

## PART-II

**(To be filled by the concerned Deputy Conservator of Forests)**

State serial No. of proposal \_\_\_\_\_


<b>7. Location of the project/Scheme:</b>	
i.	State/Union Territory <b>Himachal Pradesh</b>
ii.	District. <b>Kangra</b>
iii.	Forest Division <b>Dharamshala Forest Division</b>
iv.	Area of forest land proposed for diversion (in ha.) <b>0.4047 ha.</b>
iv.	Legal status of the forest <b>UPF</b>
v.	Density of vegetation. <b>0.1</b>
vi.	Species-wise (scientific names) and diameter class-wise enumeration of trees (to be enclosed. In case of irrigation / hydel projects enumeration at FRL, FRL-2 meter & FRL-4 meter also to be enclosed.) <b>13 No. of Trees, Attached at Page No. 36-37</b>
vii.	Brief note on vulnerability of the forest area to erosion. <b>The Site is not vulnerable to Land Slides.</b>
viii.	Approximate distance of proposed site for diversion from boundary of forests. <b>0.100 Kms.</b>
ix.	Whether forms part of National Park, wildlife sanctuary, biosphere reserve, tiger reserve, elephant corridor, etc. (If so, the details of the area and comments of the Chief Wildlife Warden to be annexed). <b>No</b>
x.	Whether any rare/ endangered/ unique species of flora and fauna found in the area- if so details thereof. <b>No such rare endangered species of flora and fauna are found in the area.</b>
xi.	Whether any protected archaeological /heritage site/defence establishment or any other important monument is located in the area. If so, the details thereof with NOC from competent authority, if

required.

<p>Whether the requirement of forest land as proposed by the user agency in col. 2 of Part-I is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project. If no, recommended area item-wise with details of alternatives examined.</p>	<p>Yes, the area proposed for diversion is unavoidable and barest minimum for the project.</p>
<p>9. Whether any work in violation of the Act has been carried out (Yes/No). If yes, details of the same including period of work done, action taken on erring officials. Whether work in violation is still in progress.</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>10. Details of compensatory afforestation scheme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. Details of non forest area/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation, its distance from adjoining forest, number of patches, size of each patch.</li><li>ii. Map showing non-forest/degraded forest area identified for compensatory afforestation and adjoining forest boundaries.</li><li>iii. Detailed compensatory afforestation scheme including species to be planted, implementing agency, time schedule, cost structure, etc.</li><li>iv. Total financial outlay for compensatory afforestation scheme.</li></ul>	<p>Enclosed at page No 40</p> <p>Enclosed at page No 40</p> <p>Enclosed at page No 41-44</p> <p>Rs. 2,34,837/-</p> <p>Enclosed at Page No. 45</p>
<p>11. Site inspection report of the DCF (to be enclosed) especially highlighting facts asked in col. 7 (xi, xii), 8 and 9 above.</p>	<p>Enclosed at Page No. 39</p>

<b>12. Division/District profile:</b>		
ii. Geographical area of the district.		<b>539400 ha</b>
ii. Forest area of the district.		<b>61061.58 ha</b>
iii. Total forest area diverted since 1980 with number of cases.		<b>204.0269 ha (102)</b>
iv. Total compensatory afforestation stipulated in the district/division since 1980 on :		
(a) forest land including penal compensatory afforestation,		<b>401.1854 ha</b>
(b) non-forest land.		<b>Nil</b>
v. Progress of compensatory afforestation as on (date) <b>31/03/2021</b> on		
(a) forest land		<b>383.0814 ha</b>
(b) non-forest land.		<b>Nil</b>
<b>13. Specific recommendations of the DCF for acceptance or otherwise of the proposal with reason.</b>	<b>The proposal is recommended for approval since the construction of this Septage Treatment Plant will provide long term benefits to large community.</b>	

Place : Dharamshala  
 Dated : 21-04-2022

  
 Dr. Sanjeev Sharma (HPFS)  
 Divisional Forest Officer  
 Dharamshala Forest Division  
 Dharamshala