

अधिशाली अधिशाली अभियन्ता  
प्रान्तीय खण्ड लोक निर्माण विभाग  
रुद्रप्रयाग

Website-<http://pwd.uk.gov.in>

Fax: 01364-233204, E-mail ID: [eepwd\\_rpg@rediffmail.com](mailto:eepwd_rpg@rediffmail.com)

पत्र संख्या  
सेवामें,

1489/16 सी

दिनांक 29/4/2015

प्रभागीय वनाधिकारी  
रुद्रप्रयाग, वन प्रभाग  
रुद्रप्रयाग।

विषय-

वनभूमि पत्रावली संख्या FP/UK ROAD/9801/2015 जसोली लाटू देवता से भुनका मोटर मार्ग के नव निर्माण कार्य हेतु 2.7825 है० वनभूमि का गैर वानिकी कार्यों हेतु लोक निर्माण विभाग, को हस्तान्तरण करने के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

उक्त वनभूमि पत्रावली में भारत सरकार द्वारा ऑन लाइन लगायी गयी आपत्तियों का बिन्दुवार निराकरण निम्न प्रकार किया गया है-

Sr.	EDS Details	EDS Removed as follows
1	Short Narrative of the project does not give adequate information about the project.	Adequate information submitted online in A-1-(iii)
2	The period for which forest land proposed to be diverted is not mentioned in part A-1-x	Submitted online in I-1-x
3	Instead of Name of the person making application, the Designation is mentioned in Part-I	Submitted online in A-3 (i, ii, iii)
4	GPS – Coordinates of starting and ending point of the proposed road only are given in the scanned copy of Geo referenced map. some more GPS coordinates along the alignment of road may be given GPS coordinates of proposed CA area may be given for at least 4 points.	Submitted online in C (iv) & L (v) *
5	Justification for Locating the project in the forest area is not satisfactory.	Submitted on line in D (i)
6	Map showing alternative examined does not have legends and title	Submitted on line in D (ii)
7	Copy of ownership proof, MOU/Agreement & Non – embranch certificate not attached for area proposed for CA	Submitted online in L (a) to (h)
8	The No of trees given in the lists attached as additional documents does not tally with the no given in the Part – II	This point related to DFO
9	In the site suitability certificate given for CA area, the area is mentioned as 2.560 Ha instead of 5.565 Ha.	Submitted online in L (h)
10	Working plan prescription for the area proposed for diversion not given whereas 2.6425 Ha RF land is involve in Diversion.	Submitted online in other documents
11	In the District profile the Data about forest land diverted does not tally with CA stipulated and the progress of CA	District profile Data related to DFO
12	No Recommendation Given in SIR	This point related to DFO but Submitted SIR online in "other documents" also.

अधिशाली अभियन्ता  
प्रान्तीय खण्ड लो०नि०वि०

रुद्रप्रयाग।  
Rohit