorandum to the representative (MLA) regarding the implementation of the project. In this regard, the District Commissioner has to submit the objection report for the implementation of the proposal (as per MoEF&CC letter dated 13.06.2019)

**Reply:**

It is pertinent to mention that during the Public Hearing held on 07-01-2019, the apprehensions raised by the public along with the representations as mentioned in Memorandum of Shri Mahantesh Koujalagi, Member of Legislative Assembly (MLA), Bailahongal, were duly replied by the project developer at the Public Hearing venue itself and were duly recorded in the Public Hearing Proceedings. Further, point wise reply to the said observations of Shri Mahantesh Koujalagi, Member of Legislative Assembly (MLA), Bailahongal, Belagavi District, Karnataka State were also submitted to MoEF&CC vide letter no. IRE-Saundatti/MOEF&CC/EC/191097 dated 07.10.2019. Copy of the relevant extracts of same is attached at **Annexure-B** for ready reference.



**Observation-3:**

Obtain the no alternative suitable non-forest land certified letter of Honorable District Commissioner, Belagavi

**Reply:**

It is respectfully submitted that the proposed project involves both forest and non-forest land, the details of the same were submitted in the forest diversion proposal. However, it is pertinent to mention that the main requirement of Pumped Storage Project (PSP) is the specialist nature of the site requirements, needing both geographical height and water availability. Suitable sites are therefore likely to be in hilly or mountainous regions which are invariably covered with forest and water source in proximity.

The optimized lay out of proposed project has minimal impact on the environment and requires unavoidable minimum Forestland. As the Dam/Embankment in the present case is site specific and can be planned only on the hilltop which is forestland these necessarily require the diversion of forest land. However, only the unavoidable minimum forestland and site-specific Project components have been envisaged. Locations of all the components have been fixed after proper survey and investigations and after proper examination of available alternatives. Detailed Note on Justification for Location of the Project in Forest Area has been submitted in the forest diversion application. (Copy enclosed at **Annexure-C** for ready reference.)


The office of the Tahsildar and Taluk Executive Magistrate, Saundatti wide this letter dated, 14.09.2020 (Copy enclosed at **Annexure-D** for ready reference) has reported that there is "No alternative suitable non-Forest land"

Apart from the above submissions, with regard to ref (2) above, to the issues highlighted in the site inspection report, the following points are submitted for your kind consideration.

**1. People displaced from the villages of Dhupdal and Yakkundi due to construction of Malaprabha dam:**

It is respectfully submitted that No family is being fully displaced in the proposed project, only partial land holding is being affected for which detailed compensation package has been prepared in the EIA/EMP report, which was duly approved by MoEF & CC while recommending the Project for Environmental Clearance.

- With regard to the issue of people displaced from the villages of Dhupdal and Yakkundi due to construction of Malaprabha dam back in 1969., it is pertinent to mention that During the construction of Malaprabha dam, villagers of Dhupdal , Yakkundi. were given alternate land for rehabilitation in forest area of Sy.No 146 in Karlakatti village under R & R package of Malaprabha dam. Vide order AFD 453 FGL 1969, dated 18-10-1969 and RHN-SR 40 date 08-03-1975. Accordingly Vide order No. AFD 81 FGL 1970 Dated 10-03-1970 and AFD 205 FGL 1970 dated 25-07-1970 Forest Department had handed over the forest land extent 30.69 hectare in Sy.No 146 to revenue department after denotification of forest on 03-12-1971 and 12-08-1972 for distribution to the displaced persons of Malaprabha project for cultivation. Based on the above orders revenue





department issued Rights to displaced villagers in Sy.No 146 of Karlakatti, but no changes had been made to forest record based on the above orders and the land 30.69 hectare in Sy No. 146 remains as forest land. The above said orders are not available with the Karnataka State Departments and even with archive departments of Karnataka and Pune, Maharashtra. The RTI reply letters from Archives department of both states is attached **Annexure -E** for your ready reference.

- Since the land in Sy.No 146 is recorded as forest land and in possession of the rehabilitated villagers of Malaprabha dam. To avoid delay in processing of the forest diversion proposal, Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited (GSEPL) has considered this land parcel as forest and necessary application was submitted vide online dated 18-12-2018. Accordingly, Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited (GSEPL) vide letter dated 21-01-2020 (copy enclosed at **Annexure-F**) has given undertaking that to pay NPV to Forest Department under forest diversion proposal and shall also pay suitable compensation to the affected families falling under survey no 146 on mutual agreed basis.

As the said project is allotted by Karnataka State High Level Committee and is being monitored for time bounded implementation. We request you to kindly consider the above submissions and process the diversion application at the earliest.

## 2. Indian Wolf (Indian Grey Wolf): Endangered Predator of this region:

- a. That the Study "Distribution, status and conservation of Indian gray wolf (*Canis lupus pallipes*) in Karnataka, India by Mewa Singh and H N Kumaro in 2004" was undertaken between 2001 and 2004. This study was carried out as part of larger study on the distribution of all wild mammals, and not specifically concentrated on wolf alone. Further the study inter-alia states that "We believe that this preliminary information from Karnataka may stimulate further studies with more rigorous methodology and quantification."

The report highlighted that the direct sightings of the wolf, are extremely difficult and as the study was undertaken about 15 years back and no confirmative studies were carried out thereafter by any Institute or State Forest department and further the studies did not mention/indicate presence of Indian gray wolf in the forest area proposed for diversion.

Further, the literature indicated that additional detailed studies should be undertaken for proper identification of habitat locations, which clearly shows that presence of Indian gray wolf were not recorded in the forest area proposed for diversion.

- b. Detailed Field study on Faunal aspects were undertaken by M/s Centre for Interdisciplinary Study on Mountain and Hill Environment University (CISMHE) in collaboration with RST, NABET accredited agency as a part of EIA/EMP Studies.

The fauna of project area (dam site, submergence area, colony area etc.) were studied with the help of primary surveys. The study also took into account available literature, Working Plan of the Forest Department, and Wildlife Management Plan of the project area.

During the surveys only 5 mammalian species viz; *Semnopithecus entellus* (Grey Langur), *Macaca mulatta* (Rhesus macaque), *Funambulus palmarum* (Indian Palm Squirrel), *Cynopterus sphinx* (Short-nosed Fruit Bat), *Herpestes auropunctatus* (Small Indian Mongoose), were sighted near the habitation as well as in forest area and none of the species belong to scheduled category (i.e. RET)





and the project area is not identified as the migratory route of wildlife animals. According to the list prepared based upon secondary data 22 species of mammals are reported from the study area.

Study area for environmental study of Saundatti PSP has been delineated as project area or the direct impact area within 10 kms radius of the main project components like, Pump house, Powerhouse, Dam, Reservoirs and approach roads, etc., comprising of about 43,718 ha. Further, the area required for the project is about 218 ha and the forest area proposed for diversion is about 160.40 ha, which is only 0.3 % of the total forest land in Ghataprabha Forest Division. The field surveys for the collection of primary data commenced from January 2018 and completed in August 2018 covering winter, pre-monsoon/summer and monsoon with an additional study in December 2019 to collect data/ information on terrestrial ecology and physical environment parameters.

The fauna of the study area has been compiled with the help of direct sighting during field survey, supplemented with secondary sources and information provided by local people during field survey in the study area. In addition, data was compiled from published literature like Prater (1998) for mammals, Daniel (2002) for reptiles and Ali & Ripley (1983) for birds.

Direct sighting and indirect evidences such as calls, signs and skeletons of mammals were recorded along the survey routes. The interviews of local villagers were conducted for the presence and relative abundance of various animal species within each locality. In addition, the data was also collected on habitat condition, animal presence by direct sighting and indirect evidences.

Transect walks along the forest trail in the study area were undertaken to observe wildlife status in each forest areas that belong to the impact or activity area of proposed project. To study the wild mammalian fauna of the study area, 2 – 5 kms long transects and trails were walked during early morning and evening hours. Direct sighting of animals as well as indirect signs like scat, pellets, pugmarks, scraps, vocalizations, horns etc. were also recorded during the survey trails. Animals and birds observed along the route were recorded, together with information on their habitat. Secondary data as well as information gathered from the locals were also noted for the presence or absence of wild animals in the area. These indirect evidences and information were analyzed and ascertained with the help of literature available.

Except Peafowl, no other RET species including the presence of Indian Grey Wolf (*Canis lupus*) was not reported in the study area in the Primary Studies carried out in respect of EIA/EMP studies of Saundatti PSP, carried out by CISMHE, a pioneer institute in India approved by Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MoEF & CC) for undertaking Bio-Diversity Studies in the Country. For Management of Peafowl (Sch-I) species, a comprehensive Wildlife management was prepared and submitted to Wildlife division of State Govt for approval.

- c. Further, with reference to the Ghataprabha Forest Division Forest Working Plan, it is pertinent to mention that though, it is general practice to refer to the working plans of adjoining forest divisions to report the presence of any RET species even though the same have not been reported (except peafowl) in the survey area proposed for diversion and Further, Working Plan includes list of common animals and birds for the entire Ghataprabha Forest Division spanning over an area of 8,885 sq. km.
- d. It is also pertinent to mention that, the company have been conducting survey and soil testing with the permission of DCF, Gokak in the proposed diversion of forest land since Oct, 2018. During the course of site investigations, we have neither sighted any Indian Grey Wolves nor any endangered animals in the proposed diversion area and surrounding areas. further, we have not sighted any endangered animals during the joint site inspections conducted along with Forest Department Officials in the proposed area.



- e. As part of EIA Studies, during interaction with the locals, it was informed that there was no sighting of Indian Grey Wolves by the local villagers in that area and there is no complaints registered regarding injury, killing of domestic animals or human beings by Indian Grey Wolves in the proposed diversion of forest land.
- f. Further, the report dated 17.06.2020 submitted by DFO, Gokak through EDS dated 26.06.2020 does not give any specific sightings/locations of Indian Grey Wolves in the proposed diversion area.
- g. Further, as per the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006 which was enacted under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act 1986, Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC), MoEF & CC has comprehensively dealt all the issues related to the project on various environmental and ecological aspects viz. wildlife aspects, flora, fauna, dam Safety, R & R issues, Land Acquisition etc. and after examining all the environmental aspects, the Committee recommended the project for Environmental Clearance.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that

- The area proposed for diversion is a flat land and it does not form suitable habitat i.e. denning sites for Indian grey wolf.
- Primary EIA Studies clearly indicates absence of Indian Grey Wolf in the area proposed for diversion which is only 0.3 % of the total forest land of Ghataprabha Forest Division.
- No family is being fully displaced in the proposed project, only partial land holding is being affected for which detailed compensation package has been prepared in the EIA/EMP report, which was duly approved by MoEF & CC while recommending the Project for Environmental Clearance.
- Further, GEPL undertakes to pay NPV to Forest Department under forest diversion proposal and shall also pay suitable compensation to the affected families falling under survey no 146 on mutual agreed basis to avoid delay in processing of the forest diversion proposal.

Hence, it is respectfully requested to kindly consider the above submissions.

Thanking you,  
Yours sincerely,

For **Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited**

N. Gow. Krishna



**Authorised Signatory**

Encl: As above

Copy to: Nodal Officer-cum-Additional Principle Chief Conservator of Forests, Bangalore for information.



Government of India  
Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change  
(FC Division)

Indira Paryavaran Bhawan  
Jor Bagh Road, Aliganj  
New Delhi-110003

Dated: <sup>24th February</sup> ~~January~~, 2019

To,  
The Principal Secretary/Secretary (Forests)  
All States/UTs Governments

**Sub: Compliance of the Schedule Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers  
(Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006-regarding**

Sir,

I am directed to refer to Forest (Conservation) amendment Rules 2016 as amended on 06th March, 2017 wherein inter-alia it has been provided that:

(e) *the District Collector shall*

(i) *complete the process of recognition and vesting of forest rights in accordance with the provisions of the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 (2 of 2007) for the entire forest land indicated in the proposal.*

(ii) *obtain consent of each Gram Sabha having jurisdiction over the whole or a part of the forest land indicated in the proposal for the diversion of such forest land and compensatory and ameliorative measures, if any, having understood the purposes and details of diversion, wherever required; and*

(iii) *forward his findings in this regard to the Conservator of Forests*

(f) *the entire process referred to in clause (e) shall be completed by the District Collector within the time period stipulated in these rules for grant of in-principle approval under the Act to the proposal.*

(g) *the Conservator of Forests shall examine the factual details and feasibility of the proposal, carry out site inspection in case the area of forest land proposed to be diverted is more than forty hectares, and forward the proposal along with his recommendations to the Nodal Office.*

I am also directed to refer to references/communications received from various State Governments seeking clarification on whether FRA certificate is to be submitted before Stage-II compliance or it has been submitted along-with proposal for seeking Stage-I approval.

It is clarified that within the time period stipulated for compliance of stage-I



condition, Deputy Commissioner shall complete the process of compliance of FRA (including forwarding its findings to the concerned CF). Hence for the purpose of the MoEF&CC, as per the provision under Forest (Conservation) amendment rules, 2016 the compliance under FRA is not required for consideration of in-principle approval. This has to be furnished by the state Govt. for consideration of the proposal by the ministry for final approval.

In view of the above, the State Governments are requested to adhere the provisions contained in Forest (Conservation) Amendment Rules, 2016 for FRA purpose while forwarding the proposal for seeking prior approval under Section 2 of Forest(Conservation) Act,1980 to this Ministry for consideration.

Yours faithfully,

(Shrawan Kumar Verma)  
Dy. Inspector General of Forests

Copy to:

1. Prime Minister's Office
2. Secretary, Ministry of Mines, Government of India
3. Secretary, Ministry of Coal, Government of India
4. Secretary, Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, Government of India
5. Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, all State/UT Governments
6. Nodal Officer, the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980, all State/ UT Governments
7. All Regional Offices, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), GoI.
8. Joint Secretary in-charge, Impact Assessment Division, MoEF&CC, GoI
9. All Assistant Inspector General of Forests/ Directors in the Forest Conservation Division, MoEF&CC, GoI/Director, ROHQ, MoEF&CC, GoI/TO(FC)/TO(ROHQ).
10. Sr. Director (Technical), NIC, MoEF&CC with a request to place a copy of the letter on website of this Ministry.
11. Sr. PPS to the Secretary, MoEF&CC
12. Sr. PPS to the Director General of Forest & Special Secretary, MoEF&CC, GoI
13. Sr. PPS to the Addl. Director General of Forests (Forest Conservation), MoEF&CC, GoI.

Yours faithfully,

(Shrawan Kumar Verma)  
Dy. Inspector General of Forests

O/C

12/3/19

Ref: IRE-Saundatti/MoEF&CC/EC/191097

Dated: 07.10.2019

To  
Director (IA.I Division)  
River Valley and Hydro Electric Projects  
Ministry of Environment Forest & Climate Change  
Government of India  
Indira Paryavaran Bhawan  
Jor Bagh Road, New Delhi – 110 003

Sub: 1260 MW Saundatti HEP (Integrated Renewable Energy with Pumped Storage Project) near Village Karlakatti, Tehsil Saundatti in Belagavi District of Karnataka by M/s Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited – regarding Environment Clearance

Dear Sir,

This has reference to your office letter F.No.-J-12011/11/2018-IA-I dated 13.06.2019 on the subject under reference wherein MOEF & CC has sought additional information on the recommendations of EAC in its meeting dated 27.02.2019. In this regard, point wise reply is herewith submitted for your kind consideration

**ADS I:**

***Breakup of the capital and recurring cost of the EMPs along with the timeline for incurring the capital cost.***

**Reply:**

The Break of the capital and recurring cost of the EMPs along with the timeline for incurring the capital cost is enclosed at **Annexure I.**

**ADS II:**

***Details of the name and number of posts to be engaged by the project proponent for implementation and monitoring of environmental parameters should be submitted.***

**Reply:**

Since, the project is at pre-construction phase and is in process of obtaining the major statutory clearances and the contractors are yet to be appointed, the details of name and number of posts to be engaged by the project proponent/contractors for implementation and monitoring of environmental parameters shall be communicated to the Ministry from time to time in the periodic six monthly reports during the construction phase.

As committed under EMP, Environment Manager of Greenko reporting directly to the project head shall ensure coordination and implementation of the EMP measures.



**ADS III:**

*An undertaking as part of the EIA report from project proponent, owing the contents (information and data) of the EIA report with the declaration about the contents of the EIA report pertaining to a project have not been copied from other EIA reports.*

**Reply:**

An undertaking is enclosed herewith as **Annexure II**.

**ADS IV:**

*As per the Ministry's OM dated 01.05.2018, for greenfield projects, an investment of 0.5 % of the project cost (Rs 5535.22 Crores) towards CER is required to be submitted. You are therefore requested to submit CER details and should depict clear time line for incurring the capital cost towards proposed activities under CER.*

**Reply:**

Revised CER plan along with budget and timeline is enclosed herewith as **Annexure III**. It may please be noted that revised project cost is Rs. 5965.33 crore and CER budget proposed has been worked as per MoEF&CC OM dated 01/05/2018 @ 0.5% of the project cost, which works out to be Rs. 2982.67 lakh.

**ADS V:**

*Point wise reply to Memorandum given during the Public Hearing by the Hon'ble MLA to DC regarding objection for implementation of power project should be submitted.*

**Reply:**

It is submitted that the issues raised in the memorandum submitted by Hon'ble MLA to DC during the Public Hearing have been duly replied by M/s GSEPL and the same were *inter-alia* replied along with other apprehensions raised in the PH venue and included in the minutes of meeting. However, as desired by MoEF & CC, the Point wise reply to Memorandum given during the Public Hearing by the Hon'ble MLA to DC is herewith enclosed at **Annexure-IV**.

**ADS VI:**

*Approved conservation plan for schedule I species by competent authority to be submitted.*

**Reply:**

Conservation plan for Schedule I species sighted in the study area i.e. peafowl is enclosed herewith as **Annexure V** and same is being submitted to the Office of Chief Wildlife Warden for approval. The plan has been prepared on similar lines as earlier approved plans for Peafowl conservation with a budget of Rs. 40.00 lakhs to be allocated specifically for this work, out of total budget of Rs. 280 lakhs allocated for Biodiversity Conservation and Wildlife Management Plan in EIA report.

In addition to the above, it is respectfully submitted that, M/s GSEPL while undertaking studies for Detailed project Report (DPR), it was evaluated that the project can be further optimized with minor change in upper reservoir, accordingly optimization studies have been carried out. Based on the optimization studies it was evaluated that by shifting the Upper Reservoir to the adjacent

hillock having gradually sloping surface from the earlier proposed george/valley, the project shall result in

- An increase in MWH from earlier **8.0 hr to 10.90 hr** with the same quantity of water.
- The Gross Storage capacity has been optimized from 1.75 TMC to 1.03 TMC.
- Dead Storage reduces from 0.494 TMC to 0.03 TMC
- Storage Capacity Increased from 11340 MWH to 13734 MWH
- Except shifting of Upper Reservoir, all other project component locations remain same.
- Apart from increase in MWH, civil structures like HRT and Surge Shaft had been eliminated reducing the overall length of water conductor system.
- The total land requirement has been reduced from 228.97 ha to 213.70 Ha
- Forest land has been reduced from 169.97 ha to 160.40 ha.
- The density of Forest land also changes from Medium Dense Forest Type to Open forest type.
- All the Project Affected Villages and the Project Affected Persons remains the same.

The updated PFR is enclosed as **Annexure-VI**. The detailed comparative statement of salient features of earlier proposal and the proposed modified proposal is enclosed at **Annexure-VII**.

With the shift of the Upper Reservoir, there is no significant change in the study area. The Comparative layout map highlighting the study area superimposed with the present and earlier is enclosed at **Annexure-VIII** for your ready reference.

Further, the total land requirement has slightly reduced to 213.70 ha from earlier estimated land requirement of 228.97 ha. Forest land required to be diverted for the project is reduced to 160.40 ha from 169.97 ha and private land requirement to 34.64 ha from earlier estimate of 37.34 ha as can be seen from comparative table below. There is no change in project affected villages and project affected families also remain unchanged.

Break-up of the land (Ha)	Updated Land Requirement (Ha)	Land Requirement as per EIA Report (Ha)
<b>Forest Area (Ha)</b>	<b>160.40</b>	167.65
Surface	153.93	162.63
Underground	6.47	5.02
<b>Non-Forest</b>	<b>53.3</b>	61.32
Private	34.64	37.34
Govt/PWD	18.66	23.98
<b>Total</b>	<b>213.70</b>	<b>228.97</b>

Necessary application for diversion of forest land due to change in location of upper reservoir has been submitted online to State Forest Dept. /MoEF & CC.




In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that, since the optimization of the earlier layout has not resulted in any significant change in the study area and land requirement; further, the total PAF and Project Affected Villages remains the same, as there is no change in private land acquisition except change in forest land which is being processed under the provisions of FC Act 1980. The modified proposal may kindly be approved.

Thanking you,

Yours truly,

For M/s Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited

  
Authorised Signatory

Encl: As above

**Point wise reply to the Memorandum of Shri Mahantesh Koujalagi, Member of Legislative Assembly (MLA), Bailahongal, Belagavi District, Karnataka State to DC during the Public Hearing of Standalone Component of Saundatti (1200 MW) PSP held on 07-01-2019 being developed by M/s Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited (GSEPL).**

S.No	Apprehension	Reply by M/s Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited (GSEPL)
1.	They should clarify that there won't be any problem for drinking water for the nearby towns.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The live storage of Renukasagar reservoir is 34.346 TMC i.e. below the intake sill level.</li> <li>• M/s GSEPL shall draw 1 TMC of water on non-consumptive basis only one time, that too at a suitable time during monsoon period and that water will be our live storage in PSP which shall be kept on recycling (i.e. - pumping up and then releasing back into Renukasagar reservoir), every day.</li> <li>• M/s GSEPL will neither store nor consume that water. So, there will be no shortage of water for irrigation purposes.</li> <li>• Regarding the dead storage of 0.75 TMC in the Upper reservoir of PSP, it is to clarify that the same will be met from rain water harvesting in the reservoir/ project area during construction period of around 3 years and some water from the lower reservoir area during monsoon periods.</li> <li>• The State Govt after careful examination of the water requirements in the project area has granted permission for allocation of water for the project on non-consumptive water from Renukasagar by re-circulation. Hence, the construction of project will not cause any water shortage in the project area.</li> </ul>
2.	While lifting water they should clarify that there will not be any problem for anyone.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The dead storage of Renukasagar reservoir is 3.385 TMC. M/s GSEPL shall be drawing only 1 TMC on non-consumptive basis i.e. recycling- pumping up and then releasing back into Renukasagar reservoir, every day.</li> <li>• M/s GSEPL will neither store nor consume that water and the same water shall be utilised for power generation subsequently. So, the apprehension that there will be no shortage of water for drinking water purposes due to this is not true.</li> </ul>



S.No	Apprehension	Reply by M/s Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited (GSEPL)
3.	They should clarify that there won't be any problem for the surrounding Environment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The proposed Project is a green energy project and it doesn't adversely affect the local environment.</li> <li>• That while constructing the project some amount of dust pollution may occur and in order to reduce such impact we will be using water sprinkler and use the new latest technologies and state of the art equipment for example during the construction of Bangalore metro involving tunnel construction latest technologies were used and tunnels were constructed below the existing buildings.</li> <li>• All the mitigation measures proposed in the EMP shall be followed in to-to as per the recommendations of EAC/MOEF &amp; CC.</li> </ul>
4.	The people of this region are dependent only on Malaprabha River and hence they should clarify that there won't be any problem for the Villages and towns who are dependent on Malaprabha River.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is submitted that the proposed PSP is different from conventional Hydro power project. While the water used for power generation in conventional Hydro project will flow away, the water from PSP will not get consumed and same quantum of water will be recycled on daily basis for power generation. So, the allocation of 1 TMC of water to the proposed PSP on non-consumptive basis will not affect the share of water for consumption.</li> <li>• Further, the water for PSP shall be drawn only at appropriate time i.e. during Monsoon season or in surplus period accordingly.</li> <li>• Hence, the apprehension that construction of project will not cause any water shortage in the project area is not true.</li> </ul>

## **Justification for Location of the Project in Forest Area**

### **1. Standalone Pumped Storage Component of Saundatti I.R.E.P**

Pumped Storage Project (PSP) is a type of hydroelectric energy storage. Pumped Storage Project (PSP) plays an important role for load balancing of electricity grids. It is a configuration of two water reservoirs at different elevations that can generate power (discharge) as water moves down through a turbine; this draws power as it pumps water (recharge) to the upper reservoir. PSH capabilities can be characterized as open loop-where there is an ongoing hydrologic connection to a natural body of water-or closed loop, where the reservoirs are not connected to an outside body of water.

Pumped-storage hydroelectricity allows energy from intermittent sources (such as solar, wind) and other renewables, or excess electricity from continuous base-load sources (such as coal or nuclear) to be saved for periods of higher demand. The reservoirs used with pumped storage are quite small when compared to conventional hydroelectric dams of similar power capacity, and generating periods are often less to meet the peak power requirement/power on demand. Pumped storage is the largest-capacity form of grid energy storage available in the country.

Considering the PSP scheme, the main requirement of PSP is the specialist nature of the site required, needing both geographical height and water availability. Suitable sites are therefore likely to be in hilly or mountainous regions which are invariably with forest cover and water source in proximity.

The optimized lay out of project has minimal impact on the environment and requires unavoidable minimum Forestland. As the Dam/Embankment can be planned only on the hill top which is forestland these necessarily require the diversion of forest land. However, only the unavoidable minimum forestland and site-specific Project components have been envisaged. Locations of all the components have been fixed after proper survey and investigations and after proper examination of available alternatives.

Accordingly, Saundatti PSP envisages construction of Upper reservoir (proposed) to be located on the flat / gradually sloping forest land on small hillock portion along with Intake Structure, Penstock / Pressure Shaft, Power House, Tail Race Outlet and Tail Race Channel and the Renuka Sagar reservoir (Existing) is under operation with a live storage capacity of 29.34 TMC and shall be utilized as Saundatti PSP Lower reservoir. The various alternatives considered for optimal Project layout is highlighted below:

### **2. Alternatives considered for locating the Project in Forest Area**

The Standalone Pumped storage component of Saundatti IREP envisages construction of Upper reservoir (proposed) located in Saundatti Taluk of Belagavi District. The Renuka Sagar reservoir (Existing) is under operation with a live storage capacity of 29.34 TMC and utilized as Saundatti PSP Lower reservoir and is proposed for the live storage capacity of 1.00 TMC. Two alternative layouts for this scheme were studied.





**Alternative -1:** Upper reservoir is located on adjacent hillock having gradually sloping surface and this scheme comprises of Intake Structure, Penstock / Pressure Shaft, Power House, Tail Race Outlet and Tail Race Channel

**Alternative-2:** Upper reservoir is located on the natural depression and this scheme comprises of Intake Structure, Head Race Tunnel, Surge Shaft, Penstock / Pressure Shaft, Power House, Tail Race Outlet and Tail Race Channel

#### **Alternative-1**

In Alternative -1, the Upper reservoir is proposed to be located on the flat / gradually sloping land wherein on one side a small hillock portion exists which has to be excavated up to the desired level. Considering this location, the area capacity calculation has been carried out and found that the location is suitable for creating the gross storage capacity of 1.03 TMC in which live storage capacity is 1 TMC and dead storage capacity is 0.03 TMC by keeping FRL and MDDL at EL 855.00m & EL 825.00m respectively. For creating this storage, it is proposed to construct rockfill embankment with maximum height of 38 for the length of 5177m. This layout of the scheme comprises the following components:

- Intake Structure
- Penstock / Pressure Shaft
- Power House
- Tail Race Outlet
- Tail Race Channel

With respect to the existing Renuka Sagar Lower reservoir FRL of EL 693.83m & MDDL of EL 623.93m, the rated head is arrived to 205.12m after considering the hydraulic losses. Accordingly, the installed capacity was arrived to 1260 MW with the storage capacity of 13734 MWH for 10.9 hours.

#### **Alternative - 2**

In Alternative -2, the Upper reservoir is proposed to be located on the natural depression. Considering this location, the area capacity calculation has been carried out and found that the location is suitable for creating the storage. The gross storage capacity is worked out to be 1.64 TMC in which the dead storage capacity is 0.494 TMC and the live storage capacity requirement is 1.146 TMC by keeping FRL and MDDL at EL 793.00m & EL 751.00m respectively. For creating this storage, it is proposed to construct rockfill embankment with the maximum height of 96m and for the length of 480m. This layout of the scheme comprises the following components:

- Intake Structure
- Head Race Tunnel
- Surge Shaft
- Penstock / Pressure Shaft
- Power House
- Tail Race Outlet



➤ Tail Race Channel

With respect to the existing Renuka Sagar Lower reservoir FRL of EL 693.83m & MDDL of EL 623.93 m, the rated head is arrived to 146.02m after considering the hydraulic losses. Accordingly, the installed capacity was arrived to 1260 MW with the storage capacity of 11340 MWH for 8.0 hours.

**Considering the above two alternatives, Alternative -1 has been selected based on the following reasons:**

- The Gross Storage capacity has been optimized from 1.75 TMC to 1.03 TMC.
- Dead Storage reduces from 0.494 TMC to 0.03 TMC
- Storage Capacity Increased from 11340 MWH to 13734 MWH
- Except shifting of Upper Reservoir, all other project component locations remain same.
- Apart from increase in MWH, civil structures like HRT and Surge Shaft had been eliminated reducing the overall length of water conductor system.
- The total land requirement has been reduced from 228.97 ha to 213.70 Ha
- Forest land has been reduced from 169.97 ha to 160.40 ha.
- less vegetation in the Upper reservoir area compared to Alternative – 2 Upper reservoir location
- All the Project Affected Villages and the Project Affected Persons remains the same.

Accordingly, the layout for **Alternative -1** has been optimized and the proposed Scheme involves construction of Rockfill embankment of height 38 m for creation of Saundatti PSP Upper reservoir of 1.03 TMC gross capacity. Intake structure and trash rack for five numbers of independent penstocks in which one penstock will be bifurcated into two penstock as hydraulic short circuit to connect two units will be taking off from Saundatti PSP Upper reservoir. Surface Power House will be located at about 776m from the intake structure and shall be equipped with four vertical-axis reversible Francis type units composed each of a generator/motor and a pump/turbine having generating/pumping capacity of 252MW/303MW and two units of 126MW/170MW respectively.





MAP DEPICTING ALTERNATIVES EXAMINED FOR PROPOSED P.S.P

SCALE : 1:50000

TOPO SHEET NO : D43C13 & D43D1

*Open*

SAUNDATTI DAM &amp; SUBMERGENCE

~~Fairly dense scrub~~

UPPER RESERVOIR

ALTERNATIVE-1

Murgod  
Tānda

**Mallūr**

Kapila Tānda

Phanwar 53 km

## Rocky knobs

*Dhūpdāl*

### LEGEND

— ALTERNATIVE-1  
— ALTERNATIVE-2

Greenko

GREENKO SOLAR ENERGY PVT. LTD.

**PROJECT:** STANDALONE PUMPED STORAGE COMPONENT,  
SAUNDATTI INTEGRATED RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECT

TITLE: **ALTERNATE LAYOUT STUDY**

AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY:

PAPER SIZE : A

VERIFIED SIGNATORY:  
Prakash







ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

( ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ )

ತಹಶೀಲ್ದಾರ ಹಾಗೂ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಾ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ದಂಡಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ, ಸವದತ್ತಿ

Office of the Tahashildar &amp; Taluka Executive Magistrate Saundatti.

ದೂರವಾಣಿ/fax:-08330-222223

Email:- temsdt@gmail.com

ನಂ.ಎಲ್‌ಎನ್‌ಡಿ/ಎವಿ-2/2020-21

ದಿನಾಂಕ:- 14.09.2020

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು,  
ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ, ಇವರಿಗೆ.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಸವದತ್ತಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕಾರ್ಲಕಟ್ಟಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ರಿ.ಸ.ನಂ 138 & 146 ರಲ್ಲಿ 63.12 ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್, ಚಕ್ರಗೇರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ರಿ.ಸ.ನಂ 128, 129 & 130 ರಲ್ಲಿ 46.33 ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಗಿಹಾಳ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ರಿ.ಸ.ನಂ 4 & 3 ರಲ್ಲಿ 50.95 ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಭೂಮಿಯ Implementation of process of settlement of Forest Rights on Forest Land (160.40 Ha) proposed for diversion of 1260 MW Standalone pumped Storage Component of Saundatti IREP in Belagavi District of Karnataka being implemented ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಗೊಳಿಸಲು ನಿರಪೇಕ್ಷಣಾ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ನೀಡುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ, Forest (Conservation) Act - 1980 ensuring compliance of the Scheduled Tribes and other traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act 2006 ರಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ No alternative suitable non-forest land ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ನೀಡುವ ಕುರಿತು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ನೀಡುವ ಕುರಿತು.

- ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: 1) ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಪರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಇವರ ಪತ್ರ  
ನಂ:ಕಂಶಾ:ಎಲ್‌ಎನ್‌ಡಿ:ಸಿಆರ್-406:2019-20 ದಿನಾಂಕ: 1.1.2020  
2) ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಾ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ವರ್ಗಗಳ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಸವದತ್ತಿ ಇವರ ಪತ್ರ  
ಸಂ:ತಾಪಕಅಸ:ಅರಣ್ಯ ಹಕ್ಕು:ಸಿಆರ್- :2019-20 ದಿ:6.9.2019  
3) ಕಂದಾಯ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಕರು ಸವದತ್ತಿ ಇವರ ವರದಿ ನಂ:ಆರ್‌ಆರ್‌ಎಸ್/ಎಸ್‌ಆರ್-  
185/2020-21 ದಿ:08.08.2020  
4) ಕಂದಾಯ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಕರು ಮುರಗೋಡ ಇವರ ವರದಿ  
ನಂ:ಕಂನಿಮು:ಎಲ್‌ಎನ್‌ಡಿ:ಸಿಆರ್- :2020-21 ದಿ: 11.09.2020

ಮೇಲ್ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ, ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ (1) ರ ಪತ್ರದಡಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಪರ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ ಇವರು ಸವದತ್ತಿ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕಾರ್ಲಕಟ್ಟಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ರಿ.ಸ.ನಂ 138 & 146 ರಲ್ಲಿ 63.12 ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್, ಚಕ್ರಗೇರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ರಿ.ಸ.ನಂ 128, 129 & 130 ರಲ್ಲಿ 46.33 ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಗಿಹಾಳ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ರಿ.ಸ.ನಂ 4 & 3 ರಲ್ಲಿ 50.95 ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು Implementation of process of settlement of Forest Rights on Forest Land (160.40 Ha) proposed for diversion of 1260 MW Standalone pumped Storage Component of Saundatti IREP in Belagavi District of Karnataka being implemented ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಗೊಳಿಸಲು ನಿರಪೇಕ್ಷಣಾ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ನೀಡುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ, Forest (Conservation) Act -



1980 ensuring compliance of the Scheduled Tribes and other traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act 2006 ರಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ No alternative suitable non-forest land ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ನೀಡುವ ಕುರಿತು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ನೀಡುವಂತೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಅದರಂತೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ನಿರೀಕ್ಷಕರು ಸವದತ್ತಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಮುರಗೋಡ ಇವರಿಂದ ವರದಿ ಪಡೆಯಲಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಮಾನ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಸಾದರ ಪಡಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸವದತ್ತಿ ತಾಲೂಕಿನ ಕಾರ್ಲಕಟ್ಟೆ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ರಿ.ಸ.ನಂ 138 & 146 ರಲ್ಲಿ 63.12. ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್, ಚಕ್ರಗೇರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ರಿ.ಸ.ನಂ 128, 129 & 130 ರಲ್ಲಿ 46.33 ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್ ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಗಿಹಾಳ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ರಿ.ಸ.ನಂ 4 & 3 ರಲ್ಲಿ 50.95 ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್ ಜಮೀನುಗಳು ಫಾರೆಸ್ಟ್ ಜಮೀನುಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದೇ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿ, ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಪಂಗಡ ಅಥವಾ ಇತರೆ ಜನಾಂಗವದವರಿಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡುವ ಅಥವಾ ಬೇರೆ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಜಮೀನು ಮಂಜೂರ ಆಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದರಂತೆ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ (2) ರಡಿ ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ವರ್ಗಗಳ ಕಲ್ಯಾಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು, ಸವದತ್ತಿ ಇವರು ಸದರಿ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಜಮೀನುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ The Scheduled Tribes and Tribals, other traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act 2006 ರನ್ವಯ ಬಾಧಿತರಾಗುವ ಯಾವ ಫಲಾನುಭವಿಗಳು ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ Integrated renewable Energy Project Consisting of 1260 MW standalone pumped storage Energy Project ನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದಾಗಿದೆ ಅಂತಾ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವನ್ನು ನೀಡಿದ್ದು ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

ಮುಂದುವರೆದು, ಈ ಮೇಲಿನ ಎಲ್ಲ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ವಯ ಈ ಯೋಜನೆ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನಗೊಳಿಸಲು, ಸದರಿ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಪ್ರದೇಶದ ಹೊರತಾಗಿ ಬೇರೆ ಪ್ರದೇಶವು ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿಸಲಾದ Forest Diversion ಪ್ರದೇಶವು ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಬುಡಕಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರದೇಶವಲ್ಲದ ಕಾರಣ FRA 2006 ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಒಳಪಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಹಾಗಾಗಿ FRA ಪ್ರಕಾರ Identification and settlement of rights ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾಪಿತ Forest Diversion ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾಚೀನ ಬುಡಕಟ್ಟು, ಗುಂಪು ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಅರಣ್ಯವಾಸಿಗಳು ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ, Forest (Conservation) Act - 1980 ensuring compliance of the Scheduled Tribes and Tribals, other traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act 2006 ರಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ No alternative suitable non-forest land ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ನೀಡಬಹುದಾಗಿದೆ ಅಂತಾ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಆದರ ಪೂರ್ವಕ ಸಾದರಪಡಿಸಿದೆ.

ಗೌರವಪೂರ್ವಕ ವಂದನೆಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,  
  
ಸವದತ್ತಿ



ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

R.P.A.D.

## ಮುದ್ರಣ, ಲೇಖನ ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಗಳ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ

ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಮುದ್ರಣಾಲಯ, 8ನೇ ಮೈಲಿ, ಆರ್.ಎ. ಕಾಲೇಜು ಆಂಚೆ, ಮೈಸೂರು ರಸ್ತೆ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-59

ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಡಿಹಿಎಸ್ 62 ಆರ್‌ಆರ್‌ಎ 2019-20/ 1740

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 23-12-2019  
26

ಇವರಿಗೆ,

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ.ಜಿನ್ನರಾಜು,

ಎ-14, ಗೋಪಾಲನ್ ಅರ್ಬನ್ ವುಡ್ಸ್.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೃಷ್ಣ ದೇವಾಲಯ ರಸ್ತೆ,

ಮಹದೇವಪುರ ಅಂಚೆ,

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560048.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 2005 ರಡಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕೋರಿ ನೀವು ದಿನಾಂಕ  
22-11-2019ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ/ದಾಖಲೆ ಒದಗಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

\*\*\*\*\*

ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 2005ರಡಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕೋರಿ ನೀವು ದಿನಾಂಕ:22-11-2019 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ  
ಅರ್ಜಿ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ:06-12-2019ರಂದು ಸ್ವೀಕೃತವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದರಂತೆ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ  
ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕ್ರಮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ ಮಾಹಿತಿ	ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವ ಮಾಹಿತಿ/ದಾಖಲೆ
1	Govt. Order No.AFD 453 FGL 69 Dated:18-10-1969	ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
2	Govt. Notification No.RD 453 FGL 69 Dated:18-10-1969	

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

26/12/19  
ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ  
ಹಾಗೂ ಉಪ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು  
(ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ಮತ್ತು ಲೆಕ್ಕಪತ್ರ)





ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ


ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಅಪಜೀ 05 ಎಫ್‌ಜಿಎಲ್ 2019-E

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ  
ಬಹುಮಹಡಿಗಳ ಕಟ್ಟಡ  
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಿನಾಂಕ: 14.08.2019

ಹಿಂಬರಹ

ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, 2005ರಡಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ: 22.05.2019ರಂದು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ  
ಮನವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ G.O. No. AFD 453 FGL69 dated: 18/10-69 ರ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡುವಂತೆ  
ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತೀರಿ.

ಈ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಡತವು 1969ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನದಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ ಕಡತವು  
ಲಭ್ಯವಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಒದಗಿಸುವಂತೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ದಾಖಲೆ (General Record Section) ಶಾಖೆಗೆ  
ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ದಾಖಲೆ ಶಾಖೆಯು ಕಡತವನ್ನು  
ಪ್ರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಹಿಂಬರಹವನ್ನು ನೀಡಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

  
(ಅರ್ಚನ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್)

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಹಾಗೂ


ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಅರಣ್ಯ, ಪರಿಸರ ಮತ್ತು ಜೀವಿಶಾಸ್ತ್ರ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಅರಣ್ಯ-ಸಿ)

ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 080-22032205

ಇವರಿಗೆ,

ಶ್ರೀ ನಾಮದೇವಬಿಕ್ಕೋಜಿ, ನಂ. 4043, 6ನೇ ಕ್ರಾಸ್, ಬಸವನಗರ, ಆಕ್ಸ್‌ಫರ್ಡ್, ಸ್ಕೂಲ್ ಲೇನ್,  
ಮುರಗೋಡ ರೋಡ್, ಬೈಲಹೊಂಗಲ, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ-591102.

  
(Namasw.3)



ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಅಪಜೀ 10 ಎಫ್‌ಜಿಎಲ್ 2019-E

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ  
ಬಹುಮಹಡಿಗಳ ಕಟ್ಟಡ  
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಿನಾಂಕ: 14.08.2019

ಹಿಂಬರಹ

ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, 2005ರಡಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ: 29.05.2019ರಂದು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಮನವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ G.O. No. AFD 453 FGL 1969 dated: 18.10.1969 ರ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡುವಂತೆ ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತೀರಿ.

ಈ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಡತವು 1969ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನದ್ದಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ ಕಡತವು ಲಭ್ಯವಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಒದಗಿಸುವಂತೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ದಾಖಲೆ (General Record Section) ಶಾಖೆಗೆ ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ನೀಡಲಾಗಿತ್ತು ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ದಾಖಲೆ ಶಾಖೆಯು ಕಡತವನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಹಿಂಬರಹವನ್ನು ನೀಡಿದ್ದು, ತಾವು ಕೋರಿದ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯ ಕಡತವು ಶಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ/ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ದಾಖಲೆ ಶಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ (ಅರ್ಚನ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್)

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಹಾಗೂ

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಅರಣ್ಯ, ಪರಿಸರ ಮತ್ತು ಜೀವಿಶಾಸ್ತ್ರ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಅರಣ್ಯ-ಸಿ)

ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 080-22032205

ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ನಂ. 4043, 6ನೇ ಕ್ರಾಸ್, ಬಸವನಗರ, ಮುರಗೋಡ ರೋಡ್, ಬೈಲಹೊಂಗಲ, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ-591102.

By post  
14/8/2019  
4





ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಅಪಜೀ 05 ಎಫ್‌ಜಿಎಲ್ 2019

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಸಚಿವಾಲಯ  
ಬಹುಮಹಡಿಗಳ ಕಟ್ಟಡ,  
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 21.6.2019

ಹಿಂಬರಹ

ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, 2005ರಡಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ: 22.05.2019 ರಂದು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ  
ಮನವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ G.O. No. AFD 453 FGL 69 dated: 18.10.1969 ರ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು  
ನೀಡುವಂತೆ ಕೋರಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಈ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕಡತವು 1969ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನದ್ದಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ  
ಕಡತವು ಲಭ್ಯವಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಒದಗಿಸುವಂತೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ದಾಖಲೆ (General Record Section) ಶಾಖೆಗೆ  
ಕೋರಲಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ಇವರಿಗೆ:

ಶ್ರೀ ನಾಮದೇವಬಿಕ್ಕೋಜಿ, ನಂ. 4043, 6ನೇ ಕ್ರಾಸ್, ಬಸವನಗರ, ಆಕ್ಸ್‌ಫರ್ಡ್, ಸ್ಕೂಲ್ ಲೇಸ್,  
ಮುರಗೋಡ ರೋಡ್, ಬೈಲಹೊಂಗಲ, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ-591102.

(ಅರ್ಚನ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್)  
ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಹಾಗೂ  
ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ  
ಅರಣ್ಯ, ಪರಿಸರ ಮತ್ತು ಜೀವಿಶಾಸ್ತ್ರ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಅರಣ್ಯ-ಸಿ)  
ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 080-22032205.

Today  
27/6/19



ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿ

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY

ದಿನಾಂಕ : 14-06-2019

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: CS/481418 /Comp/ 2019

ಇಂದ,

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು

ವಿಧಾನ ಸೌಧ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560 001

ಇವರಿಗೆ,

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಪರ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಆಪ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು,

ಅರಣ್ಯ, ಪರಿಸರ ಮತ್ತು ಜೀವಶಾಸ್ತ್ರ ಇಲಾಖೆ, ಬಹುಮಹಡಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ,

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು. (Phone No. 22032509)

ಹಾಸ್ಯರೆ,

ವಿಷಯ : ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದಡಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕುರಿತು.

\*\*\*\*\*

ಈ ಕೆಳಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, 2005 ರ ಕಲಂ 6(1) ಮತ್ತು 7(1) ರಡಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕೋರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು	ಪೋಸ್ಟಲ್ ಆಡರ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ರೂ.	ಅರ್ಜಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ	ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ
Namadev Bikkogi	46F 638693	10	29-05-2019	06-06-2019

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ : 06-06-2019 ರಂದು ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತು. ವಿಚಾರವು ಅವರ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಗೆ ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹಿಂದಿರುಗಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿನ ವಿಷಯವು ತಮ್ಮ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಗೊಳಪಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 2005 ರ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 6(3) ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತಮಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಿದೆ. ನಿಯಮಾನುಸಾರ ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಈ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು 30 ದಿನಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ನೇರವಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಹಾಗೂ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಒದಗಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಅರ್ಜಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿರುವ ಪೋಸ್ಟಲ್ ಆಡರ್‌ನ ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಖಜಾನೆಗೆ ಜಮಾ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಿ.ಆ.ಸು. ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಲೆಕ್ಕಪತ್ರ-1) ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಪೋಸ್ಟಲ್ ಆಡರ್‌ನ ನಕಲು ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಇದರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ನಂಬುಗೆಯ,

*[Signature]*

(ಶೈಲಜ) 14/6/19

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ  
ಹಾಗೂ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ  
ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿ.

ಪ್ರತಿ: ಶ್ರೀ ವಾಹುದೇವಜಿಕ್ಕೋಜಿ, ನಂ. 4043, 6ನೇ ಕ್ರಾಸ್, ಬಸವನಗರ, ಆಕ್ಸ್‌ಫರ್ಡ್, ಸ್ಕೂಲ್ ಲೇನ್, ಮುರಗೇಡ ರೋಡ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು. ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ-591102

ತಾವು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿನ ವಿಷಯವು ಅರಣ್ಯ, ಪರಿಸರ ಮತ್ತು ಜೀವಶಾಸ್ತ್ರ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸದರಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕುರಿತಂತೆ ಸದರಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಒದಗಿಸದಿದ್ದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ, ಆ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿನ ಸಕ್ಷಮ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬಹುದಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಆಪ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರು ಪ್ರಥಮ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರವಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಕೊಠಡಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 363 ಡಿ, 3ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ, ವಿಧಾನ ಸೌಧ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560 001. ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 080-22033159.

Room No.363 D, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Vidhana Soudha, Bengaluru-560 001. Phone: 080-22033159

Mail Id: officeofcs@gmail.com





ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿ

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY

CS/H8/H8/19-RTI

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: CS/22484 /Comp/ 2019

ದಿನಾಂಕ : 06-06-2019

ಇಂದ,

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು

ವಿಧಾನ ಸೌಧ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560 001

ಇವರಿಗೆ,

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು ಹಾಗೂ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ,

ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಭೂ ಮಂಜುರಾತಿ)

ಬಹುಮಹಡಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು- 560.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ : ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮದಡಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ ಕುರಿತು.

\*\*\*\*\*


ಈ ಕೆಳಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, 2005 ರ ಕಲಂ 6(1) ಮತ್ತು 7(1) ರಡಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕೋರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು	ಪೋಸ್ಟಲ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ರೂ.	ಅರ್ಜಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ	ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ
Namadev Bikkaji	46F 638693	10	29-05-2019	06-06-2019

ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿನ ವಿಷಯವು ತಮ್ಮ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಗೊಳಪಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 2005 ರ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 6(3) ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತಮಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಿದೆ. ನಿಯಮಾನುಸಾರ ಅಗತ್ಯ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಂಡು ಈ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು 30 ದಿನಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ ನೇರವಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಹಾಗೂ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಒದಗಿಸಿದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಅರ್ಜಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿರುವ ಪೋಸ್ಟಲ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್‌ನ ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ವಿಜಾನೆಗೆ ಜಮಾ ಮಾಡಲು ಸಿ.ಆ.ಸು. ಇಲಾಖೆ (ಲೆಕ್ಕಪತ್ರ-1) ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಪೋಸ್ಟಲ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್‌ನ ನಕಲು ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಇದರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ನಂಬುಗೆಯ,

  
(ಕೈಲಿಖ) 6/6/19

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ  
ಹಾಗೂ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ  
ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿ.

ಪ್ರತಿ: ಶ್ರೀ ನಾಮದೇವಬಿಕ್ಕೋಜಿ, ನಂ. 4043, 6ನೇ ಕ್ರಾಸ್, ಬಸವನಗರ, ಆಕ್ಸ್‌ಫರ್ಡ್, ಸ್ಕೂಲ್ ಲೇನ್, ಮುರಗೇಡ ರೋಡ್, ಬೈಲಹೊಂಗಲ, ಬೆಳಗಾವಿ-591102.

ತಾವು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿನ ವಿಷಯವು ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದುದರಿಂದ ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸದರಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ತಮ್ಮ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಕುರಿತಂತೆ ಸದರಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯು ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಒದಗಿಸದಿದ್ದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ, ಆ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿನ ಸಕ್ಷಮ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬಹುದಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರ ಆಪ್ತ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯವರು ಪ್ರಥಮ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿ ಪ್ರಾಧಿಕಾರವಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಕೂಲಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 363 ಡಿ, 3ನೇ ಮಹಡಿ, ವಿಧಾನ ಸೌಧ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560 001. ದೂರವಾಣಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 080-22033159.

Room No.363 D, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Vidhana Soudha, Bengaluru-560 001. Phone: 080-22033159

Mail Id: officeofcs@gmail.com

## ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಇಲಾಖೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಪ್ರಮುಅಸಂ(ಹೆಚ್‌ಒಎಫ್‌ಎಫ್)/ಸಾಂಖ್ಯಿಕ/ಮಾ.ಹಕ್ಕು-25/2019-20

ಪ್ರಧಾನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿ  
(ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರು, ಅರಣ್ಯ ಪಡೆ)ಯವರ ಕಛೇರಿ,  
ಅರಣ್ಯ ಭವನ, ಮಲ್ಲೇಶ್ವರಂ,  
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 31-05-2019  
01 06

ಇವರಿಗೆ,  
ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧೀನ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ,  
ಅರಣ್ಯ, ಪರಿಸರ ಮತ್ತು ಜೀವಶಾಸ್ತ್ರ ಇಲಾಖೆ,  
ಬಹುಮಹಡಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ,  
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 2005ರಡಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.  
ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಶ್ರೀ ನಾಮದೇವ ಬಿಕ್ಕೋಜಿ, ನಂ.4043, 6ನೇ ಕ್ರಾಸ್, ಬಸವನಗರ,  
ಆಕ್ಸ್‌ಫರ್ಡ್ ಸ್ಕೂಲ್ ಲೇನ್, ಮುರಗೋಡು ರಸ್ತೆ, ಬೈಲಹೊಂಗಲ -  
591102 (ಅರ್ಜಿ ಈ ಶಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವೀಕೃತಿಯಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕ:  
30/05/2019)

ನಿ ನಿ ನಿ

ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 2005ರಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಕೋರಿ ಶ್ರೀ ನಾಮದೇವ ಬಿಕ್ಕೋಜಿ, ಬೈಲಹೊಂಗಲ ರವರು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಕೋರಿರುವ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯು ತಮ್ಮ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಹಕ್ಕು ಕಾಯಿದೆ 2005ರ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 6(3)ರಡಿ ಮೂರು ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ವರ್ಗಾಯಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಹಾಗೂ ಅರ್ಜಿಯೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲಾದ ಇಂಡಿಯನ್ ಪೋಸ್ಟಲ್ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 46ಎಫ್ 638676 ರೂ.10/-, 46ಎಫ್ 638677 ರೂ.10/- ಮತ್ತು 46ಎಫ್ 638678 ರೂ.10/- ಒಟ್ಟು ರೂ.30/- ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ನಗದು ಶಾಖೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಅಪರ ಪ್ರಧಾನ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿ  
(ಸಂಪರ್ಕ ಮತ್ತು ಮಾಹಿತಿ)

ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀ ನಾಮದೇವ ಬಿಕ್ಕೋಜಿ, ನಂ.4043, 6ನೇ ಕ್ರಾಸ್, ಬಸವನಗರ, ಆಕ್ಸ್‌ಫರ್ಡ್ ಸ್ಕೂಲ್ ಲೇನ್, ಮುರಗೋಡು ರಸ್ತೆ, ಬೈಲಹೊಂಗಲ - 591102ರವರಿಗೆ ಆರ್.ಪಿ.ಎ.ಡಿ. ಮುಖಾಂತರ ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.



Application for obtaining information under the Right to Information  
Act, 2005

Date: 09/11/2020

To,  
Public Information Officer RTI Office,  
Pune Archives, 12, Bund Garden Road,  
Opposite Council Hall, Pune-411001



1. **Full Name of the Applicant :** Kharade Sambhaji Shivaji  
(Greenkogroup)  
**Address of the Applicant:** Plot No- 17, Shivanjali Apartment,  
At- Aagashivnagar, Post- Malkapur Tal-Karad, Dist-Satara

2. **Particulars of information required:**

- a. Short summary of required information

Government Orders mentioned below:

✓ AFD 453 FGL 69 Dated 18.10.1969

✓ AFD 81 FGL 70 Dated 10.03.1970

✓ AFD 205 FGL 70

- b. **Whether the information required by Post or in Person:**

By Post. I need the photocopy of the documents. In case I am required to pay for photocopying of the documents and letters, you are requested to please inform me of the amount required to be paid by me for the purpose of photocopying the documents and letters sought. I undertake to deposit the amount.

- c. **Whether the Applicant is below poverty line:**  
N.A.

- d. **Fee Details:**

Requisite RTI application fee for Rs.10/- is being remitted vide Indian Postal Order No 48F 957421 dated 05/11/2020 is enclosed).

लिपिक

पुणे पुरालेखागार, पुणे  
१२ बंडगार्डन रोड, विद्यानभवन समोर,  
पुणे - ४११००१




I hereby inform that following formalities have been completed by me:

1. That I am 'Citizen' of India and I am asking the information as 'Citizen'.
2. I assure that I shall not allow/ cause to use/ pass/share/display/ or circulate the information received in any case and or in any manner which would be detrimental to the Unity and Sovereignty or against the Interest of India.

If the information is not available in your office, kindly forward to the concerned public authority as per section 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Yours sincerely,



**Sambhaji Shivaji Kharade**  
**(Greenkogroup)**

Shivanjali apartment

Plot No.17, Ayodhya Nagari

Dhebewadi Road, At- Aagashivnagar,

Post Malkapur Tal. Karad Dist. Satara.

**Mob: 9021001236**



[illegible]



पुरामिलेख संचालनालयतर्गत

पुणे पुरालेखागार पुणे

महाराष्ट्र शासन,

१२, बंड गार्डन रोड, विधानभवनसमोर, प्रशासकीय इमारती शेजारी, पुणे - ४११ ००१

दूरध्वनी :- ०२०-२६१२७३०७, ई-मेल :- punearchives@gmail.com

जा.क्र.माअअ/६०/संशिख/२०२०/१७८६

दिनांक :- 10 DEC 2020

प्रति,

श्री. शिवाजी संभाजी खराडे,  
प्लॉट नं.१७ शिवांजली अपार्टमेंट,  
मु.आगाशिवनगर पोस्ट मलकापूर,  
ता. कराड जि. सातारा.

विषय :- माहिती अधिकार अधिनियम २००५ अन्वये माहिती मिळणेबाबत.

संदर्भ :- १. आपण कार्यालयास सादर केलेला दिनांक १.११.२०२० चा  
अर्ज. ( कार्यालयीन अर्ज क्र.६०)

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषयांकित संदर्भ क्र. १ चे अर्जानुसार आपण अर्जात नमूद केलेल्या शासकीय आदेशाच्या प्रती मिळाव्यात म्हणून अर्ज केला आहे.

यावर आपणांस कळविणेत येते कि आपण मागणी केलेली माहिती या कार्यालयाचे अभिलेखात उपलब्ध नसल्याने पुरविता येत नाही.

सबब याबदारे आपला माहिती अधिकार अधिनियम २००५ अंतर्गत अर्ज निकाली काढण्यात काढण्यात येत आहे

बरील माहितीमुळे आपले समाधान न झाल्यास सदरहु प्रकरणी प्रथम अपिलीय अधिकारी तथा सहायक संचालक, पुणे पुरालेखागार पुणे, १२ बंड रोड, विधान भवन समोर पुणे ४११ ००१ यांचेकडे हे पत्र ग्राम झाल्यापासून ३० दिवसांचे आत आपणास अपिल दाखल करता येईल.

जन माहिती अधिकारी तथा अभिलेखाधिकारी

पुरामिलेख संचालनालयतर्गत पुरालेखागार पुणे

१०/१२/२०२०



**Directorate of Archives**  
**Record office Pune Archives, Pune**  
**Government of Maharashtra**  
12, Band Garden Road, In front of Vidhan Bhavan, near Administrative  
Building, Pune- 411 001  
Phone No.020-26127307, E-mail-punearchives@gmail.com

Outward No.MAA/60/sanshikha/2020/1786

Dt.10 Dec.2020

To,

Shri Shivaji Sambhaji Kharade,  
Plot No.17, Shivanjali Apartment,  
At Agashivnagar, Post Malkapur,  
Tal. Karad, Dist. Satara.

**Subject :** Seeking information under Right to Information Act, 2005.

**Reference :** Your application submitted to this office dt.9/11/2020  
(Office Application No.60)

Sir,

In view of the subject and application under reference No.1 above, you have applied for copies of the Government orders described in your application.

Upon which you are hereby informed that, the information called for is not available in the record of this office, hence, unable to supply.

Therefore, your application is being disposed off as per Right to Information Act, 2005.

If you are not satisfied with the above information, then you may file appeal before First Appellate Authority/Assistant Director, Pune Archives, Pune 12, Band Garden Road, in front of Vidhan Bhavan, Pune- 411 001 within 30 days from the receipt of this letter.



Sd/---

Public Information Officer/  
Record Officer, Directorate of  
Archives, Pune

**TRUE COPY,**  
**Translated**  
**BEFORE ME,**

*Maners*

**ADV. MS. VARSHA S. MANE**  
**ADVOCATE & NOTARY**  
**GOVT. OF INDIA REG. NO. 19454**  
D-1, Shubhona Arched, Vishramnagar,  
Malkapur Karad, Dist. Satara -415539 (M.S.)

**Noted & Registered** 17/2021  
**at Serial Numbers .....**  
Date- 02/01/2021



**Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited**

(CIN: U40108TG2010PTC067974)

Site Office: 4043, 6<sup>th</sup> Cross Road, Basavanagar, Baihongal, Belagavi Dist, Karnataka-591102

ADMIN OFFICE: Suite no.701-702, Prestige Meridian-II, No.30, M.G.Road, Bangalore, Ph.: +91 (08)25584567

**Ref: IREP-Saundatti/FDP/201017****Dated: 20-01-2020.****Divisional Forest Officer**

Gokak Forest Division

Belagavi District,

Karnataka

**Sub: Diversion of 160.40 Ha of forest land for construction of 1260 MW Standalone Pumped Storage Component of Saundatti IREP in Belagavi District of Karnataka being implemented by M/s Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited (GSEPL) –reg**

**Ref: Online EDS raised by DFO, Gokak vide dated 19-12-2019**

Dear Sir,

With reference to your office letter cited above on the subject matter, it is respectfully submitted that the GO No: AFD 453 FGL 69 dated 18-10-1969 is the Order issued by your Karnataka State Forest Department and is not available with the User Agency. However, the User Agency is also trying to obtain the said GO at the earliest for your ready perusal.

It is respectfully submitted that as obtaining the said GO is likely to take time, you are requested to kindly process the forest diversion proposal as per the provisions of FC Act 1980.

It is also submitted that to avoid any further delay in processing of the Forest Diversion Proposal, M/s GSEPL hereby undertakes to pay compensation both to the Forest Department under forest diversion proposal and shall also pay suitable compensation to the affected families falling under survey no 146 on mutual agreed basis to avoid delay in processing of the forest diversion proposal.

You are requested to kindly consider our submissions above and process the diversion proposal at the earliest.

Thanking you,

Yours sincerely,

For M/s Greenko Solar Energy Private Limited

*[Handwritten Signature]*

Authorised Signatory

Copy to: Nodal Officer-cum-Additional Principle Chief Conservator of Forests, Bangalore for information.

*[Handwritten Signature]*

ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಸಹಾಯಕರು  
ಜಲ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು  
ಭದ್ರಪುರ ವಿಭಾಗ ಗೋಕಾಕ್  
22/1/2020